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\*\*\*\*\* First of change \*\*\*\*\*

##### 6.1.2.6.5 PC5 unicast link authentication procedure not accepted by the target UE

If the DIRECT LINK AUTHENTICATION REQUEST message cannot be accepted, the target UE shall create a DIRECT LINK AUTHENTICATION REJECT message. In this message, the target UE shall include a PC5 signaling protocol cause IE indicating one of the following cause values:

#6: authentication failure.

After the DIRECT LINK AUTHENTICATION REJECT message is generated, the target UE shall pass this message to the lower layers for transmission along with the initiating UE's layer-2 ID for unicast communication and the target UE's layer-2 ID for unicast communication.

The target UE shall abort the ongoing procedure that triggered the initiation of the PC5 unicast link authentication procedure.

Upon receipt of the DIRECT LINK AUTHENTICATION REJECT message, the initiating UE shall stop timer T5006 and abort the ongoing procedure that triggered the initiation of the PC5 unicast link authentication procedure.

\*\*\*\*\* Second of change \*\*\*\*\*

##### 6.1.2.7.5 PC5 unicast link security mode control procedure not accepted by the target UE

If the DIRECT LINK SECURITY MODE COMMAND message cannot be accepted, the target UE shall send a DIRECT LINK SECURITY MODE REJECT message and abort the ongoing procedure that triggered the initiation of the PC5 unicast link security mode control procedure. The DIRECT LINK SECURITY MODE REJECT message contains a PC5 signalling protocol cause IE indicating one of the following cause values:

#6: authentication failure;

#7: integrity failure;

#9: LSBs of KNRP-sess ID conflict;

#10: UE PC5 unicast signalling security policy mismatch; or

#111: protocol error, unspecified.

If the DIRECT LINK SECURITY MODE COMMAND message cannot be accepted because the PC5 unicast link security mode control procedure was triggered during a PC5 unicast link establishment procedure, that the selected security algorithms in the DIRECT LINK SECURITY MODE COMMAND message included the null integrity protection algorithm and the target UE’s PC5 unicast signalling integrity protection policy is set to "signalling integrity protection required", the target UE shall include PC5 signalling protocol cause #10 "UE PC5 unicast signalling security policy mismatch" in the SECURITY MODE REJECT message.

If the DIRECT LINK SECURITY MODE COMMAND message cannot be accepted because the PC5 unicast link security mode control procedure was triggered during a PC5 unicast link re-keying procedure, the integrity protection algorithm currently in use for the PC5 unicast link is different from the null integrity protection algorithm and the selected security algorithms in the DIRECT LINK SECURITY MODE COMMAND message include the null integrity protection algorithm, the target UE, the target UE shall include PC5 signalling protocol cause #10 "UE PC5 unicast signalling security policy mismatch" in the SECURITY MODE REJECT message.

Upon receipt of the DIRECT LINK SECURITY MODE REJECT message, the initiating UE shall stop timer T5007 and:

a) if the PC5 signalling protocol cause IE in the DIRECT LINK SECURITY MODE REJECT message is set to #9, retransmit the DIRECT LINK SECURITY MODE COMMAND message with a different value for the 8 LSBs of KNRP-sess ID; and

\*\*\*\*\* Third of change \*\*\*\*\*

### 8.4.9 PC5 signalling protocol cause

The purpose of the PC5 signalling protocol cause information element is to indicate the cause used in the PC5 signalling protocol procedures.

The PC5 signalling protocol cause is a type 3 information element with a length of 2 octets.

The PC5 signalling protocol cause information element is coded as shown in figure 8.4.9.1 and table 8.4.9.1.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |
| PC5 signalling protocol cause IEI | | | | | | | | octet 1 |
| PC5 signalling cause value | | | | | | | | octet 2 |

Figure 8.4.9.1: PC5 signalling protocol cause information element

Table 8.4.9.1: PC5 signalling protocol cause information element

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PC5 signalling cause value (octet 2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bits | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | 7 | | 6 | | 5 | | 4 | | 3 | | | 2 | | | 1 | | |  | | |  | | |
| 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 1 | | |  | | | Direct communication to the target UE not allowed | | |
| 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | | 1 | | | 0 | | |  | | | Direct communication to the target UE no longer needed | | |
| 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | |  | | | Conflict of layer-2 ID for unicast communication is detected | | |
| 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 1 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | |  | | | Direct connection is not available anymore | | |
| 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | | 1 | | | 0 | | | 1 | | |  | | | Lack of resources for PC5 unicast link | | |
| 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 0 | | |  | | | Authentication failure | | |
| 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | |  | | | Integrity failure | | |
| 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 1 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | |  | | | Void | | |
| 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 1 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 1 | | |  | | | LBSs of KNRP-sess ID conflict | | |
| 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 1 | | | 0 | | | 1 | | | 0 | | |  | | | UE PC5 unicast signalling security policy mismatch | | |
| 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 1 | | | 0 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | |  | | | Required service not allowed | | |
| 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | |  | | | Security policy not aligned | | |
|  | |  | |  | |  | |  | | |  | | |  | | |  | | |  | | |  | | |
|  | |  | |  | |  | |  | | |  | | |  | | |  | | |  | | |  | | |
| 0 | | 1 | | 1 | | 0 | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | |  | | | Protocol error, unspecified | | |
|  | |  | |  | |  | |  | | |  | | |  | | |  | | |  | | |  | | |
| Any other value received by the UE shall be treated as 0110 1111, "protocol error, unspecified". | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

\*\*\*\*\* End of changes \*\*\*\*\*