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1. Introduction

Following email thread is assigned:

[100b-e-NR-unlic-NRU-CG-02] Email discussion/approval on following issues by 4/24; if necessary, followed by endorsing the corresponding TPs by 4/29 – Rakesh (Vivo)

* PUSCH repetition transmission related issues for NRU configured grant
* RV determination for CG repetition
1. Discussion on topic#2
	1. Issue 2: The PUSCH repetition transmission related issues for NRU configured grant

### 2.2.1 TP1

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

===================TP for 38.214 6.1.2.3.1================

6.1.2.3.1 Transport Block repetition for uplink transmissions of PUSCH repetition Type A with a configured grant

\*\*\* Unchanged text is omitted \*\*\*

For both Type 1 and Type 2 PUSCH transmissions with a configured grant, when the UE is configured with *repK >* 1*,* the UE shall repeat the TB across the *repK* consecutive slots applying the same symbol allocation in each slot, except if the UE is provided with higher layer parameters *cg-nrofSlots-r16* and *cg-nrofPUSCH-InSlot-r16*, in which case the UE repeats the TB in the *repK* earliest consecutive transmission occasion candidates within the same configuration. For operation with shared spectrum channel access, where the UE is provided with higher layer parameters *cg-nrofSlots-r16* and *cg-nrofPUSCH-InSlot-r16*  and *repK>1,* the UE shall perform the transmission of the first repetition in the earliest transmission occasion for which the related channel procedure described in 37.213 is successful.A Type 1 or Type 2 PUSCH transmission with a configured grant in a slot is omitted according to the conditions in Subclause 11.1 of [6, TS38.213].

\*\*\* Unchanged text is omitted \*\*\*

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

### 2.2.2 TP2

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

For both Type 1 and Type 2 PUSCH transmissions with a configured grant, when *K >* 1*,* the UE shall repeat the TB across the *K* consecutive slots applying the same symbol allocation in each slot, except if the UE is provided with higher layer parameters *cg-nrofSlots-r16* and or *cg-nrofPUSCH-InSlot-r16*, in which case the UE repeats the TB in the *repK* earliest consecutive transmission occasion candidates within the same configuration if the *repK* earliest consecutive transmission occasion candidates are within the same configuration period. If the *repK* earliest consecutive transmission occasion candidates are not within the same configuration period, the UE repeats the TB at least in the consecutive transmission occasion candidates within the same configuration period. A Type 1 or Type 2 PUSCH transmission with a configured grant in a slot is omitted according to the conditions in Subclause 11.1 of [6, TS38.213].

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

### 2.2.3 TP3

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The higher layer parameter *repK-RV* defines the redundancy version pattern to be applied to the repetitions. For operation without shared spectrum channel access, ~~I~~if the parameter *repK-RV* is not provided in the *configuredGrantConfig*, the redundancy version for uplink transmissions with a configured grant shall be set to 0. Otherwise, for the *n*th transmission occasion among *K* repetitions, *n*=1, 2, …, *K*, it is associated with *(mod(n-1,4)+1)th* value in the configured RV sequence. If a configured grant configuration is configured with *Configuredgrantconfig-StartingfromRV0* set to *‘off’*, the initial transmission of a transport block may only start at the first transmission occasion of the *K* repetitions. Otherwise, the initial transmission of a transport block may start at

- the first transmission occasion of the *K* repetitions if the configured RV sequence is {0,2,3,1},

- any of the transmission occasions of the *K* repetitions that are associated with RV=0 if the configured RV sequence is {0,3,0,3},

- any of the transmission occasions of the *K* repetitions if the configured RV sequence is {0,0,0,0}, except the last transmission occasion when *K≥8*.

For operation with shared spectrum channel access, the initial transmission of a transport block may start at any transmission occasion of the *K* repetitions with RV=0. If the parameter *repK-RV* is not provided in the *configuredGrantConfig*, the redundancy version for uplink transmission with a configured grant is determined by the UE. Otherwise, for the *n*th transmission among at most *K* repetitions, *n*=1, 2, …, *K*, it is associated with *(mod(n-1,4)+1)th* value in the configured RV sequence.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

TP1, TP2, TP3 are discussing similar issues, thus they are discussed together. Please provide your views/comments in the table below

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company/organization | comments |
| ZTE | We think TP1 is sufficient and we support it.We do not see the need of TP2.TP3 should be discussed together with Issue 12. And we prefer to adopt the TP in section 2.2 rather than this one. |
| Intel | 1. TP1: given that along the specification there is no specific text defining the way how the UE should map or handle the transmissions of multiple repetitions in case of LBT failure, we see the need of this text.
2. TP2: we think the current specification text is already quite clear. However, we believe that the “and”, should be replaced by “or” between parameters *cg-nrofSlots-r16* and *cg-nrofPUSCH-InSlot-r16* as proposed in this TP:

For both Type 1 and Type 2 PUSCH transmissions with a configured grant, when *K >* 1*,* the UE shall repeat the TB across the *K* consecutive slots applying the same symbol allocation in each slot, except if the UE is provided with higher layer parameters *cg-nrofSlots-r16* or *cg-nrofPUSCH-InSlot-r16*, in which case the UE repeats the TB in the *repK* earliest consecutive transmission occasion candidates within the same configuration.1. TP3: We do not support this text. Also we believe that this TP lies in the same topic as that threated in Sec. 2.2, and we prefer the text in that section.
 |
| NTT DOCOMO | OK with TPs 1 and 2. TP3 should be discussed together with Issue #12. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Proposal:

* UE terminates the repetitions if an explicit feedback indicating ACK in the DFI is received for the HARQ process not only for CG-PUSCH but also for DG-PUSCH.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Please provide your views/comments in the table below

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company/organization | comments |
| ZTE | The proposal itself is not that clear.By reading the contribution [2435], we understanding the intention is to terminate the slot aggregation for DG-PUSCH if the DFI includes an ACK indication for that HARQ process. As DFI is introduced mainly for CG-PUSCH, We are not sure if this optimization for DG-PUSCH is necessary. |
| Intel | We do not support this TP, and we believe this is an optimization which is not needed for the essential operation of the design. Also this type of operation would require additional discussions to distinguish the case when a GB-PUSCH is TB or per CBG based, given that the DFI provides feedback information at the TB level.  |
| NTT DOCOMO | As the timeline (i.e., minimum duration, D) between DG-PUSCH repetitions and valid ACK/NACK in CG-DFI has been agreed as well as CG-PUSCH repetitions, we think the termination of DG-PUSCH repetitions by CG-DFI should be supported as well as CG-PUSCH. We proposed corresponding TP in our contribution (R1-2002435) as below:TS38.214== Start ==6.1.2.1 Resource allocation in time domain<omitted text>For PUSCH repetition Type A, in case *K>1,* the same symbol allocation is applied across the *K* consecutive slots and the PUSCH is limited to a single transmission layer. The UE shall repeat the TB across the *K* consecutive slots applying the same symbol allocation in each slot. The redundancy version to be applied on the *n*th transmission occasion of the TB, where n = 0, 1, … *K*-1, is determined according to table 6.1.2.1-2. The UE shall terminate the repetition of a transport block in a PUSCH transmission if the UE receives a DCI format 0\_1 with DFI flag provided and set to '1', and if in this DCI the UE detects ACK for the HARQ process corresponding to that transport block.<omitted text>For PUSCH repetition Type B, after determining the invalid symbol(s) for PUSCH repetition type B transmission for each of the *K* nominal repetitions, the remaining symbols are considered as potentially valid symbols for PUSCH repetition Type B transmission. If the number of potentially valid symbols for PUSCH repetition type B transmission is greater than zero for a nominal repetition, the nominal repetition consists of one or more actual repetitions, where each actual repetition consists of a consecutive set of potentially valid symbols that can be used for PUSCH repetition Type B transmission within a slot. An actual repetition with a single symbol is omitted except for the case of *L*=1. An actual repetition is omitted according to the conditions in Clause 11.1 of [6, TS38.213]. The redundancy version to be applied on the *n*th actual repetition (with the counting including the actual repetitions that are omitted) is determined according to table 6.1.2.1-2. The UE shall terminate the repetition of a transport block in a PUSCH transmission if the UE receives a DCI format 0\_1 with DFI flag provided and set to '1', and if in this DCI the UE detects ACK for the HARQ process corresponding to that transport block.<omitted text>== End ==Even if this is not supported, Section 6.1 in 38.214 as shown below should be modified so that an ACK/NACK in CG-DFI is used to terminate a TB repetition in a CG-PUSCH only.If a UE receives an ACK for a given HARQ process in CG-DFI in a PDCCH ending in symbol *i* to terminate a transport block repetition in a PUSCH transmission on a given serving cell with the same HARQ process after symbol *i*, the UE is expected to terminate the repetition of the transport block in a PUSCH transmission starting from a symbol *j* if the gap between the end of PDCCH of symbol *i* and the start of the PUSCH transmission in symbol *j* is equal to or more than *N2* symbols. The value *N2* in symbols is determined according to the UE processing capability defined in Clause 6.4, and *N2* and the symbol duration are based on the minimum of the subcarrier spacing corresponding to the PUSCH and the subcarrier spacing of the PDCCH indicating CG-DFI. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

* 1. Issue 12: RV determination for CG repetition (Editorial/clarification)

### 2.12.1 TP1

TP for 38.214

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

6.1.2.3.1 Transport Block repetition for uplink transmissions of PUSCH repetition Type A with a configured grant

The procedures described in this clause apply to PUSCH transmissions of PUSCH repetition Type A with a Type 1 or Type 2 configured grant.

The higher layer parameter *repK-RV* defines the redundancy version pattern to be applied to the repetitions. If the parameter *repK-RV* is not provided in the *configuredGrantConfig* and cg-RetransmissionTimer is not provided, the redundancy version for uplink transmissions with a configured grant shall be set to 0. If cg-RetransmissionTimer is provided, the redundancy version for uplink transmission with a configured grant is determined by the UE. If the parameter repK-RV is provided in the configuredGrantConfig and cg-RetransmissionTimer is not provided. ~~Otherwise~~, for the *n*th transmission occasion among *K* repetitions, *n*=1, 2, …, *K*, it is associated with *(mod(n-1,4)+1)th* value in the configured RV sequence. If a configured grant configuration is configured with *Configuredgrantconfig-StartingfromRV0* set to *'off'*, the initial transmission of a transport block may only start at the first transmission occasion of the *K* repetitions. Otherwise, the initial transmission of a transport block may start at

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Please provide your views/comments in the table below

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company/organization | comments |
| ZTE | We think the three TPs are with the same meaning, and we are fine to adopt either of them.For TP1, there is an extra full stop which should be removed, i.e. “is not provided~~.~~” |
| Intel | All the three TPs within this section are equivalent, and we have a slight preference in supporting TP2, but any of them would work OK. |
| NTT DOCOMO | OK with either of TPs 1,2,3 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### 2.12.2 TP2

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The procedures described in this clause apply to PUSCH transmissions of PUSCH repetition Type A with a Type 1 or Type 2 configured grant.

If *cg-RetransmissionTimer* is provided, the redundancy version for uplink transmission with a configured grant is determined by the UE.

The higher layer parameter *repK-RV* defines the redundancy version pattern to be applied to the repetitions. If the parameter *repK-RV* is not provided in the *configuredGrantConfig* and *cg-RetransmissionTimer* is not provided, the redundancy version for uplink transmissions with a configured grant shall be set to 0. ~~Otherwise~~ If the parameter *repK-RV* is provided in the *configuredGrantConfig* and *cg-RetransmissionTimer* is not provided, for the *n*th transmission occasion among *K* repetitions, *n*=1, 2, …, *K*, it is associated with *(mod(n-1,4)+1)th* value in the configured RV sequence. If a configured grant configuration is configured with *Configuredgrantconfig-StartingfromRV0* set to *‘off’*, the initial transmission of a transport block may only start at the first transmission occasion of the *K* repetitions. Otherwise, the initial transmission of a transport block may start at

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Please provide your views/comments in the table below

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company/organization | comments |
| ZTE | We think the three TPs are with the same meaning, and we are fine to adopt either of them. |
| Intel | All the three TPs within this section are equivalent, and we have a slight preference in supporting TP2, but any of them would work OK. |
| NTT DOCOMO | OK with either of TPs 1,2,3 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### 2.12.3 TP3

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The procedures described in this clause apply to PUSCH transmissions of PUSCH repetition Type A with a Type 1 or Type 2 configured grant.

If *cg-RetransmissionTimer* is provided, the redundancy version for uplink transmission with a configured grant is determined by the UE. Otherwise, the higher layer parameter *repK-RV* defines the redundancy version pattern to be applied to the repetitions when the *cg-RetransmissionTimer* is not configured, for the *n*th transmission occasion among *K* repetitions, *n*=1, 2, …, *K*, it is associated with *(mod(n-1,4)+1)th* value in the configured RV sequence. If the parameter repK-RV is not provided in the configuredGrantConfig and cg-RetransmissionTimer is not provided, the redundancy version for uplink transmissions with a configured grant shall be set to 0. If a configured grant configuration is configured with *Configuredgrantconfig-StartingfromRV0* set to *'off'*, the initial transmission of a transport block may only start at the first transmission occasion of the *K* repetitions. Otherwise, the initial transmission of a transport block may start at

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Please provide your views/comments in the table below

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company/organization | comments |
| ZTE | We think the three TPs are with the same meaning, and we are fine to adopt either of them. |
| Intel | All the three TPs within this section are equivalent, and we have a slight preference in supporting TP2, but any of them would work OK. |
| NTT DOCOMO | OK with either of TPs 1,2,3 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# References

[1] R1-2002737, Feature lead summary#2 on NRU configured grant enhancement, RAN1#100b-e

[2] R1-2002745, Summary of prep email discussion on NRU-CG, RAN1#100b-e