

TSG RAN Working Group 1 Meeting #9  
Dresden, Germany, November 30 - December 3

TSGR1#9(99)h92

TSG-RAN Working Group 3 meeting #8  
Abiko, Japan, 25 – 29 October 1999

TSGR3#8(99)G13

**Source:** WG3  
**To:** WG1  
**CC:** RAN, WG2, WG4  
**Title:** Reply to “Liaison on LCS to WG3”

---

WG3 would like to thank WG1 for their liaison questioning Iub/Iur interface complexity related to the support of TA IPDL.

During R3#8, there was unclarity in WG3 regarding the accuracy of the inter-node synchronisation which will be required for TA IPDL.

For FDD no inter node synchronisation mechanisms are currently needed for correct functioning of the UTRAN.

In the WG1 liaison statement it was stated that: “Results have been presented indicating that the performance is similar for the two methods.” R3 has not studied the full Iur/Iub impacts of either time aligned, or non-time aligned IPDL and therefore cannot state which ( if any ) of the two methods is more complex in this respect. R3 prefers that the solution that requires the least complexity on the Iur/Iub should be selected.