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\*\*\*\*\* start of 1st change \*\*\*\*\*

##### 5.4.7.2.3 Abnormal cases on the network side

The following abnormal cases can be identified:

a) T3575 expiry

The AMF shall, on the first expiry of the timer T3575, retransmit the NETWORK SLICE-SPECIFIC AUTHENTICATION COMMAND message and shall reset and start timer T3575. This retransmission is repeated four times, i.e. on the fifth expiry of timer T3575, the AMF shall abort the network slice-specific authentication and authorization procedure for the S-NSSAI. The AMF shall consider that the network slice-specific authentication and authorization procedure for the S-NSSAI is completed as a failure.

b) Lower layers indication of non-delivered NAS PDU due to handover

If the NETWORK SLICE-SPECIFIC AUTHENTICATION COMMAND message could not be delivered due to an intra AMF handover and the target TAI is included in the TAI list, then upon successful completion of the intra AMF handover the AMF shall retransmit the NETWORK SLICE-SPECIFIC AUTHENTICATION COMMAND message. If a failure of handover procedure is reported by the lower layer and the N1 NAS signalling connection exists, the AMF shall retransmit the NETWORK SLICE-SPECIFIC AUTHENTICATION COMMAND message.

c) Network slice-specific authentication and authorization procedure and de-registration procedure collision

If the network receives a DEREGISTRATION REQUEST message before the ongoing network slice-specific authentication and authorization procedure has been completed and the access type included in the DEREGISTRATION REQUEST message is the same as the one for which the network slice-specific authentication and authorization procedure is ongoing, the network shall abort the network slice-specific authentication and authorization procedure and shall progress the UE-initiated de-registration procedure. The AMF may initiate the network slice-specific authentication and authorization procedure for the S-NSSAI which is completed as a failure, if available. If the network sends the NETWORK SLICE-SPECIFIC AUTHENTICATION COMMAND message after receiving a DEREGISTRATION REQUEST message, the network shall abort the network slice-specific authentication and authorization procedure and shall progress the UE-initiated de-registration procedure. Otherwise, the network shall proceed with both procedures.

\*\*\*\*\* end of 1st change \*\*\*\*\*