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**1. Overall Description:**

CT1 thanks RAN2 for their LS on Small data transmission in C1-214014 / R2-2104644. CT1 would like to provide the following feedback on points agreed by RAN2.

a) Given the agreements from RAN2 LS that:

*1) SDT is transparent to NAS layer (i.e. NAS generates one of the existing resume causes and AS decides SDT vs non-SDT access)*

Different companies in CT1 have differernt understanding of "*SDT is transparent to NAS layer*". There was consensus in CT1 that NAS layer is oblivious to whether pending uplink NAS signallling or data requires SDT or non-SDT DRBs and that this determination is done by access stratum, when requesting the lower layers to transition to RRC\_CONNECTED state. However, there was no consensus in CT1 about the nature of NAS impacts to support SDT.

A Rel-16 UE does not support data transmission in RRC\_INACTIVE state. Hence, some companies (X number) believed there will be some NAS impacts and additional or new interactions between AS and NAS to send multiple UL and DL packets as part of same SDT mechanism, while the access stratum remains in RRC\_INACTIVE state and the NAS remains in 5GMM\_CONNECTED mode with RRC inactive indication. On the other hand, there were some companies (Y number) who believed there will be no such impacts to support SDT.

CT1 would like RAN2 to note that the NAS layer needs to transition to 5GMM-IDLE mode if UE radio capability update is needed during ongoing SDT transfer.

b) Regarding additional question from RAN2:

*RAN2 agreed that only radio bearers configured for SDT are resumed and additional UL and DL data can be exchanged between UE and network as part of a given SDT session while the UE is still in RRC\_INACTIVE (i.e. without transition to RRC\_CONNECTED). In this case, if new UL data or NAS message becomes available for non-SDT radio bearers (which are suspended), would it be possible that NAS triggers another request to transition into RRC\_CONNECTED and provides access category, access identities and resume cause.*

CT1 would like to point out that once small data transmission is initiated the UAC parameters (access category and access identity) for subsequent UL data for non-SDT DRBs in use will be the same as those for UL data for SDT DRBs. Further, NAS is agnostic to DRBs, and as such cannot differentiate whether pending uplink data or signalling requires SDT or non-SDT DRBs. So for the use case specified above, if new UL data or NAS message becomes available for non-SDT radio bearers which are not established, the current behaviour (of NAS in 5GMM\_CONNECTED mode with inactive indication) applies, i.e. any new pending UL data associated with a PDU session with no suspended user plane resources, will require the Service Request procedure to be initiated and NAS will need to provide UAC parameters for the same.

**2. Actions:**

**To RAN2:**

**ACTION:** CT1 kindly asks RAN2 to take the above into account and provide feeback if any.

**3. Date of Next CT1 Meetings:**

TSG-CT WG1#132-e Oct 11th – 15th, 2021 Online meeting

TSG-CT WG1#133-e Nov 11th – 19th, 2021 Online meeting