3GPP TSG RAN WG3 Meeting #33   **R3-022557**
Sophia Antipolis, France, November 11-15, 2002

Title:	**REPLY** LS on proposed TR for the architectural aspects of early UE handling
Source:	RAN WG3
To:	RAN WG2, SA WG2, CN WG4, GERAN WG2, TSG RAN
Cc:	CN WG1, TSG SA, GSMA TWG

Contact Person:
    Name: Brendan McWilliams
    E-mail Address: brendan.mcwilliams@vf.vodafone.co.uk

Attachments: -

---

1. Overall Description:

RAN3 thank SA2 for their liaison S2-023102 informing RAN3 of the status and progress that they have made with regards the "Handling of Early UEs" study item.

With regards to the questions that were posed, the following responses can be given from RAN3:

SA2 Questions

1) To RAN 3 and RAN 2
Does UE capability/fault information need to be sent across the Iur interface? If so, does the Iur interface impose any particular requirements?

It is the opinion of RAN3 that such UE capability/fault information is not required to be sent across the Iur i/f, and that such information is only needed in the SRNC.

2) To CN 4
At inter-MSC location updating, can the MAP signalling between MSC/VLRs that obtains the IMSI be easily extended to also obtain an IMEISV stored in the old VLR?

(no response required from RAN3)

3) To GERAN 2, RAN 2 and RAN 3
For the case of a dual mode GSM/UMTS mobile, how many bytes can be added to the A interface Handover Required and Handover Request messages before the maximum size for A interface messages is reached?

(it was felt in RAN3 that this question is for GERAN2 in particular)

3. Actions:

- None.

4. Date of Next RAN WG3 Meetings:

RAN WG3#34   17 – 21 February 2003   Sophia Antipolis, France