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**E-Meeting, 17th – 27th August 2021**

# Liaison statement Future Port Allocation Requests

* [Statement](https://datatracker.ietf.org/liaison/1743/)
* [History](https://datatracker.ietf.org/liaison/1743/history/)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **State** | Posted |
| **Submitted Date** | 2021-05-24 |
| **From Group** | TSV |
| **From Contact** | [Martin Duke](mailto:martin.h.duke@gmail.com) |
| **To Group** | 3GPP-TSGCT-CT4 |
| **To Contacts** | [Lionel Morand](mailto:lionel.morand@orange.com) [Susanna Kooistra](mailto:3GPPLiaison@etsi.org) |
| **Cc** | [Zaheduzzaman Sarker](mailto:Zaheduzzaman.Sarker@ericsson.com) [The IETF Chair](mailto:chair@ietf.org) [Martin Duke](mailto:martin.h.duke@gmail.com) |
| **Response Contact** | [Lars Eggert](mailto:lars@eggert.org) |
| **Purpose** | In response |
| **Attachments** | (None) |
| **Liaisons referred by this one** | [Reply LS on port allocation for the W1 interface](https://datatracker.ietf.org/liaison/1726/) |
| **Body**  Thank you for your correspondence about port allocation.  In your latest message  you write:  “However, besides the assignment of transport protocol port(s) that could be  requested by 3GPP for the deployment of specific service discovery  mechanism(s), it is also the 3GPP understanding that this statement cannot  prohibit 3GPP to request in the future a port assignment for a new service  application for which none of the port assignment alternatives would be  applicable.”  Your understanding is correct. Our previous statements on this subject are  intended to reduce port requests with a low chance of approval, but no party is  ever prohibited from requesting a port assignment. An application justified by  a particular use case, especially if that use case is deployed over the open Internet, should be registered with IANA and will be evaluated in accordance with IETF and IANA policy, just like any other request. |