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# 1 Overall description

CT3 thanks ETSI ISG MEG for their LS on EAS ID interpretation. CT3 would like to provide the following feedback:

- The introduction of these URI or FQDN options as examples in the description of the “easId” attribute in TS 29.558 was actually based on CR#0015 ([C3-224779](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ct/WG3_interworking_ex-CN3/TSGC3_123e/Docs/C3-224779.zip)) agreed during CT3#123 (August 2022).

- As this attribute is defined as a simple string, this CR's objective was mainly to provide some guidance to implementations on the format that the EAS ID can take, which is generally speaking a good practice from stage 3 point of view.

- This however does not mean that other possible string-based formats cannot be used. URI and FQDN are just examples and implementations can decide to use them or not.

- Also, this has nothing to do with the "endpoint" attribute. The latter provides the endpoint information that is used to reach the EAS, whereas the EAS ID identifies the EAS application.

- In summary, ETSI ISG MEC can continue to use the correspondence between "easId" and "appName". It is still valid and nothing was changed about that. Also, the EAS endpoint is used to reach the EAS.

# 2 Actions

**To: ETSI ISG MEC**

**ACTION:** CT3 kindly asks ETSI ISG MEC to take above information into account.

# 3 Dates of next TSG CT WG 3 meetings

CT3#128 22nd – 26th May 2023 Bratislava, Slovakia

CT3#129 21st – 25th August 2023 Goteborg , Sweden