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Introduction

This document provides discussion on issues in the fourth email discussion on V2X Mode-2 during RAN1#100bis-e.

[100b-e-NR-5G\_V2X\_NRSL-Mode-2-04] Email discussion/approval w.r.t. periodic reservation including aspects:

* Backward signalling option
* Periods for exclusion if a slot is not monitored in a sensing window

till 4/24, with potential TPs till 4/29 (Intel, Sergey)

|  |
| --- |
| Agreements:* Down-select in the next meeting one of the following options
	+ Option 1: There is no separate field in the first stage SCI indicating a resource index for the purpose of backward indication, i.e., backward indication is not supported
	+ Option 2: When periodic reservations are enabled in a resource pool, a separate field of 1 bit in the first stage SCI indicates a resource index for the purpose of backward indication
	+ Option 3: When periodic reservations are enabled in a resource pool, a separate field of ceil(log2(Nmax)) bit in the first stage SCI indicates a resource index for the purpose of backward indication

Agreements:* On a per resource pool basis, when reservation of a sidelink resource for an initial transmission of a TB at least by an SCI associated with a different TB is enabled:
	+ A set of possible period values additionally includes all integer values from 1 to 99 ms

**Conclusion**Evaluate till the next meeting whether given the agreed set of configurable reservation periodicities, the change to the exclusion procedure is necessary, wherein currently all configured period values are used for exclusion as inherited from LTE |

Discussion

The first aspect relates to the discussion in the previous meeting on support of backward indication (resource index indication) similar to LTE, in case of periodic reservations. Different level of support was expressed.

* Option 1: no backward indication
	+ Companies supporting this option argue that other mechanisms are sufficient
* Option 2: 1-bit backward indication
	+ Companies supporting this option argue that it is a good compromise between no indication and full indication, i.e. in case of Nmax = 3, only one resource can be reserved in past.
* Option 3: full backward indication
	+ Companies supporting this option argue that it was present in LTE, and if NR does not support similar mechanism, the performance SPS sidelink transmission in LTE may not be achieved by NR. There are SLS evaluation results in [13] showing that backward indication provides gains in periodic traffic environment.

**Q1: Which of the following options related to backward indication is preferred? General support can be expressed, while the detailed proposal can be worked out in the second phase.**

* Option 1: There is no separate field in the first stage SCI indicating a resource index for the purpose of backward indication, i.e., backward indication is not supported
* Option 2: When periodic reservations are enabled in a resource pool, a separate field of 1 bit in the first stage SCI indicates a resource index for the purpose of backward indication
* Option 3: When periodic reservations are enabled in a resource pool, a separate field of ceil(log2(Nmax)) bit in the first stage SCI indicates a resource index for the purpose of backward indication

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Source | Option | Comment |  |
| Ericsson | Option 2 | OK with option 3 as well but as second preference |  |
| Intel | Option 3 is preferred | OK with option 2 as a second preference |  |
| NTT DOCOMO | Option 2 or 3 | Support backward indication |  |
| Xiaomi | Option 1 | Do not see the benefit to support backward indication |  |
| TCL | Option 3 | Ok with option 2 as second preference |  |
| Qualcomm | Option 1 | Since additional retransmissions within a period are supported, it is not clear how indicating those reservations from the last periodic resource SCI would be reconciled with backward indication. |  |

The second aspect relates to the conclusion in the last meeting to evaluate the case of periodic resource exclusion for the slots not monitored in the sensing window. The following points are discussed:

* Due to support of very fine granularity of period configuration, exclusion of the full set of up to 15 different periods when a UE skipped monitoring of a slot in the sensing window may lead to excessive exclusions
* At least one contribution [27] shows performance differences between cases when LTE procedure is followed or when the set of periods for exclusion is updated
* Some companies argue that exclusion by a single period used by the TX UE may also be inappropriate due to existence of aperiodic traffic. I.e., the aperiodic traffic should not be accounted in this case.
* In general, there are the following alternatives identified
	+ Keep excluding by all configured period values
	+ Exclude only using TX UE period value
	+ Do not apply this exclusion at all
	+ Separately configure a set of periods for exclusion
	+ Apply other mechanisms for reduced exclusion rate
		- Probabilistic exclusion
		- Reduced number of excluded resources
		- A subset of periods used for exclusion

**Q2: Which of the following options related to exclusion of slots corresponding to the slots not monitored in the sensing window? General support can be expressed, while the detailed proposal can be worked out in the second phase.**

* Option 1: Do not change current procedure and apply all the periods configured for a UE
* Option 2: Change current procedure
	+ Option 2a: Exclude only based on the period used by the UE selecting the resources
	+ Option 2b: Do not apply this exclusion step
	+ Option 2c: Apply a different set of periods, e.g. a reduced sub-set or a separately configured set

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Source | Option | Comment |  |
| Ericsson |  | For periods of 16 or more slots (i.e., 32/2), we do not see the need to make any changes to the specification.  |  |
| Intel | Option 2c | In our view the universal solution is to apply a different set of periods, e.g. a reduced sub-set or a separately configured set |  |
| vivo | Option 1 | Compared with LTE, we have some more values in 0-99ms. However, only a few value in 0~99 can be beneficial, e.g., 30, 50…, considering only a few value is configured per pool, we do not think such additional value will degrade the system performance. |  |
| NTT DOCOMO | See comments | Which option is better depends on situations. For shorter periodicity, option 1 is better than option 2 as excluding only the period used by the UE is not sufficient. For longer periodicity, option 2 is ok.  |  |
| Samsung | Option 2b | For this issue, a simple solution is not to perform step 5 in NR resource (re-)selection procedure. |  |
| TCL | Option 1 | Agree with vivo. No strong opinion here.  |  |
| Qualcomm | Option 2a | Over-exclusion is exacerbated by the additional possible period values supported in NR V2X. Our evaluation results show performance gains of using Option 2a instead of Option 1.We can also be ok with Option 2b as a second preference. |  |

Summary of proposals on the relevant issues

Last time the backward indication issue and periods for exclusion of slots not monitored in the sensing window were discussed. The following issues are still open based on tdoc review:

1. Backward signalling option
	* Option 1: [3][5][8][9][18][20][22]
	* Option 2: [17][24]
	* Option 3: [1][7][10][13][24]
		+ Note: [13] shows results in support if it
2. Periods for exclusion if a slot is not monitored in a sensing window
	* All configured, as in LTE: [17]
	* The one used for selection: [19][27][13]
		+ Note: [27] shows results in support if it
	* No exclusion: [16]
	* Separate set / sub-set / handling
		+ [13] (separate set)
		+ [3][15][26] - (mechanisms to reduce exclusions for small periods)
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