

11<sup>th</sup> March 2005. Tokyo, Japan.

**Source:** CN and T TSG Leadership  
**Title:** CN & T to CT Transition Details  
**Agenda item:** 4.1  
**Document for:** APPROVAL

---

*TSG#26 Meeting  
Athens, Greece  
8 December 2004*

*NP-040627/TP-0040296*

**Source:** CN and T TSG Chairs  
**Title:** CN & T to CT Transition Details

## 1. Introduction

At PCG#13, the PCG decided that CN and T should be merged after TSG#27. Several details of the transition were not fully decided but were left for the TSG to decide. This document proposes how to proceed on several of those details.

## 2. Proposals for how to handle Various Transition Details

### 2.1. WG Naming

For continuity it is agreed that the WGs should be renamed as follows:

CN1->CT1  
T2 ->CT2  
CN3->CT3  
CN4->CT4  
CN5->CT5  
T3 -> CT6

These names will stand unless decided otherwise by TSG-CT.

### 2.2. Meeting Numbering

The TSG and WG meeting numbering should continue in sequence even though the name is changed. (i.e., CN#27 and T#27 will be followed by CT#28, CN1#37 will be followed by CT1#38.)

### 2.3. Document Numbering

Documents in CT and its working groups will start with C (e.g, CP-050666, C2-050234). The document numbering should continue using existing working group practice .

The FTP structure on the 3GPP server will be expanded to include a new tsg\_ct, where the CT TSG and working group files will be stored. The old structure under CN and T will remain giving access to the old documents.

### 2.4. WG Election Postponement

WG elections are delayed until after the March TSG elections. The existing officers will continue to serve until

there are elections.

## **2.5. New vs Old WGs**

As a default, WGs are considered to be old WGs, which have been moved to a new TSG as opposed to being newly created WGs. Recreating the groups would have required that the WGs follow those rules associated with the formation of a new group (Convenors appointed for the first 2 meetings, new officials elected on the 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting, voting lists reset, new ToRs required, etc.)

The TSG has the prerogative to declare a WG as new if it is deemed necessary (i.e., there is a major shift in its scope), but the default is assumed to be that the WG continues as before with simply a new name.

## **2.6. TSG Vice-chairs**

The MCC will prepare ballots with the assumption that CT will have 3 TSG vice-chairs.