

N5-040408**joint-API-group (Parlay, ETSI Project OSA, 3GPP TSG_CN WG5)
Draft v.100 Report of Meeting #28, Piscataway, USA, 9 - 13 August 2004****Contents**

1	Opening of the meeting and approval of the agenda (Monday 9:00 AM)	4
1.1	Reminder for IPR declarations	4
2	Allocation of documents to agenda items	4
3	Reporting	5
3.1	JWG meeting, Miami	5
3.2	3GPP	5
3.2.1	CN plenary	5
3.2.2	SA plenary	5
3.2.3	SA1 activities on OSA Requirements	6
3.2.4	SA1, SA2 activities on GUP	6
3.2.5	CN1 activities on Access Independence	6
3.2.6	3GPP OMA discussions	6
3.3	Parlay	7
3.3.1	Parlay Board	7
3.3.2	Parlay TAC	7
3.4	ETSI	10
3.5	3GPP2	10
3.6	Work between meetings	10
3.7	Other reporting	11
4	Input liaison statements	11
5	3GPP OSA stage 2	11
6	Technical discussions OSA version 1 / 3GPP Rel.4	13
7	Technical discussions OSA version 2 / 3GPP Rel.5	14
8	Parlay X Web Services and WSDL Realization session	15
8.1	Parlay X Web Services (29.199)	15
8.2	OSA WSDL	23
9	Messaging session	24
10	Other technical discussions OSA version 3 / 3GPP Rel.6	24
10.1	Requirements	24
10.2	Presence and Availability Management	25
10.3	Call Control	25
10.4	Framework	25
10.5	Other APIs	25
11	OSA Testing Activities	32
12	Organisational aspects with relation to Joint activities	33
12.1	Delivery plans for OSA Rel6 and Parlay 5	33
12.2	CR delivery plans for next CN plenaries	33
12.3	Review of 3GPP OSA workplan	34
12.4	3GPP OSA Work Item Description	34
12.5	Agreement of revised JWG ToR	34

13	Outgoing Liaisons	34
14	Future meetings	35
15	AOB	36
16	Close	36
Annex A: Agenda		37
1	Opening of the meeting and approval of the agenda (Monday 9:00 AM)	37
1.1	Reminder for IPR declaration	37
2	Allocation of documents to agenda items	37
3	Reporting	37
3.1	JWG meeting, Atlanta	37
3.2	3GPP	37
3.2.1	CN plenary	37
3.2.2	SA plenary	37
3.2.3	SA1 activities on OSA Requirements	37
3.2.4	SA1, SA2 activities on GUP	37
3.2.5	CN1 activities on Access Independence	37
3.2.6	3GPP OMA discussions	37
3.3	Parlay	37
3.3.1	Parlay Board	37
3.3.2	Parlay TAC	37
3.4	ETSI	37
3.5	3GPP2	37
3.6	Work between meetings	37
3.7	Other reporting	37
4	Input liaison statements	37
5	3GPP OSA stage 2	37
6	Technical discussions OSA version 1 / 3GPP Rel.4	37
7	Technical discussions OSA version 2 / 3GPP Rel.5	38
8	Parlay X Web Services and WSDL Realization session	38
8.1	Parlay X Web Services (29.199)	38
8.2	OSA WSDL	38
9	Messaging session	38
10	Other technical discussions OSA version 3 / 3GPP Rel.6	38
10.1	Requirements	38
10.2	Presence and Availability Management	38
10.3	Call Control	38
10.4	Framework	38
10.5	Other APIs	38
11	OSA Testing Activities	38
12	Organisational aspects with relation to Joint activities	38
12.1	Delivery plans for OSA Rel6 and Parlay 5	38
12.2	CR delivery plans for next CN plenaries	38
12.3	Review of 3GPP OSA workplan	38
12.4	3GPP OSA Work Item Description	38
12.5	Agreement of revised JWG ToR	38

13 Outgoing Liaisons38

14 Future meetings38

15 AOB38

16 Close.....38

Annex B: Documents list39

Annex B.1: LS list47

Annex B.2: CR list47

Annex B.3: TS list.....49

Annex C: Participants list.....51

Annex D: List of Actions arising from this meeting.....52

History54

Chair: [Chelo Abarca](#) (Alcatel) for 3GPP CN5
[Richard Stretch](#) (BT) for ETSI TISPAN Project OSA, Parlay TAC

CN5 Vice-Chair: [John-Luc Bakker](#) (Telcordia Technologies Inc.)

3GPP Support: [Adrian Zoicas](#) (ETSI, 3GPP Mobile Competence Centre)

Meeting Host: Telcordia Technologies Inc, Piscataway, NJ, USA

Web Home Page: <http://www.3gpp.org/TB/CN/CN5/CN5.htm>

3GPP E-mail Lists: <http://www.3gpp.org/email/lists.htm> <http://list.etsi.org/>

JWG E-mail List: 3GPP_TSG_CN_WG5_JOINTAPIWORK@LIST.ETSI.ORG

Server: http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_cn/WG5_osa/ ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/tsg_cn/WG5_osa/

1 Opening of the meeting and approval of the agenda (Monday 9:00 AM)

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040400	Invitation to CN#28 Piscataway meeting	Host/Telcordia	1 Agenda	Agenda	
N5-040510	Maps & Access	Host	1 Agenda	Tdoc	The attached presentation discusses host details."

Noted.

N5-040401	Draft Agenda	JWG Chair	1 Agenda	Agenda	
N5-040401r1	Agenda	JWG Chair	1 Agenda	Agenda	

- Suggestion to have lunch break at 12 instead of 12:30 – agreed.
- Request to deal with HA docs 513 and 514 at the beginning of the week (Monday or Tuesday) in order to allow writing CRs reflecting potential agreements. These documents are late, but Aepona reminds that they are based on material that has been available for several meetings.

Conclusion:

Lucent requests time to review the documents given that they are late. It is agreed the documents will be discussed on Wednesday.

Agenda approved [with these changes and updated to 401r1].

1.1 Reminder for IPR declarations

The chair made the following call for IPRs, and asked ETSI members to check the latest version of ETSI's policy available on the web server:

The attention of the members of this Technical Specification Group is drawn to the fact **that 3GPP Individual Members have the obligation** under the IPR Policies of their respective Organizational Partners to **inform their respective Organizational Partners of Essential IPRs they become aware of.**

The members take note that they are hereby invited:

- to investigate in their company whether their company does own IPRs which are, or are likely to become Essential in respect of the work of the Technical Specification Group.
- to notify the Director-General, or the Chairman of their **respective** Organizational Partners, of all potential IPRs that their company may own, by means of the IPR Statement and the Licensing declaration forms (e.g. see the ETSI IPR forms <http://webapp.etsi.org/Ipr/>).

2 Allocation of documents to agenda items

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040402	Document Allocation	JWG Chair	2 Tdoc allocation	Tdoc	

Chelo has submitted a late document: N5-040521 NotesFromLastIETF3GPPCoordinationConfCall, for agenda item 3.2.1.

467: it is about stage 1 but allocated to agenda item 5 (OSA stage 2). Proposal to move it to 10.5 (Rel6, other APIs) or 13 (Outgoing liaisons).

Document 559 was added to Rel 5.

Agreed.

3 Reporting

3.1 JWG meeting, Miami

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040412	N5-040208 Draft_v200_Report_CN5_27 Miami, May 2004	JWG Chair Team	3 Reporting 3GPP	Report_in	

Approved.

3.2 3GPP

3.2.1 CN plenary

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040409	CN5 Report to the last CN plenary	MCC	3 Reporting 3GPP	Report_in	

Chelo presents last plenary presentation.
It was distributed prior to actual plenary presentation.

Discussion:

No questions/comments

Disposition:

Noted

N5-040410	Report of last 3GPP CN meeting	MCC	3 Reporting 3GPP	Report_in	
-----------	--------------------------------	-----	------------------	-----------	--

Highlights:

- possible transfer of OSA stage 2 responsibility to CN5: to be decided at this JWG meeting, and formally approved by CN next plenary. Although the date for OSA stage 3 plenary submission is still September, and additional CN plenary was agreed for the submission of OSA stage 2, since CN5 only has scheduled one meeting before next CN plenary, and this meeting will be used to agree on the transfer of the stage 2.

Discussion:

This will be discussed in agenda item 5 (3GPP OSA stage 2).

Disposition:

Noted.

N5-040521	NotesFromLastIETF3GPPCoordinationConfCall	Chelo Abarca, Alcatel	3 Reporting 3GPP	Report_in	
-----------	---	-----------------------	------------------	-----------	--

Distributed by Stephen Hayes, CN chair, this is submitted to this meeting for information.

Noted.

3.2.2 SA plenary

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040411	Report of last 3GPP SA meeting	MCC	3 Reporting 3GPP	Report_in	

Highlights:

- Our CR to OSA stage 2 introducing Parlay X Web Services (sent to SA2 via a liaison) was approved
- LS (from SA2) on transferring the OSA stage 2 responsibilities to 3GPP TSG CN WG5. This was introduced by the SA2 Chairman and proposed transferring responsibility of TS 23.127 to CN5 due to lack of expertise in SA2. The TSG CN Chairman reported that this had been seen in TSG CN, but CN5 had not yet discussed this and a decision

was expected in September 2004. TSG SA agreed that this was acceptable as long as CN5/TSG CN agree to take the responsibility for the TS.

Disposition:
Noted.

N5-040428	FINAL result at the END of SA#24 of Review of the Work Plan at Plenaries TSG#24	MCC	3 Reporting 3GPP	Report_in	
-----------	---	-----	------------------	-----------	--

Presentation:

See slide 39 for OSA. Nothing changed from what we proposed for the Work Plan. See slide 77 for the conclusion that Rel6 will be submitted in September.

Discussion:

Stage 3 will not be frozen after September. But functionality shall not be extended after September beyond the Stage 1 requirements.

OSA Stage 1 is frozen.

Chelo stresses that we have announced to the plenary that CN5 would be ready in September.

Disposition:
Noted.

3.2.3 SA1 activities on OSA Requirements

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040466	Draft REPORT Version C of SA1#25 Plenary meeting, Montreal, Canada, 28 June - 02 July 2004	S1-040730-Draft-C	3 Reporting 3GPP	Report_in	6.1 SA 24-04 GUP and OSA CN5 is still waiting for SA1 to provide requirements for the support of GUP in OSA. If these requirements are not prepared by the next meeting then the above mentioned functionality cannot be part of Rel-6. The statement from the CN5 chairman was that the requirements are inadequate. However, there has been no input on this for several meetings. Based on this, it was suggested that the requirement should be deleted; this was agreed.

Highlights: see abstract above. See contribution 467 for discussion on this.

We have been debating GUP for a long while.

Update against stage 1 required.

CN5 can write and submit a contribution and MCC has supplied a draft. MCC's contribution is addressed under agenda item 10.1

Noted

3.2.4 SA1, SA2 activities on GUP

See agenda item 3.2.3.

3.2.5 CN1 activities on Access Independence

This agenda item has been kept from previous agendas by mistake, since we proposed to CN#23 to close the OSA aspects of the Access Independence Work Item, and this proposal was approved.

3.2.6 3GPP OMA discussions

Nothing to discuss.


Action 01/28: Chairs, GM LS discussion will be brought to e-mail (619)

3.3 Parlay
3.3.1 Parlay Board
3.3.2 Parlay TAC


Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040473	Backwards Compatibility in OSA/Parlay	Richard Stretch, BT	3 Reporting Parlay	Tdoc	Liaison from parlay board defining the Rules for Deprecation

See 486 for motivation behind suggestion on removal of deprecation methods.
 The table is not correct but does align with rule in this document. Note that the rule is not found in 486.

Ultan offered the following release numbering history. It is noted that the 4.0 and 3.2 are not aligned. This was a one-time anomaly and should not happen again.



Below is a graphical depiction of the options.



The JWG understands that the Parlay BoD selected option BoD above in 486. So, for example, a method deprecated in 4.4 and 5.2 will be removed in 6.0 as all these are released at the same date.

The JWG agrees to this statement “In version n.0 we’ll remove methods which are deprecated in version n-2.x (where x is the latest version of n-2 when n.0 is released)”

Action 02/28:	explain decision to BoD [Richard]
Action 03/28:	create a change request against Part 1. [Ultan] (see 560)
Action 04/28:	create change requests against all Parts/release. [JWG]
Action 05/28:	produce list with eligible removals. [Ultan] (see 561)

Noted

N5-040558	Backwards Compatibility Option P	Ultan Mulligan,	3 Reporting Parlay	Tdoc	
-----------	----------------------------------	-----------------	--------------------	------	--

Action Item: chairs: inform plenary about this mechanism

Noted

N5-040559	Backwards Compatibility Additions	Ultan Mulligan,	3 Reporting Parlay	Tdoc	
-----------	-----------------------------------	-----------------	--------------------	------	--

This document discusses Java BC too. No WSDL BC rules are introduced. This is the right place for introduction of BC guidance.

Section 9.1, bullet 3):

3) If there are multiple versions of an SCF they should be all registered with the Framework, and the SCF should create an instance of the version requested by the application when a new service manager is created.

Typo in 9.6.2: “are” should be “is”.

The changes are to be reflected 560.

Noted

N5-040560	Add Backwards Compatibility Rules	Ultan Mulligan,	3 Reporting Parlay	CR	
-----------	-----------------------------------	-----------------	--------------------	----	--

Action 06/28:	Ultan will share this with the list for comments.
----------------------	---

For e-mail approval

N5-040561	Methods removed as consequence of Backwards Compatibility decision	Ultan Mulligan,	3 Reporting Parlay	Tdoc	
-----------	--	-----------------	--------------------	------	--

Noted

N5-040474	Liaison from parlay board concerning PX and Parlay WEB Services	Richard Stretch, BT	3 Reporting Parlay	Tdoc	Contains statements from the Parlay Board on content and structure of Parlay X and Parlay WEB Services documents
-----------	---	---------------------	--------------------	------	--

Presentation of BoD meta requirements. This guidance is meant to impact the Parlay members who work in PX as well as the JWG while they maintain PX.

Page 2 is to be ignored.

Page 4: WSDL to be validated against tools in the market. Does this mean that Parlay BoD will charter a group to do this? If so, we congratulate them. It is suggested to use the ETSI experience with PlugTest.

Page 4: PX and PWS to follow WS standards. This interpreted by the JWG that it can expect CRs with this as motivation.

Page 5: first bullet: “will” should be a “may”. Agreed.

Page 5: second bullet. This statement has architectural impact; the geo-spatial example causes the SCF vendor to depend on a non-standard interface as Map Web Service services may not be standardized. This is a statement without “bounds”. General confusion about this statement.

Page 5: last bullet. The group reads that PX should have a mapping on the method level and not include the parameters to reduce the burden on the specification developer.

Page 6: second bullet. The statement is “we need only one framework for both Parlay/OSA and PX”. Joe disagrees. Marwan argues that we should be able pick and choose the FW functions for PX usage.

Marwan: would be better that the PX WG doesn't take the FW as it is but select what they need.

Joe: preference that we don't use the WSDL realization of the UML Framework, but instead use WS Security and UDDI, that we can use as a baseline; when we need more than that we can discuss what we require.

JL: what about the mapping from these for instance security technologies and out own OSA security functionality? The statement from the BoD about a single FW, does it refer to a single FW data model (driven by what is currently in OSA)?

Eamonn: doesn't believe the single FW requirement is related to a single model – a single model was not discussed, the idea is to have a single final FW (not one per set of services).

Marwan: would like to give the current FW functionality a chance, in case we need it in the future.

Joe: security is chosen by the service provider. The discussion is for instance, should integrity management be part of the web services FW: This has been discussed in OASIS.

This discussion will continue during the PX session (agenda item 8).

Page 7: it turned out that the mappings more than duplicated the size of the PX documents, so it has been considered a good idea to have them in separate documents. Most of the mapping material is ready for review, and the question is whether to have a different document set of annexes for them. The meeting believes that the annex option does not solve the document size problem, and that the way the slide is phrased then Board's point is not the annex proposal but the informative proposal.

Clarification: mappings may be to OSA or to anything else (like the mapping for PX Presence maps to SIP SIMPLE).

Level of detail: agreed that at least we want mapping at method level; contributions going deeper are welcome.

Need for an editor/Rapporteur for the mapping documents – Julian volunteers. Agreed that we have half of the work if we do only one set, in which case it should be ETSI (because ETSI PX may be superset of 3GPP PX), then the 3GPP specs could include references to these mappings as ETSI specs.

Action 07/28: JL/Chelo to tell CN in the report to next 3GPP CN plenary that these TRs with mappings are being finalized in ETSI TISPAN that will be references to ETSI TRs provided as CRs to the 3GPP CN December plenary.

Adrian: proposes to have the current OSA mapping TRs (29.998) only in ETSI as well, since it may be confusing to have a different document organization for Parlay X than for the OSA APIs. No volunteers to change this situation, so for the moment we leave it as it is.

Disposition:

Noted.

N5-040475	Parlay Board-Tac report	Richard Stretch, BT	3 Reporting Parlay	Report in	Report back on Parlay Board/TAC issues
-----------	-------------------------	---------------------	--------------------	-----------	--

Withdrawn.

N5-040486	Parlay Board/TAC report	BT	3 Reporting Parlay	Tdoc	Contains developments and decision made by Parlay Board
-----------	-------------------------	----	--------------------	------	---

Richard presents the documents. He mentions that the BoD has started producing 14 white papers.

New BoD members, such as FT and Orange, have joined after elections.

The BoD suggests that Parlay X Web Services should be developed independent of 3GPP and ETSI TISPAN release schedules. This idea was greeted with general disagreement.

Such a release strategy would be a process independent of ETSI TISPAN and 3GPP release schedules. Ultan and Jane clarify that such a plan would cause commercial and interworking issues.

John-Luc mentions that processes and schedules are not problem, rather there is lack of resources. The BoD acknowledges resource problem.

The meeting even feels that work was sped up because of Release 6 deadline.

Parlay X requirements that were missed should be geared towards an ETSI release.

It is suggested that the Parlay BoD talks to ETSI and 3GPP peers/management if it has requirement guidance to share or wishes to tap in to requirement generating resources of ETSI and 3GPP.

Finally, Parlay X was not developed inside the JWG. This is a situation that needs to change.

Deprecation and BC

Three options are motivated:

- 1) 1 full release. A 4.2 deprecated method, removed in 6.0 as 5.0 is based on 4.2. A 4.3 deprecated method, removed in 7.0 as 6.0 is based on 4.3.
- 2) forever. Never remove the methods
- 3) 2 full release. Remove a 4.2 deprecated method in 7.0 as 5.0 is based on 4.2. Remove a 4.0 deprecated method in 6.0 as 4.0 is based on 4.0.

During presentation of the motivation many questions were raised regarding the use of the motivation as it did seem to support the suggested BC approach by the BoD.

Noted

Back to 473.

3.4 ETSI

Nothing to report

3.5 3GPP2

Nothing to report

3.6 Work between meetings

This agenda item aims to review the ToDo list from the previous meeting, plus reporting on any other between-meetings activity, if applicable.

As a result of the work between meetings the following contributions were approved by email: 349 – 456 & 345, 346

N5-040512	Miami ToDo (Actions from CN5#27 meeting, Miami, May 2004)	JWG Chair	3 Reporting 3GPP	Tdoc	
-----------	---	-----------	------------------	------	--

The contents of this document can also be found in 520.

Noted

N5-040520	Result of work between meetings	JWG Chair	3 Reporting 3GPP	Tdoc	
-----------	---------------------------------	-----------	------------------	------	--

2. Jane: there is no word of a potential editor for OSA Presence mapping. Jane was going to report this to CN1.

Action 08/28: Jane will e-mail CN1 re: editor and Jane will report from next week's CN1 report to CN5
Action 09/28: chairs: put CN1 response in CN report

3. depends on Action Item, 27

10. Julian did a superb job

13. Joe has a draft and intent to submit it by end of week.

23. no response, Joe will do the editing for this release 6. John-Luc offers to assume the Rapporteur's role. Erwin agrees with this proposal.

24. John-Luc will continue Rapporteur's role.

27. No LS is available.

Action 10/28: Richard to talk informally with PAG Chair and make him aware of Address List Management work that may be agreed by the JWG this meeting.

Noted

3.7 Other reporting

Nothing to report.

4 Input liaison statements

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040422	LS from SA2 to SA, CN, CN5 on transferring the OSA stage 2 (23.127) responsibility to 3GPP CN5	S2-042344	4 Input LSs	LS_in	

Presentation:

SA2 would like to raise the issue on responsibility for the OSA Stage 2 specification, TS 23.127.

The following must be noted:

No resources are available any longer within 3GPP SA2 with competence on the issues as described in the OSA Stage 2.

No activities have taken place on the specification of TS 23.127 since December 2002.

Considering these facts, SA2 would like to propose to transfer the responsibility for the TS 23.127 towards 3GPP TSG CN WG5.

Discussion:

Discussion to take place under agenda item 5 (3GPP OSA stage 2).

Action 11/28: Chelo to produce outgoing LS for e-mail approval (525)

Noted

5 3GPP OSA stage 2

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040435	Rel-5 3GPP TS 23127-520: Virtual Home Environment (VHE) / Open Service Access (OSA): Stage 2	MCC	OSA stage 2	TS	
N5-040436	Rel-6 3GPP TS 23127-610: Virtual Home Environment (VHE) / Open Service Access (OSA): Stage 2	MCC	OSA stage 2	TS	

Noted.

N5-040472	What needs to be done in OSE stage 2	Chelo Abarca, Alcatel	OSA stage 2	Tdoc	Summary of changes necessary to update the OSA stage 2, agreed to become CN5 responsibility, that has been untouched since 2002
-----------	--------------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------	------	---

Presentation: see Abstract above.

Adopting this document requires administrative change as well as changes against the TS.

Stage 3 will go to September CN plenary. Stage 2 should go to December CN plenary.

Decide to remove VHE concept and make it an OSA document for some releases back.

Proposal could be to create an OSA specific release 2 with a new number.

Does this also have an impact VHE stage 1 in release 99. Stage 1 has been downgraded to a TR. So there is no Stage to back up Stage 2 VHE. There is no stage 2 for VHE in release 6.

Changing the title will result in allocating a different TS number.

Agreed.

Action 12/28: chairs, to find a rapporteur/editor

Action 13/28: chairs, to report plan to CN & SA plenary:

CN5 agrees to produce Rel 6 Stage 2, needs a new number, and the scope will be limited to OSA.

When changing text we should ensure alignment between stages 1/3 and stage 2.

Noted.

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040503	Correct descriptions of OSA high-level abstraction interfaces	Telcordia	OSA stage 2	CR	There is an OSA stage 1 requirement for High-level abstracted interfaces, which is currently being implemented in OSA stage 3 TS 29.199 by a suite of Parlay X Web Service interfaces. These high-level Parlay X Web Services interfaces provide a high-level abstraction of some of the existing available OSA API interfaces. The stage 1 and stage 3 material is not yet reflected in the OSA stage 2 architecture document. This CR proposes the introduction of the appropriate descriptions of this functionality, in order to bring the stage 1, stage 2, and stage 3 to the same consistent level.

Presentation: see Abstract above.

Discussion:

Joe: Off-hook should be deleted in section 9.3.

Chelo: Update front page, align with stage 3 should be sufficient.

Given that there will be a new OSA stage 2 document, should we agree this against an OSA stage 2 document that may be withdrawn?

Yes. We have agreed to tell the CN plenary we will update stage 2 to the extent that we will require a new TS number.

The plenary must be consulted before we can start this transformation. In the meantime, we can still update the old stage 2.

Disposition:

Updated to 524

N5-040524	Correct descriptions of OSA high-level abstraction interfaces	Telcordia	OSA stage 2	CR	There is an OSA stage 1 requirement for High-level abstracted interfaces, which is currently being implemented in OSA stage 3 TS 29.199 by a suite of Parlay X Web Service interfaces. These high-level Parlay X Web Services interfaces provide a high-level abstraction of some of the existing available OSA API interfaces. Recent prototyping and further analyses have resulted in updates against stage 3. This material is not yet reflected in the OSA stage 2 architecture document. This CR proposes the introduction of the appropriate descriptions of this functionality, in order to bring the stage 1, stage 2, and stage 3 to the same consistent level.
-----------	---	-----------	-------------	----	---

Action 14/28:

Adrian to:

Remove from Consequences if not approved “stage 1, “
Remove from Reason for change “OSA stage 1 req. and”

Approved

N5-040504	Add descriptions of OSA high-level abstraction interfaces	Telcordia	OSA stage 2	CR	There is an OSA stage 1 requirement for High-level abstracted interfaces, which is currently being implemented in OSA stage 3 TS 29.199 by a suite of Parlay X Web Service interfaces. These high-level Parlay X Web Services interfaces provide a high-level abstraction of some of the existing available OSA API interfaces. The stage 1 and stage 3 material is not yet reflected in the OSA stage 2 architecture document. This CR proposes the introduction of the appropriate descriptions of this functionality, in order to bring the stage 1, stage 2, and stage 3 to the same consistent level.
-----------	---	-----------	-------------	----	--

Presentation: see Abstract above.

Discussion:

Roger: Mapping text would bolster the relation of PX with OMA/IETF presence work. Unfortunately this mapping information is not in the present 3GPP contribution but the intention is, when all (i.e. for all APIs) mapping data is available, to add a mapping section for all APIs. Parlay X participants note that for this API the mapping information is available. Also, stage 3 should (and does) include references to IETF.

Disposition:

Approved

N5-040582	OSA Multi Media Messaging SCF - stage 2 description	Ericsson	OSA stage 2	CR	There is an OSA stage 1 requirement for multimedia messaging, which is being implemented in OSA stage 3 TS 29.198-15. The stage 1 and stage 3 material is not yet reflected in the OSA stage 2 architecture document. This CR proposes the introduction of the appropriate descriptions of this functionality, in order to bring the stage 1, stage 2, and stage 3 to the same consistent level.
-----------	---	----------	-------------	----	--

Check abbreviations if they are expanded.
Should be Category B CR
Title should be “add OSA Multi Media Messaging SCF’s stage 2 description.”
This is not alignment; this is implementing stage 1 requirement.

Agreeable with changes

Revised to 586

Noted

N5-040586	OSA Multi Media Messaging SCF - stage 2 description	Ericsson	OSA stage 2	CR	
-----------	---	----------	-------------	----	--

Approved

6 Technical discussions OSA version 1 / 3GPP Rel.4

N5-040514	Comments on Callback changes introduced in Miami	AePONA	Rel-4./5/6	Tdoc	Comments on callback changes introduced in Miami, seeking to clarify suggested behaviour changes.
-----------	--	--------	------------	------	---

AePONA has comments against existing CRs from Appium. Are the CRs implemented? 338 is implemented.

Proposed change 1
There is a condition in this statement so a “MUST” does enforce the behaviour.
This change will results in changing an “optional requirement” into a “requirement”

This practice enforces a default call back for createCall, is that desired?
 Second “should” may be “shall”.
 Contribution invited

Proposed change 2
 Agreeable
 Contribution invited

Proposed change 3
 Acknowledged

Proposed change 4
 Agreeable
 Contribution invited

Proposed change 5
 Race condition acknowledged
 Contribution invited

Proposed change 6
 Race condition acknowledged, but NULL support needs to remain.
 Additionally, not allowing methods is acceptable
 Contribution invited

Proposed change 7
 Acceptable
 Contribution invited

Proposed change 8 (sequence diagram)
 Acceptable
 Contribution invited

Noted

CRs to be brought to the November Barcelona CN5 meeting.

N5-040553	Correct State Transition Diagram for IpCall	AePONA	Rel-4,/5/6	Tdoc	
-----------	---	--------	------------	------	--

Change can be agreed

Also change note in diagram to “ ... Upon expiration of timer, callAborted shall be invoked. ...”
 Add release 5 & 6 mirrors.

Agreed with changes: 583, 584, 585

N5-040583	Correct State Transition Diagram for IpCall	Ericsson	Rel-4,	CR	
N5-040584	Correct State Transition Diagram for IpCall	Ericsson	Rel-5, Cat A	CR	
N5-040585	Correct State Transition Diagram for IpCall	Ericsson	Rel-6, Cat A	CR	

Adrian to cross-reference the (mirror) CRs.

583-585: Agreed.

7 Technical discussions OSA version 2 / 3GPP Rel.5

N5-040522	Correct J2EE rule on generation of Serialization UID	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	The dynamics of the Serialization UID are not well defined. Currently, backward compatibility is at risk. This contribution seeks to better define Serialization UID and document pitfalls that must be guarded against.
-----------	--	-----------	-------	----	--

Discussion:

Need to make Cat A available.
 Update <class> into <data type Java class>
 Joe: suggests removing the rule
 JL Agrees to remove rule.

Two numbers for Rel. 5 and Rel. 6: 526 & 527

Withdrawn.

N5-040526	Remove J2EE rule on generation of Serialization UID rule	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	The dynamics of the Serialization UID are not well defined. Currently, backward compatibility is at risk. This CR proposes to remove the rule.
N5-040527	Remove J2EE rule on generation of Serialization UID rule	Telcordia	Rel-6	CR	The dynamics of the Serialization UID are not well defined. Currently, backward compatibility is at risk. This CR proposes to remove the rule.

526 - 550 will go for e-mail approval.

8 Parlay X Web Services and WSDL Realization session

8.1 Parlay X Web Services (29.199)

Edits and changes will be made online.
 The agreed documents will be uploaded subsequently.
 All documents need to be resubmitted with a front page and in the "Julian" formatting.

GENERAL: all references to [7] should become a reference to part 1

Assign the documents version 1.0.5 when making updates

N5-040489	Parlay X Common	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Overview and Common Data Definitions
-----------	-----------------	-----	----------	------	---

Typo found. This is a common typo and is corrected online.
 Note that all source WSDL will be in document literal.

Can we define a path forward through which CN5 shares the common data types with OMA in case the OMA wishes to pick up definition of common datatypes.

The overview information is found in the stage 2 information. The title needs to be changed.

Marwan: add a charging code.
 Joe: the charging code is not interoperable.
 Telcordia would like to allow for both approaches.
 Aepona would like to see contributions.
 Incomit would like to support both models.
 Joe: would like to see charging code defined as a contract number.
 John-Luc: charging code was also present in version 1.0.3.
 Gaute: charging code not to be limited to contract number only. Supports to add charging code as an optional parameter.
 Joe: would like to introduce a well-defined use of charging code field.
 Proposal to take it offline. Work on section 10.3.

Julian has editorials. These will be represented in CMed version and a clean version in the same ZIP archive.

Action 15/28: Damian to help defining the means through which we share the common data types with the OMA

Update to 568

N5-040568	Parlay X Common	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	
-----------	-----------------	-----	----------	------	--

Section 10.3 and 554 and 555 work is included in this document.

References 5 and 11 should be updated to include a date or version

Reorder section 7 into SOAP, HTTP and XML

Add 's' to Web Service in Section 7

Should 7.4's URL be a reference with a date?

Rename 'contract' field name in 'ChargingInformation' to 'code'.

"one of currency ... be provided" to be deleted.

Define an exception: InvalidChargingInformation

Contributions invited to add InvalidChargingInformation exception to appropriate methods.

15.6.2: to add namespace qualifier to example.

Approved with changes

Updated to 595 (for e-mail approval)

N5-040490	Parlay X Third Party Call	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Third Party Call
-----------	---------------------------	-----	----------	------	--

Gaute: add exception POL0008 to 11.1.1.1

Changed presented on screen will be shown in updated version in Revision Marks. They are agreed.

See: template updates, Julian editorials in 569

Updated to 569

N5-040569	Parlay X Third Party Call	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Third Party Call
-----------	---------------------------	-----	----------	------	--

General: two sets of documents:

- editorial changes
- editorial and wsdl changes

The archive contains two versions of the spec. One with "-edit" appended. This filename pattern has Change Marks turned on. The filename without "-edit" is the clean version

This file only has edits.

Approved

N5-040491	Parlay X Call Notification	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Call Notification
-----------	----------------------------	-----	----------	------	---

Marwan: Change names in sequence diagrams.

Changed presented on screen will be shown in updated version in Revision Marks. They are agreed.

See: template updates, Julian editorials in 570

Clarify call handling and call notification relationship

Updated to 570

N5-040570	Parlay X Call Notification	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Call Notification
-----------	----------------------------	-----	----------	------	---

Clarify call handling and call notification relationship, which is explained in 571 only.

Approved

N5-040492	Parlay X Call Handling	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Call Handling
-----------	------------------------	-----	----------	------	---------------------------------------

Add group support and nested group support service policies

Marwan: Change names in sequence diagrams.

See: template updates, Julian editorials in 571

Clarify call handling and call notification relationship

Updated to 571

N5-040571	Parlay X Call Handling	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Call Handling
-----------	------------------------	-----	----------	------	---------------------------------------

ACTION ITEM: create a table for mapping PX 1.0.3 to PX 1.0.5 (Joe)

Approved

N5-040493	Parlay X Audio Call	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Audio Call
-----------	---------------------	-----	----------	------	------------------------------------

Marwan: Change names in sequence diagrams.

Changed presented on screen will be shown in updated version in Revision Marks. They are agreed.

See: template updates, Julian editorials in 572

Updated to 572

N5-040572	Parlay X Audio Call	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Audio Call
-----------	---------------------	-----	----------	------	------------------------------------

Approved

N5-040494	Parlay X Multimedia Conference	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Multimedia Conference
-----------	--------------------------------	-----	----------	------	---

See: template updates, Julian editorials in 573

Make title of API bold in part overview section

Add exceptions to createConference: charging not allowed, policy error 240 (too many participants) and 242 (maximum duration exceeded). 242 needs to be added to fault definition.

Updated to 573

N5-040573	Parlay X Multimedia Conference	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Multimedia Conference
-----------	--------------------------------	-----	----------	------	---

Approved

N5-040495	Parlay X Short Messaging	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Short Messaging
-----------	--------------------------	-----	----------	------	---

Marwan: Change names in sequence diagrams.

Changed presented on screen will be shown in updated version in Revision Marks. They are agreed.

See: template updates, Julian editorials in 574

Add Service policy exception 4, 6, 281 must be added to all sent operations. 280 is only added t sendSMS

smsServiceActivationNumber should be an address (anyURI)

Add smsServiceActivationNumber to smsMessage structure

Consolidate NotifySmsReceptionRequest with smsMessage structure

Invite contributions on notification provisioning

Updated to 574

N5-040574	Parlay X Short Messaging	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Short Messaging
-----------	--------------------------	-----	----------	------	---

Approved

N5-040496	Parlay X Multimedia Messaging	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Multimedia Messaging
-----------	-------------------------------	-----	----------	------	--

Marwan: Change names in sequence diagrams.

Changed presented on screen will be shown in updated version in Revision Marks. They are agreed.

See: template updates, Julian editorials in 575

ServiceException 4 and 6 to be added to sendMessage

Rather than “SOAP Attachment are encoded as MIME and DIME” reference W3C standard.

Add reference and update this consistently

Rename deliveryPriority into messagePriority

Rephrase description of “Default” in messagePriority into “Default message priority”. Make that change consistently.

Updated to 575

N5-040575	Parlay X Multimedia Messaging	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Multimedia Messaging
-----------	-------------------------------	-----	----------	------	--

Approved

N5-040497	Parlay X Terminal Status	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Terminal Status
-----------	--------------------------	-----	----------	------	---

Marwan: Change names in sequence diagrams.

Changed presented on screen will be shown in updated version in Revision Marks. They are agreed.

See: template updates, Julian editorials in 576

To be added [POL009: “Invalid frequency range requested”] to Common Data types and startNotification

Add “common:” as a name space identifier to SimpleReference use

Add to RetrievalStatus “, including denial because of privacy.”

Updated to 576

N5-040576	Parlay X Terminal Status	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Terminal Status
-----------	--------------------------	-----	----------	------	---

Approved

N5-040498	Parlay X Terminal Location	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Terminal Location
-----------	----------------------------	-----	----------	------	---

Marwan: Change names in sequence diagrams.

Changed presented on screen will be shown in updated version in Revision Marks. They are agreed.

See: template updates, Julian editorials in 577

Add to Location data structure “, including denial because of privacy.”

Align lat. long. order in data structures

Add “common:” as a name space identifier to SimpleReference use

To be added [POL009: “Invalid frequency range requested”] to Common Data types and startNotification

Action 16/28: Marwan & Joe to take criteria issue offline

Updated to 577

N5-040577	Parlay X Terminal Location	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Terminal Location
-----------	----------------------------	-----	----------	------	---

Criteria issue will corrected latter

Approved

N5-040499	Parlay X Payment	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Payment
-----------	------------------	-----	----------	------	---------------------------------

Marwan: Change names in sequence diagrams.

Changed presented on screen will be shown in updated version in Revision Marks. They are agreed.

See: template updates, Julian editorials in 578

Currency Service Policy to be added

getAmount does not have sufficient parameters for rating; proposal to be included in update

Updated to 578

N5-040578	Parlay X Payment	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Payment
-----------	------------------	-----	----------	------	---------------------------------

Approved

N5-040500	Parlay X Account Management	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Account Management
-----------	-----------------------------	-----	----------	------	--

Marwan: Change names in sequence diagrams.
 Changed presented on screen will be shown in updated version in Revision Marks. They are agreed.
 See: template updates, Julian editorials in 579

Add a policy exception for voucher not allowed
 Part 1 will include indication of next available exception identifier
 PIN to be optional in all methods

Updated to 579

N5-040579	Parlay X Account Management	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Account Management
-----------	-----------------------------	-----	----------	------	--

Approved

N5-040501	Parlay X Address List Management	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Address List Management
-----------	----------------------------------	-----	----------	------	---

Marwan: Change names in sequence diagrams.
 Changed presented on screen will be shown in updated version in Revision Marks. They are agreed.
 See: template updates, Julian editorials in 580

Julian will suggest a number of additional exceptions.
 This document is made dependant on Security contribution.
 Clarify that this method does not create new members but only adds existing members to a group

Updated to 580

N5-040580	Parlay X Address List Management	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Address List Management
-----------	----------------------------------	-----	----------	------	---

Approved

N5-040502	Parlay X Presence	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Presence
-----------	-------------------	-----	----------	------	----------------------------------

Marwan: Change names in sequence diagrams.
 Changed presented on screen will be shown in updated version in Revision Marks. They are agreed.
 See: template updates, Julian editorials in 580

Comment on page 8/section 10 to be removed.
 (and might be eating) and (and might be shopping) to be deleted. Other not strictly required editorials to be removed.

Action 17/28:	JWG: Are these defined in Rich Presence?
Action 18/28:	JL: Check dependencies to IDs?

TimeMetric needs namespace identifier common:
 11.3.2.1 shows empty tables, should there be a value. I.e. the presentity part. Contribution invited.

Updated to 581

N5-040581	Parlay X Presence	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay X Web Services - Presence
-----------	-------------------	-----	----------	------	----------------------------------

Use the RPID descriptions. Align the presence information. Change “inspired by [7]“ to “derived from [7]”.

Remove “or Supplanted”

Updated 594

Goes for e-mail approval

The next two documents have the same content, and we need to decide which one we want.

N5-040505	Parlay Web Services Framework in 29198	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay Web Services Framework as a 29.198 contribution
-----------	--	-----	----------	------	--

Presentation:

The Parlay Web Services FW as Part 16 of the 29.198 set.

Discussion:

Section 3

Erwin: in section 3.1, what's the difference between a contact point and access point.

Joe: contact point is a generic term, access point is a specific contact point.

Erwin: are these definition created by us or taken from somewhere else?

Joe: they're mostly taken from Parlay White Papers.

JL: why "enterprise" applications?

Joe: "enterprise" is any business outside the service provider.

Discussion on alternative terms for this concept.

Chelo: proposes "Third Party", which is what we always use in the Parlay context. Agreed. We need then definitions for Application, Web Service, Service Provide Applications, Service Provider Web Services, Third Party Applications and Third Party Web Services. These definitions were discussed, they will be included in the document. For the terminology in figure 7 further discussion is needed; this impacts section 3, which is not approved for the moment.

Erwin: clarification of the term "profile".

Joe: the concept of "profile" is taken from WS-I

Agreed to remove the current definition of Application.

Section 4 - Goals

Chelo: why is a goal of the FW an "understanding of the mechanisms..."?

Joe: can delete the first bullet item. Agreed.

Further re-phrasing of the goals agreed.

Section 4 – Introduction and Section 5 - Concepts

Agreed: collapse sections Introduction and Concepts.

Erwin: the figure, does it intend to show the broad picture, or what is to be standardized in Rel6?

JL: some parts are not in the scope of the document, they should have a different colour.

Eamon: diagrams should be in line with those we have in the stage 2. Agreed.

Section 6

Agreed: in Discovery scenarios add scenario: public/private UDDI. Note: UDDI though WS-I is v2.0 and reference would be v3.0?

Proposal: another scenario that includes identity management.

Agreed: section 6.4 repeats what 6.2 says, it will be modified to instead introduce the access point scenarios.

Agreed: in 6.4.2, add "or traffic management" to "quality of service information".

JL: an example of WS usage would bring additional requirements – is it something that needs to be handled in the PX or in the FW API?

Joe: We don't standardize this.

JL: does quality of service policy enforcement take place in the FW or in each API?

Joe: it is up to the implementation.

JL: this identifier brings a requirement in either the FW or the PW API. If we can address this because of having a requirement we can find a solution but at the moment we don't have this requirement.

Marwan: this FW supports registration and discovery, which is purely UDDI functionality, and nothing else – for this we could just refer to UDDI instead. We need to have something more – policy, QoS...

Erwin: application developers see that there are different kind of access, and the case of identified access is included for completeness sake.

Eamonn: seems as if we are reviewing a stage 3 and at the same time the meeting does not agree on the set of requirements and use cases – we have not agreed on what we want. Going through this documents in detail will not be useful unless we agree on what we want.

JL: we're driven by 3GPP requirements

Erwin: what do we want to achieve with this document? Is it 3GPP Rel6 stage 3 material? According to which requirements?

Chelo: concern that this section contains use cases that will be realized by the stage 3. We need to stick to requirements, which say a higher level of abstraction to existing APIs.

Joe: this is a minor subset of the OSA FW, it does not go beyond that.

Agreed to address this when reviewing section 7.

Section 7

Richard: seems that use of HTTP is mandatory, what about SMTP?

Joe: WS-I has not addressed it yet. SMTP is only informative in the WSDL documents, so if we include support we have nothing to reference for interoperability.

Agreed that SOAP will be moved to the top of section 7.

Discussion: is the WSDL style guide normative? We never discussed it but have been using it in a normative way.

Discussion: should it be a new TS, or part of part 1? Joe proposes the mandatory part to be in part 1, in 29.199 - 29.198 already includes the rule book that shows how to generate WSDL from the UML, and the style guide in 29.198 would give the rules to create PX WSDL (not UML based).

Discussion: is the WSDL style guide normative? These are our rules to write WSDL.

Joe: it is normative to us when writing specifications.

JL: we've documented our patterns (see for instance the deprecation rules). Our style guide does not have any implications in other bodies writing WSDL.

Discussion: does the style guide apply to all our web service production, or just PX? Do we have a different realization for different levels of abstraction?

Action 19/28: Joe to prepare a proposal on how to present the style guide or parts of it as normative (checklist like approach as in OMA) as part of part 1 29.199, for later this week. Will be number 554.

JL: in 7.8, the use of the term "contact points": we already have the term SCF, why a new term? If we don't go beyond the OSA functionality, then we shouldn't need a new term.

Joe: it's meant to define a category of things which today is an access points and a discovery point (what a service interacts with). It's hard to use the term SCF when there is no alignment.

Chelo: we've been using APIs for that.

Joe: nobody uses the term API.

Eamonn: we've used "interfaces" too.

Agreed to change to "access interfaces", "service interface" and "discovery interfaces". The term "contact interfaces" is not necessary because it is meant to include all the others, and we use plain "interface" for that.

Section 8:

Richard: this particular token is suggested to be based on an off-line agreement.

Joe: not necessarily, could be discovered by UDDI.

Richard: we should have the token for the SLA defined in WSDL as well.

Joe: that's the intention (the token, not the actual content of the SLA, which is defined in usage); will add a sentence to make it explicit.

JL: concern about the mapping to the FW.

Joe: no intention to map into a CORBA realization of OSA, cannot force a technology into web services, that don't support it.

Chelo: the question is functionality (requirements) related, not technology related.

Damian: also need to consider that people will do it anyway.

Chelo: asks clarification what it is that is not mappable?

After offline discussion during the break: there is a wish to change the WSDL FW realization in 29.198, which is generated from the UML. There is a proposed new part 16 which is not generated from the UML. Keeping both would mean having two FWs. Another option is to change the rules to have a satisfactory Web Service FW.

Eamonn: it should be possible to modify the rules to have a FW in 29.198 which is satisfactory for Web services.

JL: but all WSDL realizations in 29.198 are driven by the same rules, so all could benefit from these modified rules.

Is the UML not suitable to generate WSDL?

Joe: some FW functionality is general purpose, some is applicable or not depending on the technology.

Ultan: these two FW submissions, do they in IBM's opinion contain the whole FW? Meaning that there are no further interface definitions needed?

Joe: yes.

Ultan: then there is no code, no WSDL files we'd need to re-generate every time, so this is a change we do once.

Joe: if we modified the rules we'd be spending time defining rules that would not be useful in the Web Service market place.

Chelo: is this functionality that is in Joe's opinion CORBA dependent visible at requirements level?

Joe: does not have everything in mind but believes it is not the requirements but the way the UML model was made.

JL: agrees that the model was not written with web services in mind. Agrees that the starting point is that the current, model driven WSDL is not satisfactory.

Ultan: what would be the plan for the current FW WSDL in the two proposals on the table? How to relate both – we delete the current realization or what?

JL: we should in general move all WSDL to PX, and that applies to the FW too. The current WSDL will never fit a web services architecture. We could remove it for Rel7. Then all our WSDL would be PX, in 29.298.

Marwan: worried that PX may get very often in the future.

Eamonn: same worry. Also we shouldn't only plan based on a web services viewpoint. At the moment we don't have a requirement to have a web services architecture, we have a requirement for high level abstraction and technology neutrality. Worried to end up with two competing, diverging specifications – 29.199 and 29.198; 29.199 is there for the higher level of abstraction requirement.

Also need to take into account that there haven't been many resources willing to work on the non-PX WSDL. The meeting believes that this has been the reason for PX not being as simple as it was originally intended. This makes it difficult to explain to customers what's the difference between PX and the WSDL realization of the base APIs, since they don't find PX simple.

Several in the meeting agree that there is no market for the WSDL realization in 29.198, but there is customer interest in 29.199.

Feeling that customers request a certain functionality, and then specify what's their technology, but they don't care that much about simplicity – since the current market is still mainly for services internal to the operator. This could be interpreted as they want the WSDL realization of the base APIs, and it could be that they have been sold that it's just PX that is web services.

Summary of the range of opinions so far:

- Lack of resources working on Parlay WSDL has resulted in PX as only visible set of Web Services, with the net result that PX is not as simple as originally planned or as customers had expected, and confusion regarding the relationship between Parlay Web Services and Parlay X exists in the market.
- There may be no need for WSDL within 29.198, and the demand for Web Services may be fulfilled in 29.199 and solely by PX..
- There is an interest in WSDL realisation of the base APIs, and the issue is one of packaging rather than functionality and abstraction.
- There was some concern regarding divergence and competition between 29.198 and 29.199 specifications.

Proposal: if we reject the FW contributions this week, and do not reject any of the PX service contributions based on a simplicity argument, then we postpone the discussion of future evolution of our web services specs. Even if we decide to delete the current WSDL realization, we can still do it as a CR in the December plenary.

Action 20/28: everybody, think about how 29.198 and 29.199 should coexist (or not) in the future.

Adrian: why restricting ourselves to 3GPP release 6 time table? We could have a first Rel7 version.

Chelo: because we believe we have a set of web services that are ready to become a standard. Also, if we were able (if desired) to change everything we may want, it would be useful to wait, but we're talking about a set of specs that Parlay has already published.

Utan: we've got a request from the CN chairman to identify what parts will be late for the September plenary, and delayed to December exceptionally. We could mention the FW here.

Disposition:

505 is withdrawn. Changes proposed in this session will be used for a potential revision of this document, but a revision will not be presented to this meeting.

Action 21/28: Joe to check that the WSDL compliance is included in part 1.

Joe would like to keep a reference to WS-I security, since otherwise there is no guidance about security for the applications; also some PX APIs assume there is user authentication.

JL/Chelo: this would be a late contribution that we can discuss, but it hasn't been discussed in PX.

Adrian: adding a reference in the Reference list does not make anything mandatory yet in the TS body.

This will be contribution 555.

N5-040554	?	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	?
-----------	---	-----	----------	------	---

Rolled into 568

Withdrawn

N5-040555	?	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	?
-----------	---	-----	----------	------	---

Rolled into 568

Withdrawn

N5-040506	Parlay Web Services Framework in 29199	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	Parlay Web Services Framework as a 29.199 contribution
-----------	--	-----	----------	------	--

Withdrawn

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040413	OSA_WSDL	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	First draft of OSA WSDL and Part 1 rules

Withdrawn

8.2 OSA WSDL

N5-040421	29199-01-to-09 Version-103	CN#24 (NP-040274)	Parlay X	TS	This and ONLY this version should be used for ANY update.
-----------	----------------------------	-------------------	----------	----	---

Submitted for information (the 3GPP official CN#24 version according to our agreements in Miami)

Noted

9 Messaging session

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040507	Proposed new draft ETSI ES 203 915-15 MultiMedia Messaging SCF	Ericsson, Lucent	Messaging	TS	

Erwin presents the document

Lucent and Ericsson would like to thank Ultan for his constructive input and participation.

Section 8.1.2 is highlighted

A method that can be used for both single-shot and messaging operation.

Marwan: does the message support a list of source addresses? No it supports just 1.

Section 8.2.2 is highlighted

This message is overloaded as it can support notification of both the receipt of a message as well as a messaging request

Joe, why is octetSet chosen instead of string?

Because there are issues with String translation/conversion in CORBA

Section 11.1.30 defines support for SMS, SMS binary, MMS, WAP Push, Email.

It is up to the gateway to provide the mapping to actual protocols. In this table “according to XXX standard shall be removed” for the first 3 rows in the table.

It is agreed to change in section 8.5.1, parameter messagingTreatment “messaging gateway or SCF” to “messaging system”.

Section 8.6.8. How to identify the message type as the message is always “raw material”. However, this is a message received within a session. Service Properties can be used to discover the SCF that supports only SMS or MMS or combinations, etc. The idea is to express MIME header content into TpMessageHeaderList.

There is scope for minor corrections/additions.

There are no sequence diagrams. They will follow in December.

Java & WSDL will be part of the specification as approved by the plenary.

Agreed

N5-040508	Proposed New Draft 3GPP TS 29.198-15 MultiMedia Messaging SCF	Ericsson, Lucent	Messaging	TS	
-----------	---	------------------	-----------	----	--

Same changes as mentioned in 507 apply.

Where is the stage 2? We would improve our prestige if we can offer a stage 2 contribution for September.

Erwin offers to draft stage 2 for MMM for Friday morning.

The minimum would be a summary of functionality as well as relation with the Messaging functionality in 3GPP Release 6.

Agreed

10 Other technical discussions OSA version 3 / 3GPP Rel.6

10.1 Requirements

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040484	Parlay/OSA 5.0 Requirements doc	BT	10.1 Requirements	Tdoc	Draft Version 0.10 of the Parlay 5.0 Requirements capture.

6.3, 6.10 and 6.4 will likely be deleted

6.7 is deleted

etc.

Should we remove 6.11 or forward it to Parlay 6.0 Requirements?

Are HA and PX textual changes reflected? CRs against 22.127 are listed in 22.127 and can be used as a source.

In general, this document should reflect the work done within the Parlay 5.0 timeframe.

Action 22/28: Richard to compare “Parlay/OSA 5.0 Requirements 0.10” with OSA Stage 1: 22.127-660 (i.e. <http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/Specs/html-info/22127.htm>).
Note that a 670 version of 22.127 is forthcoming (see document 563)!

Noted

N5-040485	Parlay 6.0 Requirements	BT	10.1 Requirements	Tdoc	Draft Version 0.04 Parlay 6.0 Requirements capture
-----------	-------------------------	----	-------------------	------	--

The requirements document is refined following discussion in Miami and includes new requirements. It is unclear through which means the “service chaining” requirement was submitted.

Participants express surprise when being confronted with all the changes that happened after jointly discussing the document in Miami on Monday.

It is requested that the JWG is informed if the document is updated.

Is 7.1 a requirement? It seems being pursued as a Parlay BoD white paper.

7.2: this weird. Protocol mapping should be protocol specific

7.3 is inappropriate

7.5 seems to be a duplication

7.1 and 7.5 to be removed

Consider to merge 484’s section 6.11 with “service chaining”?

Action 23/28: Richard to follow up on merging 484’s section 6.11 with “service chaining”.

Action 24/28: Richard to assign the document a new number through creating a WI.

Noted

N5-040467	Draft Rel-6 CR 22.127 OSA Stage 1 on OSA-GUP Req. removal	MCC	OSA stage 1	CR	to be finalized and sent to SA1 via LS
-----------	---	-----	-------------	----	--

Section 7 to be voided. Agreed

Section 13.3.3 to be voided. Agreed

Should we remove 13.6 per draft CR?

LS 564, CR 565 this LS and CR to remove section 7, 13.3.3 and 13.6

LS should be clear as the receivers know that GUP should be removed but removal 13.6 we only spotted now.

Noted

10.2 Presence and Availability Management

10.3 Call Control

10.4 Framework

10.5 Other APIs

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040414	RESUBMISSION N5-040023 Rel-6 CR 29.198-04-2 Add token-bucket based overload control methods in IpCallControlManager interface	CCSA (represented by China Unicom)	Rel-6	CR	
N5-040415	RESUBMISSION N5-040024 Rel-6 CR TS 29.198-04-3 MPCC Token-bucket based overload control	CCSA (represented by China Unicom)	Rel-6	CR	
N5-040416	Add Common Feature Capability Service (CFCS) to Parlay API	CCSA (represented by China Unicom)	Rel-6	Tdoc	
N5-040417	Mapping of Parlay CFCS to INAP Protocol	CCSA (represented by China Unicom)	Rel-6	Tdoc	
N5-040418	Mapping of Parlay CFCS to CAMEL Protocol	CCSA (represented by China Unicom)	Rel-6	Tdoc	
N5-040419	Mapping of Parlay CFCS to ANSI-41 Protocol	CCSA (represented by China Unicom)	Rel-6	Tdoc	

414 – 419 No presenter could be found. Due to lack of time to present them, they were not presented and postponed to the next meeting.

414 – 419 Noted

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040423	Comments to Aepona's HA contribution N5-040322	Lucent	Rel-6	Tdoc	Lucent's comments to Aepona's N5-040322 as requested in Miami CN5 Meeting
N5-040424	Comments to Aepona's HA contribution N5-040323	Lucent	Rel-6	Tdoc	Lucent's comments to Aepona's N5-040323 as requested in Miami CN5 Meeting
N5-040425	Comments to Aepona's HA contribution N5-040324	Lucent	Rel-6	Tdoc	Lucent's comments to Aepona's N5-040324 as requested in Miami CN5 Meeting
N5-040426	Comments to Aepona's HA contribution N5-040325	Lucent	Rel-6	Tdoc	Lucent's comments to Aepona's N5-040325 as requested in Miami CN5 Meeting
N5-040427	Comments to Aepona's HA contribution N5-040326	Lucent	Rel-6	Tdoc	Lucent's comments to Aepona's N5-040326 as requested in Miami CN5 Meeting

423-427 are noted

N5-040429	Comments to Lucent's N5-040423 (Overview of HA Changes)	AePONA	Rel-6	Tdoc	Comments from AePONA in reply to Lucent document number N5-040423
-----------	---	--------	-------	------	---

Noted

N5-040432	Comments to Lucent's N5-040426 (Common CC HA modifications)	AePONA	Rel-6	Tdoc	Comments by AePONA to Lucent's document number N5-040426
-----------	---	--------	-------	------	--

Noted

N5-040433	Comments to Lucent's N5-040427 (GCC HA notification refresh and multiple call abort)	AePONA	Rel-6	Tdoc	Comments by AePONA to Lucent's document number N5-040427
-----------	--	--------	-------	------	--

Aepona describes this proposal as introduction of new methods for HA.

Change 1

Lucent would like to see the "full picture", but does not want to commit to agreeing to the content ahead of time.

Change 2

Is it a convenience method? Yes, it will reduce the number method calls under cleanup conditions.

This method is necessary for HA and API is not broken, so it is not an essential correction.

There are also alternative solutions such as time-outs.

If this proposal is acceptable it is necessary to many other APIs.

This approach should be consistently applied; e.g. for calls, etc.

This contribution aims at decreasing the delay during recovery.

Linked to 592

Change 3

See change 1

Noted

N5-040513r1	HA Discussion Summary	AePONA	Rel-6	Tdoc	Summary of HA discussions and proposals to reach consensus on existing API features
-------------	-----------------------	--------	-------	------	---

Result of post-Miami discussion

There are 4 options:

Option 1 and Option 2 are intended to represent a solution where the same application obtains a reference to the same service manager interface. In Option 1, each application image uses a unique set of interfaces supplied by the Framework for each application access session, whereas in Option 2 the Framework shares the same interfaces. In either case the Framework resolves the application sessions to a single FW-Svc session, and a single service manager is provided to a logical client.

Option 3 and Option 4 are intended to represent a physical implementation, wherein each application image sharing the same clientAppID is provided with a unique service manager for the SCS.

During discussion of 430r1 it is agreed that supported for options 3 and 4 are not to be reflected in future OSA stage 2.

The discussion moves to 430r1.
The discussion moves to 431r1.

Noted

N5-040430r1	Comments to Lucent N5-040424 (Framework App HA initialisation and recovery modifications)	AePONA	Rel-6	Tdoc	Comments to Lucent document number N5-040424
-------------	---	--------	-------	------	--

Note that the proposals not to pursue these changes are based on the assumption that options 1 or 2 in 513r1 is supported. Lucent is concerned if options 1 or 2 in 513r1 are to be mandated.

IBM agrees that the FW shouldn't have a view of the client side application. Today, the FW assumes there is a single instance. Joe makes the point that the FW shouldn't assume this. The specification must support multiple deployments architectures

If we can identify Stage 3 text that hides an application deployment and overexposes another, it should be corrected. It is suggested that different application deployment models are listed in Stage 2.

Agreed

Issue by issue discussion and seek the following resolution

- no change is required
- clarification desirable
- offline study required

5.1.1.1 & 5.1.1.2

“Expected semantic behavior when method is invoked multiple times on a given interface This behaviour must be clarified, and if necessary there may be a need to ensure consistency with the use of the enableNotification style mechanisms”

Method signature not be changed

Erwin agrees that clarification is needed.

Roger agrees clarification is needed

Clarification desirable

6.1.1.1 (change 2)

It is agreed that there is no change to this section; proposal is removed

6.1.1.2 (change 3)

It is agreed that there is no change to this section; proposal is removed

6.1.1.3 (change 4)

It is agreed that there is no change to this section; proposal is removed

6.1.1.6 (new) (change 5)

It is agreed that there is no change to this section; proposal is removed

6.1.1.7.1 (change 6)

It is agreed that there is no change to this section; proposal is removed

6.3.1.2.3 (new) (change 7)

It is agreed that there is no change to this section; proposal is removed.

Action 25/28: chairs: potentially inform the plenary that Stage 3 changes may follow from Stage 2 update following the agreements reached during this session

Change 8, 9,

It is agreed that there is no change to this section; proposal is removed.

Change 10, 12, 16

“Clarify how the application can use the FW to gain access to the service manager and also how the resulting SLA, IM Access session are expected to behave”

Clarification desirable

Change 11
Acceptable

Change 13, 17
Withdrawn. Future discussion welcome

Change 14
Clarification desirable; the clarification will remove an ambiguity due to which options 3 and 4 are assumed to be supported.

Change 15a
Clarification desirable

Change 15b, 18
Withdrawn. Future discussion welcome

Change 19, 20
It is agreed that there is no change to this section; proposal is removed.

Noted

Linked to 590

N5-040431r1	Comments to Lucent N5-040425 (GCC HA initialisation modifications)	AePONA	Rel-6	Tdoc	Comments by AePONA to Lucent document number N5-040425
-------------	--	--------	-------	------	--

Change 1
This is the only sequence in all APIs that deals with multiple call-backs.
The proposal to withdraw these changes.
Agreed

Change 2a (enableCallNotification)
The proposed text is copied from MPCCS.

See below the text that might become a separate CR.

~~If some application has already requested notifications with criteria that overlap the specified criteria or the specified criteria overlap with criteria already present in the network (when provisioned from within the network), the request is refused with P_GCCS_INVALID_CRITERIA. The criteria are said to overlap when it leads to more than one.~~

~~The criteria are said to overlap if both originating and terminating ranges overlap and the same number plan is used and the same CallNotificationType is used.~~

~~If a notification is requested by an application with the monitor mode set to notify, then there is no need to check the rest of the criteria for overlapping with any existing request as the notify mode does not allow control on a call to be passed over. Only one application can place an interrupt request if the criteria overlaps.~~

~~If a notification is requested by an application with an event type that is mutually exclusive compared to existing requested event types, then there is no need to check against the rest of the criteria for overlap. An example could be one application that trigger on "user-busy" together with another application that trigger on "answer" - both requests should be allowed as only one can occur on the same call or session.~~

~~The overlap criteria have been defined to prevent multiple points of control, leading to possible interaction problems in networks that have no multi-service support. Notice that dynamic aspects cannot be taken into account in the overlap criteria check. Therefore where dynamic event arming from an application causes a persistent control relationship it can prevent other applications to be invoked in the case single point of application control applies in the network.~~

~~However, the criteria check for overlap may as a network option be overruled by Multi-Service networks allowing more services or applications to gain control of the same call or session at the same point in time. Refer to Call-Control-Common-Definitions subpart of this specification (TS-29.198-4-1) for further details on application control over a call or session.~~

Contributions are welcomed. However, the CR does not have to be agreed before the next plenary.

Change 2b (enableCallNotification)

Update this “If the same application requests two notifications with exactly the same criteria but different callback references, the second callback will be treated as an additional callback. Both notifications will share the same assignmentID. The gateway will always use the most recent callback. In case this most recent callback fails the second most recent is used.” Contributions welcome.

Change 2c (enableCallNotification)

Change is withdrawn. Rephrasing should be considered when addressing 2b for the same motivation.

Noted

Linked to 591

N5-040556	Lucent Response to N5-040513	Lucent	Rel-6	Tdoc	
-----------	------------------------------	--------	-------	------	--

Noted

N5-040557	Lucent Comments to Aepona's N5-040513 HA Discussion Summary	Lucent	Rel-6	Tdoc	
-----------	---	--------	-------	------	--

Noted

N5-040590	Framework Corrections to support HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	
-----------	-------------------------------------	--------	-------	----	--

This is linked with 430r1

This document is presented in order to start the week-long e-mail review process.

Action 26/28: Eamonn to distribute pointer and announce when e-mail process completes

Consequences if not approved needs to be updated

Title to be “Support high availability at API level”. Also reflect this in “at API level” in Reason for Change”

Consequences if not approved to be “The Release 6 stage 1 requirements cannot be addressed”

Note that the setCallBack change will apply to all other Release 6 specs: 597 - 617

Updated to 596

For e-mail approval (to complete on August 20)

N5-040591	GCC Corrections to support HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	
-----------	-------------------------------	--------	-------	----	--

This is linked with 431r1

This document is presented in order to start the week-long e-mail review process.

Action 27/28: Eamonn to distribute pointer and announce when e-mail process completes

Front page must be changed inline with suggestions for 590

N5-040592	Additional GCC Features to support HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	
-----------	---------------------------------------	--------	-------	----	--

This is linked with 433

This document is presented in order to start the week-long e-mail review process.

Action 28/28: Eamonn to distribute pointer and announce when e-mail process completes

Front page must be changed inline with suggestions for 590

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040434	Rel-6 CR 29.998-04-4 Update the Rel-5 specific limitation	MCC	Rel-6	CR	

Action 29/28: Jane to follow up

Noted

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040477	6 Rel-6 CRs 29.198-05 OSA API Part 5: Generic user interaction (UI Call Proposed changes 1)	BT	Rel-6	CR	Proposed changes to the user interaction interface

Richard presents. This is an overview document of all UI relate CRs by BT.

Noted

N5-040478	Rel-6 CR 29.198-5 V6.0.1 - Clarifications to Section 8 (UI Administration Interface)	BT	Rel-6	CR	Clarifications to Section 8 (UI Administration Interface)
-----------	--	----	-------	----	---

This document proposes to remove <<new>> methods.

Ulta: we discussed this when introducing the new messages and decided to keep it. Also the proposal is to delete methods that have been there for long: BC?

Eamonn: expose what is implemented through service properties?

Jane: is the interface broken?

Chelo: we can solve this through description change rather than changing the interface.

Ulta: looks back at notes from San Francisco (document 410) and San Diego

Ulta: these methods are in different SCFs; removal of the methods in either one would require an app to go back and get it through an SCF that might not even be there.

Joe: also an application may only be looking at the administrative side and hence is not exposed to GUI

Withdrawn

N5-040479	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Addition of getMessageList() within the IpUIAdminManager interface.	BT	Rel-6	CR	Addition of getMessageList() within the IpUIAdminManager interface.
-----------	--	----	-------	----	---

CR needs to be updated against 6.1.0; this causes the lack of history box

The method description has two returns; TpAssignmentID should not be returned.

Agreed to change to TpMessageIDList to TpMessageIDSet. Change needs to be made consistently.

Size of Set needs to be limited to prevent the return of lists that are too long. For example according to ListMessagesRequest pattern in MMM SCF (see #507).

Approach should be consistent and therefore asynchronous (already achieved through applying ListMessagesRequest pattern!).

Other specs needs to be clicked as "N"

Agreed with changes to 587

N5-040587	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Addition of getMessageList() within the IpUIAdminManager interface.	BT	Rel-6	CR	Addition of getMessageList() within the IpUIAdminManager interface.
-----------	--	----	-------	----	---

Please correct header

Please correct clause numbering

Remove from consequences if not approved "The administration ... ensures". So replace this box contents with "Applications are not able to manage their messages".

We do use list instead of set in TpMessageIDList. So as these messages are ordered on the server.

Approved with changes

Updated by Adrian

N5-040480	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Correction of UI typos.	BT	Rel-6	CR	Correction of UI typos.
-----------	--	----	-------	----	-------------------------

The CR does not include the corrections; it is just a title page.

Joe: we included this misspelling in San Diego explicitly in order to avoid backward compatibility issues going back Parlay 1.2.

Ultan: changes 2 and 3 can be editorial change

Action 30/28: Ultan: changes 2 and 3 in 480 are editorials

Withdrawn

N5-040481	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Clarification of the functionality provided by recordMessageReq() method.	BT	Rel-6	CR	Clarification of the functionality provided by recordMessageReq() method.
-----------	--	----	-------	----	---

Other specs needs to clicked as "N".

Whatever we agree, it should be reflected in earlier releases.

This is an interoperability change "Cat. F"

Consequences if not approved" needs to be improved, e.g. remove the word "Parlay" and from rest of CR

Problem is acknowledged

Action 31/28: Richard, to start e-mail discussion

Withdrawn

N5-040482	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Removal of the Voice XML specific UI additions to maintain interface abstraction.	BT	Rel-6	CR	Removal of the Voice XML specific UI additions to maintain interface abstraction.
-----------	--	----	-------	----	---

Update CR, e.g. remove the word "Parlay" and from rest of CR

For example, support for SALT should be possible. By passing a URL, VXML and SALT can be passed.

It is suggested to leave InfoVXMLData and InfoSynthData in the structure.

Clarification to InfoAddress seems acceptable. The motivation for this change may be that it offers a higher level of abstraction.

Cat D is sufficient.

To be updated to 588

Withdrawn

N5-040588	Change to the description of InfoAddress within TpUIInfo	BT	Rel-6	CR	
-----------	--	----	-------	----	--

CR against Part 5 and version should become 6.1.0

Approved

N5-040483	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Proposed changes to the TpUIRecognitionCriteria parameter	BT	Rel-6	CR	Proposed changes to the TpUIRecognitionCriteria parameter.
-----------	--	----	-------	----	--

Start from TpUIRecognitionGrammer. The changes prior to this section are to be ignored.

Use a reference instead of an inline URL.

Textual changes are agreeable.

Update CR, e.g. remove the word "Parlay" and from rest of CR

The removal of the lines in the table need to be motivated.

This is a Cat. F

Updated 589

Withdrawn

N5-040589	Proposed changes to the TpUIRecognitionGrammer parameter	BT	Rel-6	CR	
-----------	--	----	-------	----	--

The removal of the lines in the table are undone.
 Consequences if not approved should be more clear
 Clauses affected should be updated
 CR against Part 5 and version should become 6.1.0

Updated to 593

N5-040593	Proposed changes to the TpUIRecognitionGrammer parameter	BT	Rel-6	CR	
-----------	--	----	-------	----	--

Use a reference instead of an inline URL. Adrian to update.

Approved

N5-040515	Rel 6 CR 29.198-04-1 Java Realisation Annex	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Rel 6 CR 29.198-04-1 Java Realisation Annex Correct annex to align with current Java production process.
N5-040516	Rel 6 CR 29.198-04-3 Java Realisation Annex	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Rel 6 CR 29.198-04-3 Java Realisation Annex Correct annex to align with current Java production process
N5-040517	Rel 6 CR 29.198-04-4 Java Realisation Annex	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Rel 6 CR 29.198-04-4 Java Realisation Annex Correct annex to align with current Java production process
N5-040518	Rel 6 CR 29.198-06 Java Realisation Annex	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Rel 6 CR 29.198-06 Java Realisation Annex Correct annex to align with current Java production process
N5-040519	Rel 6 CR 29.198-13 Java Realisation Annex	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Rel 6 CR 29.198-13 Java Realisation Annex Correct annex to align with current Java Production process

515 and 519 to treated en-bloc.

These changes are done to introduce Java in the remaining parts of release 6

Agreed

11 OSA Testing Activities

N5-040488	Report from ETSI STF 251	STF 251 Leader	OSA Testing	Report_in	
-----------	--------------------------	----------------	-------------	-----------	--

This may be the last report from this STF.

The document can be republished by vendor per copyright release statement that is included

Noted

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040437	Draft RES/TISPAN-06003-OSA Parlay 3 ICS	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040438	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-1-OSA Parlay 3 TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040439	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-2-OSA Parlay 3 TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040440	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-3-OSA Parlay 3 FW TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040441	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-4-OSA Parlay 3 CC TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040442	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-5-OSA Parlay 3 UI TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040443	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-6-OSA Parlay 3 MM TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040444	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-7-OSA Parlay 3 TC TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040445	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-8-OSA Parlay 3 DSC TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040446	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-9-OSA Parlay 3 GMS TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040447	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-10-OSA Parlay 3 CM TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040448	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-11-OSA Parlay 3 AM TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040449	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-12-OSA Parlay 3 CS TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040450	Draft RES/TISPAN-06002-OSA Parlay 4 ICS	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040451	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-1-OSA Parlay 4 TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040452	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-2-OSA Parlay 4 TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040453	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-3-OSA Parlay 4 FW TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	

N5-040454	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-4-OSA Parlay 4 CC TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040455	Draft DES/TISPAN-06005-5-OSA Parlay 4 UI TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040456	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-6-OSA Parlay 4 MM TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040457	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-7-OSA Parlay 4 TC TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040458	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-8-OSA Parlay 4 DSC TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040459	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-9-OSA Parlay 4 GMS TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040460	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-10-OSA Parlay 4 CM TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040461	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-11-OSA Parlay 4 AM TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040462	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-12-OSA Parlay 4 CS TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040463	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-13-OSA Parlay 4 PM TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	
N5-040464	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-14-OSA Parlay 4 PAM TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	

Ultan requests that all the documents (437-464) above are “blessed”.

Action 32/28: JWG to review and provide comments before Sept 6, 2004.

Action 33/28: Chair to inform plenary that this work is finalized

437-464 noted

12 Organisational aspects with relation to Joint activities

12.1 Delivery plans for OSA Rel6 and Parlay 5

Delivery plans for OSA Rel6

Rel 4, 5 Cat F	Sept
Rel 6	Sept
BC changes	Sept
Java (complete R6 & corrected)	Sept
MMM	Sept
PX	Sept
HA	Sept?
Stage 2 interim	Sept
Stage 2 final	Dec
PX FW	Dec?

Action 34/28: Prior to CN plenary, JWG to determine if PX R6 requirements are satisfied.

If yes, plenary will not be told to expect additional PX FW in December

Parlay 5

To start the vote for Parlay 3.4 at TISPAN September plenary

To start the vote for Parlay 4.2 and 5 before TISPAN December plenary

Messaging Work Item in ETSI, supported by Ericsson, Lucent, BT, Aepona

Action 35/28: France Telecom to follow up for support

Action 36/28: Richard to check with Lucent whether they support the work item

Parlay X (supports are the same as single work item API)

John-Luc & Julian to prepare the vote for Parlay X before TISPAN December plenary

Richard to get Work Items for parts and mapping documents

Julian to work on mapping documents and to present them during next meeting

We call it “Parlay X Web Services”, no versioning.

12.2 CR delivery plans for next CN plenaries

So far, we bring CRs every other plenary, except for a pressing reason.

Aepona & Marconi would like to reflect CRs after they are accepted.

Ultan wants to know if we continue with our plan of bringing CRs every other meeting.

Ultan needs help if we continue to release updates against 3 versions of the specification.

Action 37/28: chairs to start discussion and inform plenary

12.3 Review of 3GPP OSA workplan

12.4 3GPP OSA Work Item Description

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040469	Draft update of Rel-6 WID for OSA Stage 3 to include responsibility for OSA Stage 2 transferred from SA2 etc. (Update of CN#23-approved NP-040144)	MCC	Organisational	WID	OSA-GUP be removed. HA to be decided.

Draft update of Rel-6 OSA WID

- 1) add responsibility for Stage 2 OSA to CN5
- 2) Remove OSA-GUP
- 3) Support for HA

This includes HA. We can decide at the end of the meeting to accept this as we know then how to deal with HA

Remove VHE from picture

Stage 2 should still be seen as input to our stage 3 in picture

MMM function in Section should be moved to “new specifications” table

“X” in 29.199-x to be replaced by part numbers

Migration ‘X’ should be 3

Federation ‘X’ should be 3

HA ‘X’ should be 3-15, and remove question marks

OSA stage 2 R6 should be December

OSA stage 2 R5 should be removed

Add new, VHE-less number for OSA stage 2 to “new specifications”

Updated to 618 and for e-mail approval

12.5 Agreement of revised JWG ToR

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040470	Draft update of CN5 Terms of Reference to include responsibility for OSA Stage 2 transferred from SA2	MCC	Organisational	ToR	

Draft update of Rel-6 OSA ToR

OMA is added as a dependency.

Observation on the current draft: We still depend on 3GPP architecture and SA2. We should not cut our ties with 3GPP architecture.

To be addressed by Adrian.

We have no OMA dependencies at the moment but starting in September we will have PX R6 that will be shared with OMA. Chairs wish to make PX overlap visible to OMA and be more active towards the OMA.

Add dependencies on WS-I, W3C, OASIS.

Action 38/28: chairs: write a LS to SA plenary to be agreed over e-mail on overlap with OMA

To be updated 523

N5-040523	Revised Draft update of CN5 Terms of Reference to include responsibility for OSA Stage 2 transferred from SA2	CN5	Organisational	ToR	
-----------	---	-----	----------------	-----	--

“Different levels” to be replaced to “high level”.

Approved with change

13 Outgoing Liaisons

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040468	Draft LS_out to SA1 as cover for the Draft Rel-6 CR 23.127 OSA Stage 1 on OSA-GUP Req. removal	MCC	Output LSs	LS_out	

Updated to 564 per presentation of 467

N5-040476	LS to SA1 on User-Application Authentication	Chelo Abarca, Alcatel	Output LSs	LS_out	
-----------	--	-----------------------	------------	--------	--

Please fix the typo: "stage " into "stage 3"
Adrian to attach a draft CR .

LS: 562

CR: 563

Agreed with change.

N5-040562	LS on removal of Requirements for "User-Application Authentication functions" from OSA Stage 1 (22.127)	CN5	Output LSs	LS_out	
-----------	---	-----	------------	--------	--

Remove from CC line CN

Approved with change

N5-040563	Delete Requirements for "User-Application Authentication functions"	CN5	Output LSs	CR	
-----------	---	-----	------------	----	--

Suggested to add to "User Application Authentication" bullet in 13.1.1.1: "outside the scope of OSA". Also, update/make the same change to "User Network Authentication "outside the scope of OSA".

Approved with changes

N5-040564	LS on removal of Requirements for "User Data Management (OSA-GUP support)" and for "IP session function" from OSA Stage 1 (22.127)	CN5	Output LSs	LS_out	
-----------	--	-----	------------	--------	--

Make explicit that removal of OSA-GUP is triggered by SA1 leadership.
IP session function requirement removal was spotted by us.
We delete CN from the CC line.

Approve with changes

N5-040565	Delete Requirements for "User Data Management (OSA support for GUP)"	CN5	Output LSs	CR	
-----------	--	-----	------------	----	--

Approved

N5-040566	Delete Requirements for "IP session function"	CN5	Output LSs	CR	
-----------	---	-----	------------	----	--

Approved

14 Future meetings

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040420	Full 3GPP meeting calendar including workshops	MCC	Future meetings	Tdoc	

Noted

N5-040471	Dates of next Parlay Member meetings	Chelo Abarca, Alcatel	Future meetings	Tdoc	Dates of next Parlay Member meetings agreed by the Parlay BoD
-----------	--------------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------	------	---

It is suggested to meet with Parlay in 2005: April 4-7 and October 10-13

Agreed

N5-040511	CN WG meeting dates for 2005	3GPP CN Chair	Future meetings	Tdoc	
-----------	------------------------------	---------------	-----------------	------	--

Updated to 567

N5-040567	Revised: CN WG meeting dates for 2005	3GPP CN Chair	Future meetings	Tdoc	
-----------	---------------------------------------	---------------	-----------------	------	--

Agreed, full list of CN5 meetings:

14-18 Febr.
 April 4-8
 15-19 Aug.
 October 10-14

We may cancel the February participation.

Action 39/28: inform plenary that we don't know yet if we need the February participation.

Agreed

15 AOB

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Abstract
N5-040465	Some Limit and Extension of OSA	CCSA (represented by China Unicom)	AOB	Tdoc	

No presenter could be found. Due to lack of time to present them, they were not presented and postponed to the next meeting.

Noted

N5-040509	Status of Java production process	Telcordia	AOB	Tdoc	It has come to our attention that the J2EE Java production process – applying the production rules such that J2EE Remote and a J2EE Local Java code is generated – is not in the hands of ETSI PTCC. This is not a desirable situation. In general, Telcordia would like to see that the ETSI PTCC is capable of generating technology realization in 29.198. Failure to put ETSI PTCC in charge of technology realization may impact specification quality and timeliness.
-----------	-----------------------------------	-----------	-----	------	---

J2SE tool is handed over to ETSI PTCC.

Handing over the J2EE tool will be done under a commercial licence.
 Tool is still changing. I.e. reuse datatype + exceptions J2SE experience.

Action 40/28: Joe, to inform the group if the tool is made available and when it will be made available.

Action 41/28: IBM, AePONA and ETSI PTCC to have a conference call.

Telcordia strongly suggests that this situation is resolved before the next meeting, i.e. the tool to be handed over to ETSI PTCC.

Noted

Action 42/28: volunteers for BC-related changes (Utan)

Summary of editorship/rapporteurship needs, for delegates to bring back to their companies:

- Parlay X ETSI/3GPP: Rapporteur: John-Luc Bakker, Editor: Joe
- Parlay X mapping documents: Chelo (Julian)
- Stage 2: ?

16 Close

Annex A: Agenda

1 Opening of the meeting and approval of the agenda (Monday 9:00 AM)

1.1 Reminder for IPR declaration

The chairman made the following call for IPRs, and asked ETSI members to check the latest version of ETSI's policy available on the web server:

The attention of the members of this Technical Specification Group is drawn to the fact **that 3GPP Individual Members have the obligation** under the IPR Policies of their respective Organizational Partners to **inform their respective Organizational Partners of Essential IPRs they become aware of**.

The members take note that they are hereby invited:

- a) to investigate in their company whether their company does own IPRs which are, or are likely to become Essential in respect of the work of the Technical Specification Group.
- b) to notify the Director-General, or the Chairman of their **respective** Organizational Partners, of all potential IPRs that their company may own, by means of the IPR Statement and the Licensing declaration forms (e.g. see the ETSI IPR forms <http://webapp.etsi.org/lpr/>).

2 Allocation of documents to agenda items

3 Reporting

3.1 JWG meeting, Atlanta

3.2 3GPP

3.2.1 CN plenary

3.2.2 SA plenary

3.2.3 SA1 activities on OSA Requirements

3.2.4 SA1, SA2 activities on GUP

3.2.5 CN1 activities on Access Independence

3.2.6 3GPP OMA discussions

3.3 Parlay

3.3.1 Parlay Board

3.3.2 Parlay TAC

3.4 ETSI

3.5 3GPP2

3.6 Work between meetings

This agenda item aims to review the ToDo list from the previous meeting, plus reporting on any other between-meetings activity, if applicable.

3.7 Other reporting

4 Input liaison statements

5 3GPP OSA stage 2

6 Technical discussions OSA version 1 / 3GPP Rel.4

Only essential error corrections can be taken into account. Essential means that without the intended error correction the current spec can not be implemented (SCS and/or application side).

Note that as Parlay 3.2 has been finalised, and backwards compatibility has to be guaranteed, the assumption is that for error corrections in the scope of Parlay 3 / 3GPP Rel.4 only work around and documentation of the errors is allowed.

7 Technical discussions OSA version 2 / 3GPP Rel.5

Only essential error corrections can be taken into account. Essential means that without the intended error correction the current spec can not be implemented (SCS and/or application side).

Note that as Parlay 4.0 has been finalised, and backwards compatibility has to be guaranteed, the assumption is that for error corrections in the scope of Parlay 4 / 3GPP Rel.5 only work around and documentation of the errors is allowed.

8 Parlay X Web Services and WSDL Realization session

8.1 Parlay X Web Services (29.199)

8.2 OSA WSDL

9 Messaging session

10 Other technical discussions OSA version 3 / 3GPP Rel.6

10.1 Requirements

10.2 Presence and Availability Management

10.3 Call Control

10.4 Framework

10.5 Other APIs

11 OSA Testing Activities

12 Organisational aspects with relation to Joint activities

12.1 Delivery plans for OSA Rel6 and Parlay 5

12.2 CR delivery plans for next CN plenaries

12.3 Review of 3GPP OSA workplan

12.4 3GPP OSA Work Item Description

12.5 Agreement of revised JWG ToR

13 Outgoing Liaisons

14 Future meetings

15 AOB

16 Close

Annex B: Documents list

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Go to CN#25	Abstract
	Document not available					
	Document available, not treated					
	Document available late, not yet treated					
	Document treated					
	Document Revised or Withdrawn					
N5-040400	Invitation to CN#28 Piscataway meeting	Host/Telcordia	1 Agenda	Agenda	n/a	Noted.
N5-040401r1	Draft Agenda	JWG Chair	1 Agenda	Agenda	n/a	Approved
N5-040402r1	Document Allocation	JWG Chair	2 Tdoc allocation	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040403	report_Monday	JWG Chair	n/a	Report_output	n/a	Noted.
N5-040404	report_Tuesday	JWG Chair	n/a	Report_output	n/a	Noted.
N5-040405	report_Wednesday	JWG Chair	n/a	Report_output	n/a	Noted.
N5-040406	report_Thursday	JWG Chair	n/a	Report_output	n/a	Noted.
N5-040407	report_Friday	JWG Chair	n/a	Report_output	n/a	Noted.
N5-040408	Draft Report of this CN5 meeting	JWG Chair	n/a	Report_output	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040409	CN5 Report to the last CN plenary	MCC	3 Reporting 3GPP	Report_in	n/a	Noted.
N5-040410	Report of last 3GPP CN meeting	MCC	3 Reporting 3GPP	Report_in	n/a	Noted.
N5-040411	Report of last 3GPP SA meeting	MCC	3 Reporting 3GPP	Report_in	n/a	Noted.
N5-040412	N5-040208 Draft_v200_Report_CN5_27 Miami, May 2004	JWG Chair Team	3 Reporting	Report_in	n/a	Approved
N5-040413	OSA_WSDL	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	n/a	Withdrawn
N5-040414	RESUBMISSION N5-040023 Rel-6 CR 29.198-04-2 Add token-bucket based overload control methods in IpCallControlManager interface	CCSA (represented by China Unicom)	Rel-6	CR	n/a	Not presented.
N5-040415	RESUBMISSION N5-040024 Rel-6 CR TS 29.198-04-3 MPCC Token-bucket based overload control	CCSA (represented by China Unicom)	Rel-6	CR	n/a	Not presented.
N5-040416	Add Common Feature Capability Service (CFCS) to Parlay API	CCSA (represented by China Unicom)	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Not presented.
N5-040417	Mapping of Parlay CFCS to INAP Protocol	CCSA (represented by	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Not presented.

		China Unicom)				
N5-040418	Mapping of Parlay CFCS to CAMEL Protocol	CCSA (represented by China Unicom)	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Not presented.
N5-040419	Mapping of Parlay CFCS to ANSI-41 Protocol	CCSA (represented by China Unicom)	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Not presented.
N5-040420	Full 3GPP meeting calendar including workshops	MCC	Future meetings	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040421	29.199-01-to-09 Version-103	CN#24 (NP- 040274)	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Noted. This and ONLY this version should be used for ANY update.
N5-040422	LS from SA2 to SA, CN, CN5 on transferring the OSA stage 2 (23.127) responsibility to 3GPP CN5	S2-042344	4 Input LSs	LS_in	n/a	Reply in N5-040525
N5-040423	Comments to Aepona's HA contribution N5-040322	Lucent	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040424	Comments to Aepona's HA contribution N5-040323	Lucent	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040425	Comments to Aepona's HA contribution N5-040324	Lucent	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040426	Comments to Aepona's HA contribution N5-040325	Lucent	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040427	Comments to Aepona's HA contribution N5-040326	Lucent	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040428	FINAL result at the END of SA#24 of Review of the Work Plan at Plenaries TSG#24	MCC	3 Reporting 3GPP	Report_in	n/a	Noted.
N5-040429	Comments to Lucent's N5-040423 (Overview of HA Changes)	AePONA	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040430r1	Comments to Lucent's N5-040424 (Framework App HA initialisation and recovery modifications)	AePONA	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040431r1	Comments to Lucent's N5-040425 (GCC HA initialisation modifications)	AePONA	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040432	Comments to Lucent's N5-040426 (Common CC HA modifications)	AePONA	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040433	Comments to Lucent's N5-040427 (GCC HA notification refresh and multiple call abort)	AePONA	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040434	Rel-6 CR 29.998-04-4 Update the Rel-5 specific limitation	MCC	Rel-6	CR	n/a	Action: Jane to review CR and report to CN5#29 Nov 2004
N5-040435	Rel-5 3GPP TS 23127-520: Virtual Home Environment (VHE) / Open Service Access (OSA): Stage 2	MCC	OSA stage 2	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040436	Rel-6 3GPP TS 23127-610: Virtual Home Environment (VHE) / Open Service Access (OSA): Stage 2	MCC	OSA stage 2	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040437	Draft RES/TISPAN-06003-OSA Parlay 3 ICS	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040438	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-1-OSA Parlay 3 TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040439	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-2-OSA Parlay 3 TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040440	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-3-OSA Parlay 3 FW TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040441	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-4-OSA Parlay 3 CC TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040442	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-5-OSA Parlay 3 UI TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040443	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-6-OSA Parlay 3 MM TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040444	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-7-OSA Parlay 3 TC TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040445	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-8-OSA Parlay 3 DSC TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040446	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-9-OSA Parlay 3 GMS TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040447	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-10-OSA Parlay 3 CM TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.

N5-040448	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-11-OSA Parlay 3 AM TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040449	Draft RES/TISPAN-06005-12-OSA Parlay 3 CS TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040450	Draft RES/TISPAN-06002-OSA Parlay 4 ICS	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040451	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-1-OSA Parlay 4 TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040452	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-2-OSA Parlay 4 TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040453	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-3-OSA Parlay 4 FW TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040454	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-4-OSA Parlay 4 CC TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040455	Draft DES/TISPAN-06005-5-OSA Parlay 4 UI TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040456	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-6-OSA Parlay 4 MM TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040457	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-7-OSA Parlay 4 TC TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040458	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-8-OSA Parlay 4 DSC TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040459	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-9-OSA Parlay 4 GMS TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040460	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-10-OSA Parlay 4 CM TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040461	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-11-OSA Parlay 4 AM TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040462	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-12-OSA Parlay 4 CS TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040463	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-13-OSA Parlay 4 PM TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040464	Draft DES/TISPAN-06004-14-OSA Parlay 4 PAM TSS&TP	STF251	OSA Testing	TS	n/a	Noted.
N5-040465	Some Limit and Extension of OSA	CCSA (represented by China Unicom)	AOB	Tdoc	n/a	Not presented.
N5-040466	Draft REPORT Version C of SA1#25 Plenary meeting, Montreal, Canada, 28 June - 02 July 2004	S1-040730-Draft-C	3 Reporting 3GPP	Report_in	n/a	Noted. ("6.1 SA -- 24-04 GUP and OSA -- CN5 is still waiting for SA1 to provide requirements for the support of GUP in OSA. If these requirements are not prepared by the next meeting then the above mentioned functionality cannot be part of Rel-6. The statement from the CN5 chairman was that the requirements are inadequate. However, there has been no input on this for several meetings. Based on this, it was suggested that the requirement should be deleted; this was agreed.")
N5-040467	Draft Rel-6 CR 22.127 OSA Stage 1 on OSA-GUP Req. removal	MCC	OSA stage 1	CR	n/a	Updated to N5-040565
N5-040468	Draft LS_out to SA1 as cover for the Draft Rel-6 CR 22.127 OSA Stage 1 on OSA-GUP Req. removal	MCC	Output LSs	LS_out	n/a	Updated to N5-040564
N5-040469	Draft update of Rel-6 WID for OSA Stage 3 to include responsibility for OSA Stage 2 transferred from SA2 etc. (Update of CN#23-approved NP-040144)	MCC	Organisational	WID	n/a	Updated to N5-040618.
N5-040470	Draft update of CN5 Terms of Reference to include responsibility for OSA Stage 2 transferred from SA2	MCC	Organisational	ToR	n/a	Updated to N5-040523
N5-040471	Dates of next Parlay Member meetings agreed by the Parlay BoD	Chelo Abarca, Alcatel	Future meetings	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040472	What needs to be done in OSE stage 2	Chelo Abarca, Alcatel	OSA stage 2	Tdoc	n/a	Noted. Summay of changes necessary to update the OSA stage 2, agreed to become CN5 responsibility, that has been untouched since 2002
N5-040473	Backwards Compatibility in OSA/Parlay	Richard Stretch,	3 Reporting	Tdoc	n/a	Noted. Parlay board defining the Rules for

		BT	Parlay			Deprecation
N5-040474	Parlay board: PX and Parlay WEB Services	Richard Stretch, BT	3 Reporting Parlay	Tdoc	n/a	Noted. Contains statements from the Parlay Board on content and structure of Parlay X and Parlay WEB Services documents
N5-040475	Parlay Board-Tac report	Richard Stretch, BT	3 Reporting Parlay	Report_in	n/a	Withdrawn
N5-040476	LS to SA1 on User-Application Authentication	Chelo Abarca, Alcatel	Output LSs	LS_out	n/a	Updated to N5-040562.
N5-040477	6 Rel-6 CRs 29.198-05 OSA API Part 5: Generic user interaction (UI Call Proposed changes 1)	BT	Rel-6	CR	n/a	Noted.
N5-040478	Rel-6 CR 29.198-5 V6.0.1 - Clarifications to Section 8 (UI Administration Interface)	BT	Rel-6	CR	n/a	Withdrawn
N5-040479	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Addition of getMessageList() within the IpUIAdminManager interface.	BT	Rel-6	CR	n/a	Updated to N5-040587
N5-040480	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Correction of UI typos.	BT	Rel-6	CR	n/a	Withdrawn
N5-040481	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Clarification of the functionality provided by recordMessageReq() method.	BT	Rel-6	CR	n/a	Withdrawn
N5-040482	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Removal of the Voice XML specific UI additions to maintain interface abstraction.	BT	Rel-6	CR	n/a	Updated to N5-040588
N5-040483	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Proposed changes to the TpUIRecognitionCriteria parameter	BT	Rel-6	CR	n/a	Updated to N5-040589
N5-040484	Parlay/OSA 5.0 Requirements doc	BT	10.1 Requirements	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040485	Parlay 6.0 Requirements	BT	10.1 Requirements	Tdoc		Draft Version 0.04 Parlay 6.0 Requirements capture
N5-040486	Parlay Board/TAC report	BT	3 Reporting Parlay	Tdoc		Noted. Developments and decision made by Parlay Board
N5-040487	UI Interface Proposed changes 11	BT	2 Tdoc allocation	Tdoc	n/a	Withdrawn
N5-040488	Report from ETSI STF 251	STF 251 Leader	OSA Testing	Report_in	n/a	Noted.
N5-040489	29.199-01 Parlay X Overview and Common Data Definitions	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Updated to N5-040568.
N5-040490	29.199-02 Parlay X Web Services - Third Party Call	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Updated to N5-040569
N5-040491	29.199-03 Parlay X Web Services - Call Notification	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Updated to N5-040570
N5-040492	29.199-04 Parlay X Web Services - Call Handling	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Updated to N5-040571
N5-040493	29.199-05 Parlay X Web Services - Audio Call	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Updated to N5-040572
N5-040494	29.199-06 Parlay X Web Services - Multimedia Conference	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Updated to N5-040573
N5-040495	29.199-07 Parlay X Web Services - Short Messaging	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Updated to N5-040574
N5-040496	29.199-08 Parlay X Web Services - Multimedia Messaging	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Updated to N5-040575
N5-040497	29.199-09 Parlay X Web Services - Terminal Status	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Updated to N5-040576
N5-040498	29.199-10 Parlay X Web Services - Terminal Location	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Updated to N5-040577
N5-040499	29.199-11 Parlay X Web Services - Payment	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Updated to N5-040578
N5-040500	29.199-12 Parlay X Web Services - Account Management	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Updated to N5-040579
N5-040501	29.199-13 Parlay X Web Services - Address List Management	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Updated to N5-040580
N5-040502	29.199-14 Parlay X Web Services - Presence	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Updated to N5-040581

N5-040503	Rel-6 CR 23.127 Correct descriptions of OSA high-level abstraction interfaces	Telcordia, IBM	OSA stage 2	CR	n/a	Updated to N5-040524
N5-040504	Rel-6 CR 23.127 Add descriptions of OSA high-level abstraction interfaces	Telcordia, IBM	OSA stage 2	CR	Yes	Agreed.
N5-040505	Parlay Web Services Framework in 29198	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	n/a	Withdrawn, Spinn-offs in N5-040554 & N5-040555.
N5-040506	Parlay Web Services Framework in 29.199	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	n/a	Withdrawn
N5-040507	Proposed new draft ETSI ES 203 915-15 MultiMedia Messaging SCF	Ericsson, Lucent	Messaging	TS	n/a	Agreed.
N5-040508	Proposed New Draft 3GPP TS 29.198-15 MultiMedia Messaging SCF	Ericsson, Lucent	Messaging	TS	Yes	Agreed.
N5-040509	Status of Java production process	Telcordia	AOB	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040510	Maps & Access	Host	1 Agenda	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040511	CN WG meeting dates for 2005	3GPP CN Chair	Future meetings	Tdoc	n/a	Updated to N5-040567.
N5-040512	Miami To-Do (Actions arising from the CN5#27 meeting, Miami, May 2004)	JWG Chair	3 Reporting 3GPP	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040513r1	HA Discussion Summary and proposals to reach consensus on existing API features	AePONA	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040514	Comments on Callback changes introduced in Miami	AePONA	Rel-4, Rel-5, Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040515	Rel-6 CR 29.198-04-1 Java Realisation Annex Correct annex to align with current Java production process.	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Agreed.
N5-040516	Rel-6 CR 29.198-04-3 Java Realisation Annex Correct annex to align with current Java production process	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Agreed.
N5-040517	Rel-6 CR 29.198-04-4 Java Realisation Annex Correct annex to align with current Java production process	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Agreed.
N5-040518	Rel-6 CR 29.198-06 Java Realisation Annex Correct annex to align with current Java production process	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Agreed.
N5-040519	Rel-6 CR 29.198-13 Java Realisation Annex Correct annex to align with current Java Production process	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Agreed.
N5-040520	WorkBetweenMeetings	JWG Chair	3 Reporting	Report_in	n/a	Noted.
N5-040521	NotesFromLastIETF3GPPCoordinationConfCall	JWG Chair	3 Reporting	Report_in	n/a	Noted.
N5-040522	Rel-5 CR 29.198-01 Correct J2EE rule on generation of Serialization UID	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	n/a	Withdrawn. Split into N5-040526, N5-040527.
N5-040523	Revised Draft update of CN5 Terms of Reference to include responsibility for OSA Stage 2 transferred from SA2	MCC	Organisational	ToR	Yes	Update of N5-040470. Agreed.
N5-040524	Rel-6 CR 23.127 Correct descriptions of OSA high-level abstraction interfaces	Telcordia, IBM	OSA stage 2	CR	Yes	Agreed.
N5-040525	Reply LS from CN5 to CN, SA, SA2 on SA2's proposal to transfer OSA stage 2 (23.127) responsibility from SA2 to CN5	Chelo Abarca, Alcatel	Output LSs	LS_out	Yes	Reply to N5-040422. For email Approval.
N5-040526	Rel-5 CR 29.198-01 Remove a field that appears in every Rel-5 part that has J2EE source	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	N5-040522 split. Children CRs in N5-040528 to N5-040542. For email Approval.
N5-040527	Rel-6 Mirror (Cat A) CR 29.198-01 to N5-040526 Remove a field that appears in every Rel-6 part that has J2EE source	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	N5-040522 split. Children CRs in N5-040543 to N5-040552. For email Approval.
N5-040528	Rel-5 CR 29.198-02	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040529	Rel-5 CR 29.198-03	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040530	Rel-5 CR 29.198-04-1	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040531	Rel-5 CR 29.198-04-2	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040532	Rel-5 CR 29.198-04-3	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040533	Rel-5 CR 29.198-04-4	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.

N5-040534	Rel-5 CR 29.198-05	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040535	Rel-5 CR 29.198-06	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040536	Rel-5 CR 29.198-07	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040537	Rel-5 CR 29.198-08	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040538	Rel-5 CR 29.198-11	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040539	Rel-5 CR 29.198-12	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040540	Rel-5 CR 29.198-13	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040541	Rel-5 CR 29.198-14	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040542	Rel-5 CR 29.198-14	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040543	Rel-6 CR 29.198-02	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040527. For email Approval.
N5-040544	Rel-6 CR 29.198-03	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040527. For email Approval.
N5-040545	Rel-6 CR 29.198-04-2	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040527. For email Approval.
N5-040546	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040527. For email Approval.
N5-040547	Rel-6 CR 29.198-07	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040527. For email Approval.
N5-040548	Rel-6 CR 29.198-08	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040527. For email Approval.
N5-040549	Rel-6 CR 29.198-11	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040527. For email Approval.
N5-040550	Rel-6 CR 29.198-12	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040527. For email Approval.
N5-040551	PX : 9 Old to 14 New SpecMapping	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Agreed.
N5-040552	Not used	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	n/a	Not used
N5-040553	Rel-4 CR 29.198-04 Correct IpCall State Diagram	AePONA	Rel-4	CR	n/a	Updated to N5-040583, N5-040584, N5-040585.
N5-040554	Spin-off from N5-040505 Parlay Web Services Framework in 29198	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	n/a	Spin-off from N5-040505. Withdrawn.
N5-040555	Spin-off from N5-040505 Parlay Web Services Framework in 29198	IBM	Parlay X	Tdoc	n/a	Spin-off from N5-040505. Withdrawn.
N5-040556	Lucent Response to Aepona N5-040513	Lucent	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040557	Lucent Comments to Aepona's N5-040513 HA Discussion Summary	Lucent	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040558	Backwards Compatibility in OSA/Parlay, Parlay Board option	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040559	Backwards Compatibility rules in 203 915-1	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040560	Rel-6 CR 29.198-01 Add Description of Backwards Compatibility rules	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For email Approval. Subsequently to be updated to N5-040620 as listed under document N5-040559 in the CN5#28 minutes.
N5-040561	Methods removed as consequence of Backwards Compatibility decision	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	Tdoc	n/a	Noted.
N5-040562	LS to SA1 on removal of Requirements for "User-Application Authentication"	CN5	Rel-6	LS_out	Yes	Update of N5-040476. Agreed.
N5-040563	Draft Rel-6 CR 22.127 (OSA Stage 1) Delete Requirements for "User-Application Authentication"	CN5	Rel-6	CR	n/a	Agreed.
N5-040564	LS to SA1 on removal of Requirements for "User Data Management (OSA-GUP support)" and "IP session function"	CN5	Rel-6	LS_out	Yes	Update of N5-040468. Agreed.
N5-040565	Draft Rel-6 CR 22.127 (OSA Stage 1) Delete Requirements for "User Data Management (OSA support for GUP)"	CN5	Rel-6	CR	n/a	Update of N5-040467. Agreed.
N5-040566	Draft Rel-6 CR 22.127 (OSA Stage 1) Delete Requirements for "IP session function"	CN5	Rel-6	CR	n/a	Agreed.
N5-040567	Revised: CN WG meeting dates for 2005	3GPP CN Chair	Future	Tdoc	n/a	Update of N5-040511. Noted.

			meetings			
N5-040568	29.199-01 Parlay X Overview and Common Data Definitions	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Update of N5-040489. Updated to N5-040595.
N5-040569	29.199-02 Parlay X Web Services - Third Party Call	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040490. Agreed.
N5-040570	29.199-03 Parlay X Web Services - Call Notification	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040491. Agreed.
N5-040571	29.199-04 Parlay X Web Services - Call Handling	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040492. Agreed.
N5-040572	29.199-05 Parlay X Web Services - Audio Call	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040493. Agreed.
N5-040573	29.199-06 Parlay X Web Services - Multimedia Conference	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040494. Agreed.
N5-040574	29.199-07 Parlay X Web Services - Short Messaging	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040495. Agreed.
N5-040575	29.199-08 Parlay X Web Services - Multimedia Messaging	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040496. Agreed.
N5-040576	29.199-09 Parlay X Web Services - Terminal Status	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040497. Agreed.
N5-040577	29.199-10 Parlay X Web Services - Terminal Location	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040498. Agreed.
N5-040578	29.199-11 Parlay X Web Services - Payment	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040499. Agreed.
N5-040579	29.199-12 Parlay X Web Services - Account Management	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040500. Agreed.
N5-040580	29.199-13 Parlay X Web Services - Address List Management	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040501. Agreed.
N5-040581	29.199-14 Parlay X Web Services - Presence	IBM	Parlay X	TS	n/a	Update of N5-040502. Updated to N5-040594.
N5-040582	Rel-6 CR 23.127 Add OSA Multi Media Messaging SCF - stage 2 description	Ericsson	OSA stage 2	CR	n/a	Updated to N5-040586.
N5-040583	Rel-4 CR 29.198-04 Correct IpCall State Diagram	AePONA	Rel-4	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040553. Agreed.
N5-040584	Rel-5 CR 29.198-04 Correct IpCall State Diagram	AePONA	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040553. Agreed.
N5-040585	Rel-6 CR 29.198-04 Correct IpCall State Diagram	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040553. Agreed.
N5-040586	Rel-6 CR 23.127 Add OSA Multi Media Messaging SCF - stage 2 description	Ericsson	OSA stage 2	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040582. Agreed.
N5-040587	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Addition of getMessageList() within the IpUIAdminManager interface.	BT	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040479. Agreed.
N5-040588	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Removal of the Voice XML specific UI additions to maintain interface abstraction.	BT	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040482. Agreed.
N5-040589	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Proposed changes to the TpUIRecognitionCriteria parameter	BT	Rel-6	CR	n/a	Update of N5-040483. Updated to N5-040593.
N5-040590	Rel-6 CR 29.198-03 Framework Corrections to support HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	n/a	Updated to N5-040596.
N5-040591	Rel-6 CR 29.198-04-2 GCC Corrections to support HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040592	Rel 6-CR 29.198-04-2 Additional GCC features to support HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040593	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Proposed changes to the TpUIRecognitionCriteria parameter	BT	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040489. Agreed. Add URL to Reference clause
N5-040594	29.199-14 Parlay X Web Services - Presence	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040581. For Email Approval.
N5-040595	29.199-01 Parlay X Overview and Common Data Definitions	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040468. For Email Approval.
N5-040596	Rel-6 CR 29.198-03 Framework Corrections to support HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040590. For Email Approval.
N5-040597	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040598	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040599	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040600	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.

N5-040601	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040602	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040603	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040604	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040605	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040606	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040607	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040608	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040609	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040610	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040611	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040612	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040613	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040614	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040615	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040616	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040617	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040618	Draft update of Rel-6 WID for OSA Stage 3 to include responsibility for OSA Stage 2 transferred from SA2 etc. (Update of CN#23-approved NP-040144)	MCC	Organisational	WID	Yes	Update of N5-040469. For Email Approval.
N5-040619	LS to SA (cc: CN) on OMA and Web Services specifications (3GPP TS 29.199-series).	Chelo Abarca, Alcatel	Output LSs	LS_out	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040620	Rel-6 CR 29.198-01 Add Description of Backwards Compatibility rules	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040460. For Email Approval.
N5-040621	Rel-6 CR 29.198-03 Remove deprecated items	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040622	Rel-6 CR 29.198-04-2 Remove deprecated items	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040623	Rel-6 CR 29.198-04-3 Remove deprecated items	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040624	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 Remove deprecated items	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040625	Rel-6 CR 29.198-08 Remove deprecated items	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040626	Rel-6 CR 29.198-11 Remove deprecated items	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.

Annex B.1: LS list

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Go to CN#25	Abstract
N5-040422	LS from SA2 to SA, CN, CN5 on transferring the OSA stage 2 (23.127) responsibility to 3GPP CN5	S2-042344	4 Input LSs	LS_in	n/a	Reply in N5-040525
N5-040525	Reply LS from CN5 to CN, SA, SA2 on SA2's proposal to transfer OSA stage 2 (23.127) responsibility from SA2 to CN5	Chelo Abarca, Alcatel	Output LSs	LS_out	Yes	Reply to N5-040422. For email Approval.
N5-040562	LS to SA1 on removal of Requirements for "User-Application Authentication"	CN5	Rel-6	LS_out	Yes	Update of N5-040476. Agreed.
N5-040564	LS to SA1 on removal of Requirements for "User Data Management (OSA-GUP support)" and "IP session function"	CN5	Rel-6	LS_out	Yes	Update of N5-040468. Agreed.
N5-040619	LS to SA (cc: CN) on OMA and Web Services specifications (3GPP TS 29.199-series).	Chelo Abarca, Alcatel	Output LSs	LS_out	Yes	For Email Approval.

Annex B.2: CR list

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Go to CN#25	Abstract
N5-040504	Rel-6 CR 23.127 Add descriptions of OSA high-level abstraction interfaces	Telcordia, IBM	OSA stage 2	CR	Yes	Agreed.
N5-040515	Rel-6 CR 29.198-04-1 Java Realisation Annex Correct annex to align with current Java production process.	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Agreed.
N5-040516	Rel-6 CR 29.198-04-3 Java Realisation Annex Correct annex to align with current Java production process	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Agreed.
N5-040517	Rel-6 CR 29.198-04-4 Java Realisation Annex Correct annex to align with current Java production process	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Agreed.
N5-040518	Rel-6 CR 29.198-06 Java Realisation Annex Correct annex to align with current Java production process	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Agreed.
N5-040519	Rel-6 CR 29.198-13 Java Realisation Annex Correct annex to align with current Java Production process	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Agreed.
N5-040524	Rel-6 CR 23.127 Correct descriptions of OSA high-level abstraction interfaces	Telcordia, IBM	OSA stage 2	CR	Yes	Agreed.
N5-040526	Rel-5 CR 29.198-01 Remove a field that appears in every Rel-5 part that has J2EE source	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	N5-040522 split. Children CRs in N5-040528 to N5-040542. For email Approval.
N5-040527	Rel-6 Mirror (Cat A) CR 29.198-01 to N5-040526 Remove a field that appears in every Rel-6 part that has J2EE source	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	N5-040522 split. Children CRs in N5-040543 to N5-040552. For email Approval.
N5-040528	Rel-5 CR 29.198-02	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040529	Rel-5 CR 29.198-03	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040530	Rel-5 CR 29.198-04-1	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040531	Rel-5 CR 29.198-04-2	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040532	Rel-5 CR 29.198-04-3	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040533	Rel-5 CR 29.198-04-4	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040534	Rel-5 CR 29.198-05	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040535	Rel-5 CR 29.198-06	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040536	Rel-5 CR 29.198-07	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040537	Rel-5 CR 29.198-08	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040538	Rel-5 CR 29.198-11	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.

N5-040539	Rel-5 CR 29.198-12	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040540	Rel-5 CR 29.198-13	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040541	Rel-5 CR 29.198-14	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040542	Rel-5 CR 29.198-14	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040526. For email Approval.
N5-040543	Rel-6 CR 29.198-02	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040527. For email Approval.
N5-040544	Rel-6 CR 29.198-03	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040527. For email Approval.
N5-040545	Rel-6 CR 29.198-04-2	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040527. For email Approval.
N5-040546	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040527. For email Approval.
N5-040547	Rel-6 CR 29.198-07	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040527. For email Approval.
N5-040548	Rel-6 CR 29.198-08	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040527. For email Approval.
N5-040549	Rel-6 CR 29.198-11	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040527. For email Approval.
N5-040550	Rel-6 CR 29.198-12	Telcordia	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Parent in N5-040527. For email Approval.
N5-040560	Rel-6 CR 29.198-01 Add Description of Backwards Compatibility rules	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For email Approval. Subsequently to be updated to N5-040620 as listed under document N5-040559 in the CN5#28 minutes.
N5-040583	Rel-4 CR 29.198-04 Correct IpCall State Diagram	AePONA	Rel-4	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040553. Agreed.
N5-040584	Rel-5 CR 29.198-04 Correct IpCall State Diagram	AePONA	Rel-5	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040553. Agreed.
N5-040585	Rel-6 CR 29.198-04 Correct IpCall State Diagram	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040553. Agreed.
N5-040586	Rel-6 CR 23.127 Add OSA Multi Media Messaging SCF - stage 2 description	Ericsson	OSA stage 2	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040582. Agreed.
N5-040587	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Addition of getMessageList() within the IpUIAdminManager interface.	BT	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040479. Agreed.
N5-040588	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Removal of the Voice XML specific UI additions to maintain interface abstraction.	BT	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040482. Agreed.
N5-040591	Rel-6 CR 29.198-04-2 GCC Corrections to support HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040592	Rel 6-CR 29.198-04-2 Additional GCC features to support HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040593	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 - Proposed changes to the TpUIRecognitionCriteria parameter	BT	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040489. Agreed. Add URL to Reference clause
N5-040596	Rel-6 CR 29.198-03 Framework Corrections to support HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040590. For Email Approval.
N5-040597	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040598	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040599	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040600	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040601	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040602	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040603	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040604	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040605	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040606	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.

N5-040607	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040608	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040609	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040610	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040611	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040612	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040613	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040614	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040615	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040616	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040617	HA	AePONA	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040620	Rel-6 CR 29.198-01 Add Description of Backwards Compatibility rules	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	CR	Yes	Update of N5-040460. For Email Approval.
N5-040621	Rel-6 CR 29.198-03 Remove deprecated items	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040622	Rel-6 CR 29.198-04-2 Remove deprecated items	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040623	Rel-6 CR 29.198-04-3 Remove deprecated items	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040624	Rel-6 CR 29.198-05 Remove deprecated items	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040625	Rel-6 CR 29.198-08 Remove deprecated items	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.
N5-040626	Rel-6 CR 29.198-11 Remove deprecated items	ETSI PTCC	Rel-6	CR	Yes	For Email Approval.

Annex B.3: TS list

Doc	Title	Source	Allocations	Type	Go to CN#25	Abstract
N5-040507	Proposed new draft ETSI ES 203 915-15 MultiMedia Messaging SCF	Ericsson, Lucent	Messaging	TS	n/a	Agreed.
N5-040508	Proposed New Draft 3GPP TS 29.198-15 MultiMedia Messaging SCF	Ericsson, Lucent	Messaging	TS	Yes	Agreed.
N5-040569	29.199-02 Parlay X Web Services - Third Party Call	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040490. Agreed.
N5-040570	29.199-03 Parlay X Web Services - Call Notification	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040491. Agreed.
N5-040571	29.199-04 Parlay X Web Services - Call Handling	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040492. Agreed.
N5-040572	29.199-05 Parlay X Web Services - Audio Call	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040493. Agreed.
N5-040573	29.199-06 Parlay X Web Services - Multimedia Conference	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040494. Agreed.
N5-040574	29.199-07 Parlay X Web Services - Short Messaging	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040495. Agreed.
N5-040575	29.199-08 Parlay X Web Services - Multimedia Messaging	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040496. Agreed.
N5-040576	29.199-09 Parlay X Web Services - Terminal Status	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040497. Agreed.
N5-040577	29.199-10 Parlay X Web Services - Terminal Location	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040498. Agreed.
N5-040578	29.199-11 Parlay X Web Services - Payment	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040499. Agreed.
N5-040579	29.199-12 Parlay X Web Services - Account Management	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040500. Agreed.

N5-040580	29.199-13 Parlay X Web Services - Address List Management	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040501. Agreed.
N5-040594	29.199-14 Parlay X Web Services - Presence	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040581. For Email Approval.
N5-040595	29.199-01 Parlay X Overview and Common Data Definitions	IBM	Parlay X	TS	Yes	Update of N5-040468. For Email Approval.

Annex C: Participants list

Chairman

ABARCA Chelo	ALCATEL S.A.	FR
STRETCH Richard	BT Group Plc	GB

Vice Chairman

BAKKER John-Luc	Telcordia Technologies	US
BUNTING, Roger L.	Lucent Technologies N. S. UK	GB
DAMIAN O'Neill	AePONA LTD	GB
HUMPHREY Jane D	MARCONI COMMUNICATIONS	GB
MALLYA Satya	France Telecom	FR
MCINTYRE Joe	IBM EUROPE	DE
MULLIGAN Ultan	ETSI Secretariat	FR
MURRAY Eamonn	AePONA LTD	GB
NYGREEN Gaute	TELENOR AS	NO
SEMAAN Marwan	Incomit AB	SE
VAN RIJSSEN Erwin	ERICSSON LM	SE
ZOICAS Adrian	ETSI Secretariat	FR

Parlay-ONLY Members or Staff

Name	Role	Organization	Organization Represented	Phone/Fax	E-mail
Dyst, Joergen	Attendee	Appium Technologies	Parlay	Phone : +46 40 664 29 73 Fax : +46 40 30 32 62	jorgen.dyst@appium.com
Richards, Julian	Guest	Parlay	Parlay	Phone : + Fax : +	

Number of Attendees:

16

Member of 3GPP (ATIS)

Mr. John-Luc Bakker	Telcordia Technologies	(ATIS)	US	+1-732-699-2694	jlbakker@research.telcordia.com
---------------------	------------------------	--------	----	-----------------	---------------------------------

Member of 3GPP (ETSI)

Ms. Chelo Abarca	ALCATEL S.A.	(ETSI)	FR	+33 1307 70469	chelo.abarca@alcatel.fr
Ms. Jane D Humphrey	MARCONI COMMUNICATIONS	(ETSI)	GB	+44 24 76564232	jane.humphrey@marconi.com
Mr. Satya Mallya	France Telecom	(ETSI)	US	+1 650 875 3029	satya.mallya@rd.francetelecom.com
Mr. Joe Mcintyre	IBM EUROPE	(ETSI)	US	+1 512 823 1675	joe@us.ibm.com
Mr. Ransom Murphy	Lucent N. S. UK	(ETSI)	US	+1 919 463 3202	gmurphy@lucent.com
Mr. Eamonn Murray	AePONA LTD	(ETSI)	GB	+44 28 90269188	eamonn.murray@aepona.com
Mr. Gaute Nygreen	TELENOR AS	(ETSI)	NO	+47 992 86 856	gaute.nygreen@telenor.com
Mr. O'Neill Damian	AePONA LTD	(ETSI)	GB	+442890275246	damian.oneill@aepona.com
Mr. Richard Stretch	BT Group Plc	(ETSI)	GB	+44 1473 607487	richard.stretch@bt.com
Mr. Roger Bunting.	Lucent N. S. UK	(ETSI)	GB	+1 630 979 5942	rlbunting@lucent.com
Mr. Erwin van Rijssen	ERICSSON LM	(ETSI)	NL	+31161242320	Erwin.van.Rijssen@ericsson.com
Mr. Marwan Semaan	Incomit AB	(ETSI)	SE	+4654176728	marwan.semaan@incomit.com

Organisation partner representative (ETSI)

Mr. Ultan Mulligan	ETSI Secretariat	(ETSI)	FR	+33 4 92 94 43 88	ultan.mulligan@etsi.org
Mr. Adrian Zoicas	ETSI Secretariat	(ETSI)	FR	+33 4 92 94 42 21	adrian.zoicas@etsi.org

Annex D: List of Actions arising from this meeting

Action 01/28:	Chairs, GM LS discussion will be brought to e-mail (619)
Action 02/28:	explain decision to BoD [Richard]
Action 03/28:	create a change request against Part 1. [Ultan] (see 560)
Action 04/28:	create change requests against all Parts/release. [JWG]
Action 05/28:	produce list with eligible removals. [Ultan] (see 561)
Action 06/28:	Ultan will share this with the list for comments.
Action 07/28:	JL/Chelo to tell CN in the report to next 3GPP CN plenary that these TRs with mappings are being finalized in ETSI TISPAN that will be references to ETSI TRs provided as CRs to the 3GPP CN December plenary.
Action 08/28:	Jane will e-mail CN1 re: editor and Jane will report from next week's CN1 report to CN5
Action 09/28:	chairs: put CN1 response in CN report
Action 10/28:	Richard to talk informally with PAG Chair and make him aware of Address List Management work that may be agreed by the JWG this meeting.
Action 11/28:	Chelo to produce outgoing LS for e-mail approval (525)
Action 12/28:	chairs, to find a rapporteur/editor
Action 13/28:	chairs, to report plan to CN & SA plenary: CN5 agrees to produce Rel 6 Stage 2, needs a new number, and the scope will be limited to OSA.
Action 14/28:	Adrian to: Remove from Consequences if not approved "stage 1, " Remove from Reason for change "OSA stage 1 req. and"
Action 15/28:	Damian to help defining the means through which we share the common data types with the OMA
Action 16/28:	Marwan & Joe to take criteria issue offline
Action 17/28:	JWG: Are these defined in Rich Presence?
Action 18/28:	JL: Check dependencies to IDs?
Action 19/28:	Joe to prepare a proposal on how to present the style guide or parts of it as normative (checklist like approach as in OMA) as part of part 1 29.199, for later this week. Will be number 554.
Action 20/28:	everybody, think about how 29.198 and 29.199 should coexist (or not) in the future.
Action 21/28:	Joe to check that the WSDL compliance is included in part 1.
Action 22/28:	Richard to compare "Parlay/OSA 5.0 Requirements 0.10" with OSA Stage 1: 22.127-660 (i.e. http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/Specs/html-info/22127.htm). Note that a 670 version of 22.127 is forthcoming (see document 563)!
Action 23/28:	Richard to follow up on merging 484's section 6.11 with "service chaining".
Action 24/28:	Richard to assign the document a new number through creating a WI.
Action 25/28:	chairs: potentially inform the plenary that Stage 3 changes may follow from Stage 2 update following the agreements reached during this session

Action 26/28:	Eamonn to distribute pointer and announce when e-mail process completes
Action 27/28:	Eamonn to distribute pointer and announce when e-mail process completes
Action 28/28:	Eamonn to distribute pointer and announce when e-mail process completes
Action 29/28:	Jane to follow up
Action 30/28:	Ultan: changes 2 and 3 in 480 are editorials
Action 31/28:	Richard, to start e-mail discussion
Action 32/28:	JWG to review and provide comments before Sept 6, 2004.
Action 33/28:	Chair to inform plenary that this work is finalized
Action 34/28:	Prior to CN plenary, JWG to determine if PX R6 requirements are satisfied. If yes, plenary will not be told to expect additional PX FW in December
Action 35/28:	France Telecom to follow up for support
Action 36/28:	Richard to check with Lucent whether they support the work item
Action 37/28:	chairs to start discussion and inform plenary
Action 38/28:	chairs: write a LS to SA plenary to be agreed over e-mail on overlap with OMA
Action 39/28:	inform plenary that we don't know yet if we need the February participation.
Action 40/28:	Joe, to inform the group if the tool is made available and when it will be made available.
Action 41/28:	IBM, AePONA and ETSI PTCC to have a conference call.
Action 42/28:	volunteers for BC-related changes (Ultan)

History

Document history		
Ver. 1.0.0	01 Sep 2004	N5-040408 DRAFT Report submitted to CN5 for comment and posted at: http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_cn/WG5_osa/TSGN5_28_Piscataway/Report/
Ver. 2.0.0	15 Oct 2004	N5-040408 Revised DRAFT Report submitted to CN5 for approval and posted at: http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_cn/WG5_osa/TSGN5_28_Piscataway/Report/
Ver. 3.0.0	05 Nov 2004	N5-040645 : Approved Report at CN5#29 and posted at: http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_cn/WG5_osa/TSGN5_28_Piscataway/Report/