

3GPP RAN#23 Meeting

RP-040013

Phoenix, Arizona, USA

Wednesday 10th through Friday 12nd of March 2004

Title: A-GPS Minimum Performance Specification WI Update
Document for: Review and approval
Source: WI Rapporteur – Donglin Shen – AT&T Wireless Services
Agenda Item 8.3.2

Status Report for WI to 3GPP TSG RAN Plenary #23

Work Item Name: "A-GPS Minimum Performance Specification"

SOURCE: Rapporteur, Donglin Shen, AT&T Wireless Services

TSG: RAN WG4

E-mail address Rapporteur: donglin.shen@attws.com

Ref. to WI sheet: ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/tsg_ran/TSG_RAN/Work_Item_sheets/

Progress Report since the last TSG:

A-GPS performance specification work has been carried out on reflector and two RAN4 meetings after RAN #22. Based on the decision made in RAN#22, an A-GPS Ad Hoc meeting was held from 1/28 to 1/29, 2004 in Espoo, Finland. Total 21 contributions were presented and discussed, which covers many aspects of the work item, such as test scenario, single performance class versus multiple classes and UE assisted specification requirement. There was a tendency to adapt single performance class for initial A-GPS performance specification during the discussion in the meeting. However, no final decision was made before the end of the meeting.

In RAN4 #30 meeting, 11 contributions were presented and discussed, which covers several proposals on how to handle test scenarios and the accuracy test. A consolidated operators' requirement on how to proceed the work item was presented, which were supported by 5 operators (Telecom Italia, Orange, Telefonica, 3, NTT DoCoMo, see [53], [54]). A contribution from Nokia on "Introduction of A-GPS background information to TR25.942" was presented, but it was decided to leave this background document as a Tdoc only. No any solid agreement was made in RAN4 #30 meeting.

Considering slow progress on several major issues of A-GPS performance specification and the matter of the fact that only one RAN4 meeting left before June RAN plenary meeting, the completion of the work item is facing the risk of further delay to RAN #25. In order to keep up with the schedule, 2nd A-GPS Ad Hoc meeting may need to be considered in April time frame.

List of Completed elements (for complex work items):

?? none

List of open issues:

- ?? Alignment of performance requirements and test conditions
- ?? UE test case and test procedure definition
- ?? Location estimate accuracy
- ?? Terminal conformance specification

Estimates of the level of completion (when possible):

- o <35%

WI completion date review resulting from the discussion at the working group:

- o RAN #24

References to WG's internal documentation and/or TRs (within this package):

- [1] R4-030458 Proposed Requirement for AGPS Minimum Performance Specification Development, AWS, Nokia, China Mobile, Siemens, Ericsson, Rogers Wireless, Cingular and Nortel
- [2] R4-030661 A proposal for the definition of A-GPS requirements, Telecom Italia Mobile
- [3] R4-030832 UE based A-GPS performance requirements for 3GPP, Motorola, Nokia, Siemens and Ericsson
- [4] R4-030800 A-GPS Minimum Performance Requirements and Testing Aspects, Qualcomm
- [5] R4-030737 GPS/AGPS Variables, 3
- [6] R4-030738 GPS/AGPS Classifications (discusses various classifications for GPS/AGPS), 3
- [7] R4-030782 Harmonization for A-GPS performances, Nortel
- [8] R4-030902 Channel model requirement for AGPS performance test, Huawei
- [9] R4-030938 GPS measurement Issues, Three
- [10] R4-030995 Performance Evaluation of UE-assisted A-GPS, Qualcomm
- [11] R4-030996 E-Mail discussion summary of A-GPS performance specs, AWS
- [12] R4-031015 Comparison of AGPS Field results and AWGN Simulation Results, Lucent
- [13] R4-031017 Realistic scenarios for testing GPS in phones, Philips
- [14] R4-031024 A-GPS Testing in Multipath Environment, Elektrobit Ltd.
- [15] R4-031057 Performance requirements for AGPS, Ericsson
- [16] R4-031082 New TS, AGPS performance requirements, Lucent, Nortel, Siemens, Nokia, Motorola
- [17] R4-031083 AGPS performance requirements coversheet, Lucent, Nortel, Nokia, Siemens, Motorola
- [18] R4-031139 Proposed objective and way forward on AGPS, ATT
- [19] R4-031142 AGPS performance specification - talking points and next steps, SiRF Technology
- [20] R4-031144 Requirements for support of AGPS; draft specification, Philips
- [21] R4-031145 New TS, AGPS performance requirements, Philips
- [22] R4-031152 Meeting report of AGPS performance requirements Ad Hoc, Nokia
- [23] R4-031155 Proposed Objectives and Work Plan on A-GPS Performance Specification, AWS, 3, TIM, Roger and Cingular
- [24] R4AH-04005 TS 25.xxx Requirements for support of A-GPS (FDD) (Revision of R4-031156), Motorola
- [25] R4AH-04011 Status Report for WI to 3GPP TSG RAN4 A-GPS Ad Hoc Meeting, AWS
- [26] R4AH-04018 Background for the draft specification proposed in R4-031156, Several companies
- [27] R4AH-04004 Issues for Consideration in Establishing A-GPS Performance Criteria, SiGe
- [28] R4AH-04006 A-GPS performance parameters, Philips
- [29] R4AH-04017 Proposal for A-GPS Realistic Scenario Performance Requirements for 3GPP, Sprint
- [30] R4AH-04023 A-GPS sensitivity requirement, Philips
- [31] R4AH-04008 A-GPS sensitivity and node B time stamping accuracy, Ericsson
- [32] R4AH-04007 Handset Classifications, Three
- [33] R4AH-04009 Definition of performance classes of A-GPS capable UEs, Ericsson
- [34] R4AH-04012 Information on service requirements for A-GPS Performance, DoCoMo
- [35] R4AH-04013 Discussion on A-GPS performance class for 3GPP, DoCoMo
- [36] R4AH-04020 A-GPS performance type, Motorola
- [37] R4AH-04022 Operators proposal for A-GPS minimum requirements, TIM
- [38] R4AH-04014 Proposal for required assistance data IE's in the test cases, Qualcomm
- [39] R4AH-04015 Proposal for message sequence charts for UE-assisted A-GPS, Qualcomm
- [40] R4AH-04016 Proposal for general measurement parameter definition, Qualcomm
- [41] R4AH-04019 Text correction to R4-031156, Motorola
- [42] R4AH-04024 A revision of R4AH-04005, Operators
- [43] R4AH-04025 Latest draft version of TS, Editor
- [44] R4AH-04026 Latest draft version of TS with additional editorial corrections, Editor
- [45] R4AH-04021 LS to RAN 2 and T1 on new message and mis-alignment of satellite sequence, Adhoc
- [46] R4-040008 Document list and notes from the AGPS Ad Hoc (28-29 Jan 2004), AGPS AH Chairman
- [47] R4-040025 Proposal for Assistance Data IE for UE-based and UE-assisted test cases, SiGe
- [48] R4-040083 Introduction of A-GPS background information to TR25.942, Nokia
- [49] R4-040095 Background for the draft A-GPS specification, Philips
- [50] R4-040099 Proposed way forward on A-GPS testing Discussion, Vodafone
- [51] R4-040104 TS25.XXX Requirements for support of A-GPS (FDD), Motorola
- [52] R4-040107 AGPS measurements in various environments. Number of visible satellites, Globalocate
- [53] R4-040114 An operator's proposal for AGPS minimum requirements, Telecom Italia
- [54] R4-040115 A revision of TS on requirements for support of AGPS, Telecom Italia
- [55] R4-040127 Option for testing TTFF, Ericsson
- [56] R4-040133 GPS satellite signal strength measurement in different environments, Motorola