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# 1 Introduction

This document is used to collect companies views for the issues identified for AI 7.2.10.4 email discussion thread:

[100b-e-NR- LTE\_NR\_DC\_CA-X-CC A-CSI-RS-01] Email approvals of the TPs based on the following issues #1/2/3/4 in R1-2002611 till 4/23 (Nokia, Karri).

# 2 Companies’ views on discussion topics

## 2.1 Issue #1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Description** | **Source** |
| Scaling of the beam switching timing *d* to the applied SCS is missing from three places | Huawei, vivo |

**FL proposal:** Adopt the TP proposed in R1-2001543 (same TP in both Huawei and Vivo doc) to TS38.214 subclause 5.2.1.5.1a

**Companies’ comments:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.2 Issue #2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Description** | **Source** |
| In agreements of RAN1 #100-e meeting, the value of beam switching timing *d* is added for cross-carrier aperiodic CSI-RS triggering. But the description of *d* value only is for the case that the scheduling offset is smaller than the threshold. The same description of d value can be supplemented for the case that the scheduling offset is equal to or greater than the threshold. | vivo |

**FL proposal:** Adopt the TP proposed in R1-2001690/Proposal 2 to TS38.214 subclause 5.2.1.5.1a

**Companies’ comments:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.3 Issue #3

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Description** | **Source** |
| Incorrect location for the RAN1#100e TP “including the case that the UE is not configured with [*minimumSchedulingOffset*] for any DL or UL BWP and all the associated trigger states do not have the higher layer parameter *qcl-Type* set to 'QCL-TypeD' in the corresponding TCI states”, introduced to 5.2.1.5.1 instead of the intended 5.2.1.5.1a. | Oppo, MTek, Ericsson |

**FL proposal:** Confirm that the text was introduced in a wrong location and move it according to the proposal in R1-2001737 from 5.2.1.5.1 to 5.2.1.5.1a of TS38.214 (essentially the same TP in all three Tdocs)

**Companies’ comments:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.4 Issue #4

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Description** | **Source** |
| The agreement for default QCL assumption without configured CORESET is only captured in 5.2.1.5.1a for the case that PDCCH and the triggered aperiodic CSI-RS have different SCSs but not in 5.2.1.5.1 for the case that PDCCH and the triggered aperiodic CSI-RS have the same SCS. | Qualcomm |

**FL proposal:** Discuss if the Proposal 1 of R1-2002561 (below) is agreeable, and if so, if the corresponding TP to TS38.214 subclause 5.2.1.5.1 can be agreed:

**Proposal 1:** In case of same numerology A-CSI RS triggering, when the offset between A-CSI RS and triggering DCI is less than *beamSwitchTiming,* capture the default QCL agreement in specification. Adopt the proposed text proposal in 5.2.1.5.1 in TS 38.214

* If no CORESET configured on the carrier for receiving the A-CSI RS, UE receives the A-CSI RS by applying the QCL parameters of the activated PDSCH TCI state with lowest ID.
* else if the active BWP of the serving cell for receiving the aperiodic CSI-RS has configured *ControlResourceSet*, when receiving the aperiodic CSI-RS, the UE applies the QCL assumption used for the CORESET associated with a monitored search space with the lowest *controlResourceSetId* in the latest slot in which one or more CORESETs within the active BWP of the serving cell are monitored.;
* else, when receiving the aperiodic CSI-RS, the UE applies the QCL assumption of the lowest-ID activated TCI state applicable to the PDSCH within the active BWP of the cell in which the CSI-RS is to be received.

**Companies’ comments:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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