

Title: **[Draft] Reply LS on principles for overlapping issue with OMA regarding PoC**
Response to: LS (NP-030358) on Need for OMA Liaison with 3GPP and 3GPP2 re PoC
Release: Rel 6
Work Item: --

Source: CN
To: OMA Requirement WG, IP MM BoF, New technical PoC sub working group in MAG
Cc: SA, SA1, SA2, SA3, SA4, SA5, CN1, CN3, CN4

Contact Persons:
Name: ?
Tel. Number: ?
E-mail Address: ?

and

Name: Yukio Kawanami, NEC
Tel. Number: +81 471 85 7158
E-mail Address: kawanami@cj.jp.nec.com

Attachments: None

1. Overall Description:

CN thanks OMA requirement WG for the LS: NP-030358 on the Need for OMA Liaison with 3GPP and 3GPP2 re PoC. CN already discussed the general overlapping issues between 3GPP and OMA and agreed the following guidelines at the last CN#20 plenary in June:

“CN Feedback on OMA Overlap:

- 3GPP should have responsibility for the IMS platform.
- There is a need to document the technical interfaces between 3GPP and OMA.

It was clarified that this meant that a division of the work is needed, e.g. the work between OMA Groups and CN WGs needs to be clearly defined.

- There is a need to document the procedural mechanisms for interworking between 3GPP and OMA.

The TSG CN Chairman reported that there were no plans to produce any new specification for OMA. OMA were invited to make use of existing and under development specifications. The documentation was intended to be a formal description of the work split between the two groups.”
(Excerpt from the SA#20-report-v004)

CN recognized that OMA is to develop application enablers or “service enablers” which can run over a variety of different mobile networks including those specified by 3GPP.

CN1 already discussed the PoC requirement based on this LS (treated just for information for CN1) and company’s input document at the last CN1 meeting. During the discussion, CN1 recognized that IMS conference service covers PoC work in the near future and IMS ph2 WID may be revised accordingly once the WG relations are established. Thus WG relations between 3GPP and OMA should be established for efficient working between these organizations in the earliest possibility.

Consequently, 3GPP and OMA need to come to a mutual agreement on division of work to help eliminate the above problems. Based on an analysis of the *above discussion*, the following principle for a work split could be agreed:

- OMA develops application and service enabler requirements for mobile services, and develops the high level architecture and implementation where the service enabler does not build upon IMS platform such as WAP platform, etc.
- There is a need to discuss and document the technical interfaces between 3GPP and OMA in terms of PoC interfaces, if any.
- 3GPP is a single contact point for IETF in terms of IMS requirements so that 3GPP develops IMS capabilities to support OMA applications using IETF protocols.
- WG Liaison relationship should be established between CN WGs in 3GPP and PoC related WGs in OMA in the earliest possibility.
CN1 is a leading contact WG regarding PoC work in CN and WID in 3GPP is IMS phase2.

The consequences of this approach would be that some work in OMA would need to be closed at some point to allow the focus for that work to move to 3GPP.

2. Actions:

To OMA Requirement WG, IP MM BoF, New technical PoC sub working group in MAG

ACTION: CN kindly asks to take note of the principles above and take relevant actions accordingly

3. Date of Next TSG-CN Meetings:

TSG-CN Meeting #22 10th – 12th December 2003 Hawaii, USA

TSG-CN Meeting #23 10th – 12th March 2004 TBD, USA