

43GPP TSG CN WG4#04  
 Seattle, Washington, US  
 28 August - 1 September 2000

Tdoc NP-000511

Source: MCC (franco.settimo@etsi.fr)

Title: Draft Meeting Report

Agenda item:

Document for: APPROVAL

| Agenda item | Agenda item title           | Tdoc N4-00           | Title  | Source      | Result  |
|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 1           | Opening, approval of agenda | <a href="#">0561</a> | Agenda   | N4 Chairman | Due to the high number of documents, the Chairman asked whether it was acceptable to have parallel meetings for some sessions. The proposal was rejected by delegates who had submitted documents related to different sessions.<br>The Agenda was eventually approved  |
| 2           | Document Allocation         | <a href="#">0562</a> | Tdoc allocation to agenda items                  | N4 Chairman | A revision was circulated and further revised according to the comments received. Approved with modifications.  |
| 3           | Meeting Report              | <a href="#">0563</a> | Helsinki meeting report                          | MCC         | Comments were received only from Ericsson.<br>Approved.<br>The SA report was presented by the CN Chairman: the names of Releases will coincide from now one with the version numbers (R00 will be R4). Release 4 may contain IP MM. Release 5 will contain full specification of the IP Multimedia Subsystem.<br>PCG meeting: all GSM specs are now under control of 3GPP (including TSG GERAN).<br>Meeting reports shall be made available within two weeks time.<br>IPR declarations need to take place in the opening phase of the meeting. Guidelines from MCC will be needed on IPR declarations, and these will be asked to Adrian Scrase ( <b>Action Point: MCC</b> ). |
| 4           | Liaison Statements          | <a href="#">0510</a> | Proposed LS on RAB Assignment<br>QoS Negotiation | R3          | See section 6.6.9   |

| Agenda item | Agenda item title           | Tdoc N4-00           | Title  | Source      | Result   |
|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--|-------------|--|
|             |                             | <a href="#">0513</a> | Response to liaison statement on support of AMR via IU-PS in GERAN                   | R3          | In copy to N4. Noted.  |
|             |                             | <a href="#">0536</a> | CR 23018-057r2 on Handling of the Call Diversion Treatment Indicator                 | N2          | See section 6.1  |
|             |                             | <a href="#">0610</a> | Liaison Statement on IPT Basic Call Handling   | N2          | See section 6.1  |
|             |                             | <a href="#">0612</a> | LS to S2 on use of IP for security key distribution                                  | S3          | Sent to N4 in copy. Noted.   |
|             |                             | <a href="#">0613</a> | Evaluation of the impact on positive authentication reporting on network performance | S3          | See section 6.6.6  |
|             |                             | <a href="#">0629</a> | Liaison statement on the introduction of GEA2  | N1          | Sent in copy to N4 and presented by BT. Allocated to sect. 6.2 for a more detailed discussion.   |
|             |                             | <a href="#">0630</a> | Answer to the liaison statement on the modified lengths of parameters AUTN and AUTS  | N1          | Noted.   |
|             |                             | <a href="#">0631</a> | Liaison Statement on MS Network Capability IE Conflict                               | N1          | See section 6.2  |
|             |                             | <a href="#">0632</a> | LS back on Race conditions avoidance   | N1          | See section 6.2.1  |
| <b>5</b>    | <b>Work Item Management</b> | <a href="#">0668</a> | R00 Project Plan   | Siemens     | The schedule of the TFO work item needs to be checked, according to Siemens, who distributed the document. Postponed for the ad hoc discussion, with a focus on the N4 issues.   |
|             |                             | <a href="#">0628</a> | Necessary N4 works for SMWOP (Service Modification WithOut Pre-notification)         | NEC         | <p>The document highlights areas for activity by N4 in relation to the WI, approved by the CN plenary in Dusseldorf. The item will be discussed in conjunction with N3 delegates.</p> <p>The document was then presented in the N3/N4 joint meeting. On the activity it is agreed that there is no need for a new WI description, since the current one covers the issue satisfactorily.</p> |
|             |                             | <a href="#">0610</a> | Liaison Statement on IPT Basic Call Handling   | N2          | <p>N4 believes that the appropriate place for discussion of this issue is S2, which is also in the list of addressees.</p> <p>However, S2 specs seems to be at a rather high level, according to the N2 Chairman.. N2 is primarily interested in the behaviour of network entities, Vodafone said.</p>   |
|             |                             | 0681                 | Draft Principles of 3GPP Work Planning - Release Mechanisms                          | N4 Chairman | The CN Chairman went through the principles expressed in the document, which was then noted.   |
|             |                             | 0684                 | Framework for planned content of next Release  | N4 Chairman | Not formally agreed at the SA level.<br>Postponed for ad hoc discussion.   |

| Agenda item | Agenda item title    | Tdoc N4-00           | Title   | Source          | Result  |
|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------|---|
|             |                      | 0682                 | 3GPP Work Planning for IM SubSystem                                   | N4 Chairman     | Also to be put on the ad hoc discussion   |
|             |                      | 0683                 | CN and IP MM protocols  | N4 Chairman     | <i>Naming and addressing functions</i> was added to the list of issues related to N4 as well as <i>Subscriber data management in HSS and the HSS to CSCF protocols to support this.</i>   |
|             |                      | 0687                 | ToR for N4  | N4 Chairman     | Stage 2 LCS was deleted from the list of bullet points. Revised to 0689.  |
|             |                      | 0689                 | Revision of 0687  | N4 Chairman     | Agreed from the N4 perspective.   |
|             |                      | 0690                 | Revision of 0683  | N4 Chairman     | Agreed from the N4 perspective.   |
| <b>6</b>    | <b>Release 99</b>    |                      |   |                 |   |
| <b>6.1</b>  | <b>Camel Phase 3</b> | <a href="#">0574</a> | CR 23.018-061 on Update of CAMEL References                           | Vodafone        | Revised to 693  |
|             |                      | <a href="#">0639</a> | CR-29.002-173 on Optionality of parameters in GPRS-CSI (R99)          | Nokia           | The CR proposes to change a couple of data types, to become optional at the protocol level, so that segmentation is possible (parameters are too long, without this change). Ericsson requested whether it is acceptable that this is a Correction, given this explanation.<br>The CR was agreed, but Ericsson was given the chance of consulting colleagues at home. |
|             |                      | <a href="#">0640</a> | CR-29.002-174 on Optionality of parameters in GPRS-CSI (R00)          | Nokia           | Mirror CR to the above. Agreed as well.   |
|             |                      | <a href="#">0536</a> | CR 23.018-057r2 on Handling of the Call Diversion Treatment Indicator | N2              | Revised to 0577.  |
|             |                      | 0693                 | CR 23.018-061r1 on Update of CAMEL References                         | N2              | Revised to 0722   |
|             |                      | 0722                 | CR 23.018-061r2 on Update of CAMEL References                         | N2              | Agreed  |
| <b>6.2</b>  | <b>GPRS</b>          | <a href="#">0583</a> | CR 29.060-126r1 on Sequence number in signalling messages             | Ericsson        | The changes were illustrated by Ericsson. Nortel would like to set to 0 the Sequence Number, Nokia is in favour of the current Ericsson proposal, given that it solves a problem and a change would involve a delay of three more months. Nortel volunteered to perform the change accordingly.<br>Revised to 0694  |
|             |                      | 0694                 | CR 29.060-126r2 on Sequence number in signalling messages             | Ericsson/Nortel | Agreed  |
|             |                      | <a href="#">0584</a> | CR 29.060-134 on Clarification on GSN address in Error Indication     | Ericsson        | Small change presented by Ericsson. But see also 0656.  |

| Agenda item | Agenda item title | Tdoc N4-00           | Title  | Source  | Result   |
|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|--|---------|--|
|             |                   | <a href="#">0590</a> | CR 29.060-135 on Addition of MS Not Reachable Reason to Send Routing Information for GPRS Response (R99) | Siemens | Alignment of 29.060 with 29.002 and 23.060, through appropriate inclusion of the addition of a parameter. Nokia highlighted that also R97 and R98 need to be corrected. In this case, the appropriate category needs to be identified. The current document, however, was agreed.<br><br>R97 and R98 versions to be drafted by Siemens. Category should not be C1. |
|             |                   | 0695                 | CR 09.60-xxx on Addition of MS Not Reachable Reason to Send Routing Information for GPRS Response (R97)  | Siemens | Category C3. Mirror CR to 0590. Agreed   |
|             |                   | 0696                 | CR 09.60-xxx on Addition of MS Not Reachable Reason to Send Routing Information for GPRS Response (R98)  | Siemens | Category C3. Mirror CR to 0590. Agreed.  |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0591</a> | CR 29.060-136 on Compatibility GTPv0/GTPv1 in case of SGSN change (R99)                                  | Siemens | Functional modification to R99, not a correction, Nokia remarked (there is a link to 23.060). It was noted that Handling of the CR would be more appropriate after approval of the 3GPP plenary, but it was agreed to elaborate the CR now, to save time. Revised to 0698. Postponed to November.  |
|             |                   | 0698                 | CR 29.060-136r1 on Compatibility GTPv0/GTPv1 in case of SGSN change (R99)                                | Siemens | Withdrawn  |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0592</a> | CR 29.060-137 on SGSN change with GTP v0 tunnel to GGSN (R99)  | Siemens | Postponed to November.   |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0595</a> | CR 29.060-131r1 on Security parameter transport in case of 2G <-> 3G interworking (R99)                  | Siemens | Presented for info at the Helsinki meeting. Comments received were reflected in the revision, with the exclusion of a less essential point. Agreed.  |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0605</a> | CR 29.060-139 on Clarifications on the use of TEID in the Control Plane                                  | Fujitsu | Nortel remarked that the text does not add substantially new information. Proposed to remove the last but one sentence before the figure. Revised to 0699  |
|             |                   | 0699                 | CR 29.060-139r1 on Clarifications on the use of TEID in the Control Plane                                | Fujitsu | <i>If the TEID for Signalling is received from the transmitter, this Information Element shall be stored:</i> sentence proposed by Nortel and agreed. With this revision the CR was agreed.  |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0606</a> | CR 29.060-140 on Correction on the handling of the PDP Context at unsuccessful PDP Context modification  | Fujitsu | Agreed   |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0616</a> | CR 29.060-141 on Clarifications on the presence condition of TLLI/P-TMSI in SGSN Context request         | Fujitsu | Agreed   |

| Agenda item | Agenda item title | Tdoc N4-00           | Title  | Source              | Result  |
|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|--|---------------------|---|
|             |                   | <a href="#">0631</a> | Liaison Statement on MS Network Capability IE Conflict                                     | N1                  | Principle: when information is received from two different sources, it has to be decided which one has priority. The LS requests to change Stage 2 specification and, if needed, Stage 3 as well.   |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0656</a> | CR 29.060-140 on Categorize Error indication as the GTP-U message                          | NEC                 | Ericsson do not see the need for moving it to the Control Plane, given that an effort was done to separate things. Lucent, Ericsson, NEC, Nokia were invited to sit together and look at the problem (that also involves Tdoc 0584), that will then be rediscussed during this meeting. NEC will lead the activity. |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0669</a> | CR 29.060-143 on Correction on Reliable transmission of signalling messages                | Lucent              | Discussed off line and revised to 0702. It was debated whether the correction also needs to apply to R97 and R98. Agreed not to have it as a C1 category, and also C3 was not agreed. No CR needed, consequently, for R97 and R98.  |
|             |                   | 0702                 | CR 29.060-143r1 on Correction on Reliable transmission of signalling messages              | Lucent              | Presented by Lucent and further revised to 0724   |
|             |                   | 0724                 | CR 29.060-143r2 on Correction on Reliable transmission of signalling messages              | Lucent              | Agreed.   |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0679</a> | CR 29.060-144 on Insufficient modification   | NTT Software        | Nokia proposed to change Category from D to F, since it is actually a correction. Agreed with modifications to the coversheet.  |
|             |                   | 0716                 | CR 29.060-145 on Correction to the SGSN Context transfer Request and response messages     | Lucent Technologies | Revised to 0729   |
|             |                   | 0717                 | CR 29.060-146 on Correction to the SGSN Forward relocation Request and Response messages   | Lucent Technologies | Revised to 0730   |
|             |                   | 0729                 | CR 29.060-145r1 on Correction to the SGSN Context transfer Request and response messages   | Lucent Technologies | Agreed.   |
|             |                   | 0730                 | CR 29.060-146r1 on Correction to the SGSN Forward relocation Request and Response messages | Lucent Technologies | NEC suggested to align the names of parameters. Signalling to be replaced with Control Plane. Revised to 0753   |
|             |                   | 0753                 | CR 29.060-146r2 on Correction to the SGSN Forward relocation Request and Response messages | Lucent              | Agreed  |
|             |                   | 0718                 | CR 29.060-147 on Clarification on the handling of response messages                        | Lucent Technologies | Agreed  |
| 6.2.1       | Race Conditions   | <a href="#">0609</a> | CR 29.060-105 on Race Avoiding Conditions  | N4                  | Revised to 0715   |

| Agenda item  | Agenda item title             | Tdoc N4-00           | Title   | Source              | Result  |
|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------|---|
|              |                               | 0715                 | CR 29.060-105r1 on Race Avoiding Conditions   | Lucent Technologies | No impact from this CR expected for 23.060. <i>Other comments</i> deleted from the coversheet. Agreed.  |
|              |                               | <a href="#">0632</a> | LS back on Race conditions avoidance  | N1                  | The amount of ongoing discussion leads to the conclusion that N4 do not agree with the position of N1.  |
|              |                               | <a href="#">0667</a> | Discussion paper on race conditions   | Lucent              | Withdrawn   |
|              |                               | <a href="#">0672</a> | Solution for race condition of GTP procedures   | Fujitsu             | Revised to 0723   |
|              |                               | 0723                 | Solution for race condition of GTP procedures (revised)                               | Fujitsu             | Noted   |
|              |                               | <a href="#">0673</a> | CR 29.060-122r1 on Solution for race condition of GTP procedures                      | Fujitsu             | Clarification on the meaning of the first change was requested by Nortel Networks. Open issues will be clarified off line by a team of interested delegates (Lucent etc.).  |
|              |                               | 0732                 | CR 29.060-122r2 on Solution for race condition of GTP procedures                      | Fujitsu             | Changes briefly explained. Nortel observed that his suggested text was not correctly implemented.   |
|              |                               | 0780                 | CR 29.060-122r3 on Solution for race condition of GTP procedures                      | Fujitsu             | Agreed conditionally.   |
|              |                               | 0721                 | CR 29.060-141r1 on Categorize Error indication as the GTP-U message (Revision of 656) | NEC                 | A change was proposed by Ericsson to the Error Indication section 7.3.7. Nortel requested time to show the CR internally. Revised to 0733   |
|              |                               | 0733                 | CR 29.060-141r2 on Categorize Error indication as the GTP-U message                   | NEC                 | Agreed conditionally, if no objections are received within 11 <sup>th</sup> September. NEC will inform explicitly MCC of the CR approval.<br>However, after a supplement of discussion, it was agreed with no conditions. |
|              |                               | 0725                 | Proposed LS on Error Indication message to U-plane                                    | NEC                 | Needs further discussion.   |
| <b>6.3</b>   | <b>Supplementary Services</b> |                      |   |                     |   |
| <b>6.3.1</b> | <b>Optimal Routing</b>        | <a href="#">0568</a> | CR 23.079-015 on Sheet 1 of Procedure OR_HLR_CF                                       | Vodafone            | Agreed  |
| <b>6.3.2</b> | <b>Call Diversion</b>         | <a href="#">0577</a> | CR 23.018-057r3 on Handling of the Call Diversion Treatment Indicator                 | Vodafone            | Agreed  |
|              |                               | <a href="#">0578</a> | CR 23.072-005 on Handling of the Call Diversion Treatment Indicator                   | Vodafone            | Another part of the package to which the previous CR belongs to. Agreed   |
|              |                               | <a href="#">0579</a> | CR 23.093-004 on Handling of the Call Diversion Treatment Indicator                   | Vodafone            | Still a component of the package. Agreed  |
| <b>6.3.3</b> | <b>Follow-Me</b>              | <a href="#">0611</a> | CR 23.094-002 on Correction of the wrong Service Code                                 | Siemens             | Agreed  |

| Agenda item | Agenda item title     | Tdoc N4-00           | Title  | Source   | Result  |
|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|----------|---|
| 6.3.4       | Call Hold             | <a href="#">0664</a> | CR 23.018-055r2 on Inclusion of call hold in basic call handling   | Vodafone | Revised to 0710   |
|             |                       | 0710                 | CR 23.018-055r3 on Inclusion of call hold in basic call handling   | Vodafone | <p>Original version presented for information in Helsinki. Covers the interactions between new processes. Being R00 related, new version to be generated is 4.0.0. Vodafone clarified that the approval of this CR, although editorial from the system point of view, will make life much easier when CAMEL Phase 4 has to be implemented.</p> <p>The editorial nature of the CR was questioned by MCC (difficult to justify triggering the creation of v4.0.0) and it was then agreed to categorise it as C, functional modification of a feature.</p> <p>The Chairman proposed to better examine the document at home. Vodafone, however, preferred to have an off line discussion with NTT Comware, the only Company that had concerns with a part of the document. In case of solution of these concerns, the CR could be approved. In any case, no other revision will be generated this week.</p> <p>Document put on hold, it will be rediscussed in the meeting.</p> |
| 6.4         | Basic Call Processing | <a href="#">0564</a> | CR 23.018-059 on Corrections to procedure obtain routing address   | Vodafone | Reason has been added in the procedure Obtain Routing Address. Agreed   |
|             |                       | <a href="#">0569</a> | CR 23.018-060 on Corrections to process ICH_VLR                    | Vodafone | Small change necessary. Agreed  |
|             |                       | <a href="#">0570</a> | CR 23.018-055r1 on Inclusion of call hold in basic call handling   | Vodafone | Revised to 664  |
|             |                       | <a href="#">0571</a> | CR 23.083-005r1 on Inclusion of call hold in basic call handling   | Vodafone | Part of a package with Tdoc 710.  |
|             |                       | <a href="#">0572</a> | CR 23.135-003 on Inclusion of call hold in basic call handling     | Vodafone | Still part of a package with Tdoc 710.  |
|             |                       | <a href="#">0596</a> | CR 23.016-016 on Correction to Delete Subscriber Data (R99)        | Siemens  | The only change is in sect. 4.2.2. Nokia mentioned the requirement to also correct R97 and R98, see Tdocs 0734 and 0735   |
|             |                       | 0734                 | CR 03.16-A042 on Correction to Delete Subscriber Data (R97)        | Siemens  | Agreed  |
|             |                       | 0735                 | CR 03.16-A041 on Correction to Delete Subscriber Data (R98)        | Siemens  | Agreed  |
| 6.5         | Location Services     | <a href="#">0575</a> | CR 29.002-162 on Correction on Location Information (R99)          | Vodafone | Revised to 665  |
|             |                       | <a href="#">0576</a> | CR 29.002-163 on Correction on Location Information (R00)          | Vodafone | Revised to 666  |
|             |                       | <a href="#">0597</a> | CR 29.002-165 on Removal of LSAIdentity from NoteMM-EventArg (R99) | Siemens  | Agreed  |

| Agenda item  | Agenda item title             | Tdoc N4-00           | Title  | Source   | Result  |
|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------|---|
|              |                               | 0736                 | CR 29.002-xxx on Removal of LSAIdentity from NoteMM-EventArg (R00)                     | Siemens  | Agreed  |
|              |                               | <a href="#">0665</a> | CR 29.002-162r1 on Correction on Location Information (R99)                            | Vodafone | Agreed  |
|              |                               | <a href="#">0666</a> | CR 29.002-163r1 on Correction on Location Information (R00)                            | Vodafone | Agreed  |
|              |                               | <a href="#">0641</a> | CR-09.02 A310 on Correction to QoS indication (R98)                                    | Nokia    | An indication about the achievable confidence level was introduced in a textual way. Justification was requested about the value of 90% of the confidence level. According to Nokia this is a typical value.<br>Postponed to tomorrow morning.<br>Agreement reached with Siemens on a figure of 67%.  |
|              |                               | <a href="#">0642</a> | CR-29.002-175 on Correction to QoS indication (R99)                                    | Nokia    |   |
|              |                               | <a href="#">0643</a> | CR-29.002-176 on Correction to QoS indication (R00)                                    | Nokia    |   |
| <b>6.6</b>   | <b>AOB</b>                    |                      |  |          |   |
| <b>6.6.1</b> | <b>TrFO</b>                   |                      |  |          |   |
| <b>6.6.2</b> | <b>Multicall</b>              | <a href="#">0607</a> | CR 22.097-003 on Interaction with Multicall  | S1       | Sent to N4 for information. Noted.  |
| <b>6.6.3</b> | <b>MSP</b>                    | <a href="#">0608</a> | CR 22.135-006 on Interaction with MSP  | S1       | Sent to N4 for information. Noted.  |
| <b>6.6.4</b> | <b>EC within Multi Calls</b>  | <a href="#">0621</a> | CR 23.135-002r2 on Requirement on Network to Tear Down Calls to Accept EC in Multicall | Ericsson | Revised to 0712   |
|              |                               | 0712                 | CR 23.135-002r3 on Requirement on Network to Tear Down Calls to Accept EC in Multicall | Ericsson | BT observed that the category of the CR should not be a correction, but a functional modification of a feature. Vodafone objected saying that the CR provides an alignment with a Stage 1 specification. Ericsson reported about the history of the Stage 1 CR brought to the attention of S1.<br>Investigations on impacts to N1 specifications should be performed. Revised to 0737 |
|              |                               | 0737                 | CR 23.135-002r4 on Requirement on Network to Tear Down Calls to Accept EC in Multicall | Ericsson | The agreed changes, stimulated by BT, have been performed by Ericsson.<br>Agreed  |
| <b>6.6.5</b> | <b>Addressing, Identities</b> | <a href="#">0625</a> | CR 23.003-023r1 on Alignment of 23.003 with text from 25.401                           | Ericsson | Nokia remarked that the CR does not seem editorial and Category F was proposed and accepted. Agreed   |



| Agenda item | Agenda item title        | Tdoc N4-00           | Title   | Source   | Result   |
|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|----------|--|
| 6.6.6       | Security, Authentication | <a href="#">0613</a> | Evaluation of the impact on positive authentication reporting on network performance              | S3       | Presented by the N4 Chairman. The requested feature configures itself as an additional one and Ericsson objected for R99. A response should go to S3 in the spirit of the discussion: impact on several 3GPP procedures is expected as a consequence of the 3GPP2 requirements. LS in response (0742) will be drafted by the N4 Chairman and Vice Chairman.  |
|             |                          | <a href="#">0538</a> | CR 29.002-154r1 on Clarification on Authentication Failure Report ack                             | Ericsson | Replaced by 0670   |
|             |                          | <a href="#">0541</a> | CR 29.002-161 on Clarification on Authentication Failure Report ack                               | Ericsson | Replaced by 0671   |
|             |                          | <a href="#">0635</a> | CR 29.002-169 on Inclusion of sending identity address to the Authentication Failure Report (R99) | Nokia    | The HLR does not know the VLR/SGSN from which an Authentication Failure Report comes from. It is then proposed to send an explicit message. Ericsson noted that it is an excellent enhancement, but for Release 2000, not a correction to R99. Nokia replied that it is a correction of a behaviour not performing properly. Ericsson objected firmly to have it as an R99 issue, Nokia did not accept to send it directly to CN for their consideration.<br><br>There seemed to be an agreement on the content, not on the timely implementation. Nokia, BT and Ericsson will discuss it off line and report before the end of the meeting. |
|             |                          | <a href="#">0636</a> | CR-29.002-170 on Inclusion of sending identity address to the Authentication Failure Report (R00) | Nokia    | Mirror R00 CR of the previous one.   |
|             |                          | <a href="#">0637</a> | CR-29.002-171 on Correction to the Encryption Information in ASN.1 (R99)                          | Nokia    | Withdrawn  |
|             |                          | <a href="#">0638</a> | CR-29.002-172 on Correction to the Encryption Information in ASN.1 (R00)                          | Nokia    | Withdrawn  |
|             |                          | <a href="#">0670</a> | CR 29.002-154r2 on Clarification on Authentication Failure Report ack (R99)                       | Ericsson | Originally discussed in Helsinki. It is editorial, since there is no explicit change to the HLR behaviour. Category changed to F. Revised to 743, removing also Data Missing.  |
|             |                          | 0743                 | CR 29.002-154r3 on Clarification on Authentication Failure Report ack (R99)                       | Ericsson | Agreed   |
|             |                          | <a href="#">0671</a> | CR 29.002-161r1 on Clarification on Authentication Failure Report ack (R00)                       | Ericsson | Mirror CR to 0670. Revised to 744  |
|             |                          | 0744                 | CR 29.002-161r2 on Clarification on Authentication Failure Report ack (R00)                       | Ericsson | Agreed   |

| Agenda item | Agenda item title | Tdoc N4-00           | Title   | Source          | Result   |
|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------|--|
| 6.6.7       | Handover          | <a href="#">0648</a> | CR 29.002-177 on Clarification of use of Radio Resource Information (R99)   | Ericsson        | Triggered by a comment from Nortel. Revised to 746   |
|             |                   | <b>0746</b>          | CR 29.002-177r1 on Clarification of use of Radio Resource Information (R99) | Ericsson        | Agreed   |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0649</a> | CR 29.002-178 on Clarification of use of Radio Resource Information (R00)   | Ericsson        | Revised to 0747  |
|             |                   | <b>0747</b>          | CR 29.002-178r1 on Clarification of use of Radio Resource Information (R00) | Ericsson        | Agreed   |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0650</a> | CR 29.010-006 on Clarification of use of Radio Resource Information (R99)   | Ericsson        | Companion CR of 0648, aims at providing a global clarification. Nortel still proposed an amendment, captured by Ericsson. Must to be changed shall to comply with 3GPP guidelines. Nokia wished to analyze it at home, with one week time. BT prefers to also have the reference to 23.009, believed to be complementary to the one added. Revised to 0745 |
|             |                   | <b>0745</b>          | CR 29.010-006 on Clarification of use of Radio Resource Information (R99)   | Ericsson        | Conditionally approved. By Monday 11 <sup>th</sup> , Sean will send it to MCC.   |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0660</a> | CR 29.002-179 (R99) on Correction to MSC-A handover SDLs                    | Nortel Networks | Editorial modification, as declared by Nortel. Correction to an incorrect CR implementation. Nokia and NTT Comware would like to have the chance to see it at home. The CR will be split into two parts, for clarity, separating the easy from the controversial part.<br>Tdocs created: 0748 and 0749.  |
|             |                   | <b>0748</b>          | CR 29.002-179r1 (R99) on Correction to MSC-A handover SDLs                  | Nortel Networks | Remaining part of the CR, without Sheet 8. Agreed  |
|             |                   | <b>0749</b>          | CR 29.002-xxx on Correction to MSC-A handover SDLs                          | Nortel Networks | Containing Sheet 8. Conditionally agreed. To report to MCC by September 8 <sup>th</sup> .  |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0661</a> | CR 29.002-180 (R00) on Correction to MSC-A handover SDLs                    | Nortel Networks | To be split as well.   |
|             |                   | <b>0750</b>          | CR 29.002-180r1 (R00) on Correction to MSC-A handover SDLs                  | Nortel Networks | Containing the non sensitive part. Agreed  |
|             |                   | <b>0751</b>          | CR 29.002-xxx on Correction to MSC-A handover SDLs                          | Nortel Networks | Containing Sheet 8. Conditionally agreed. To report to MCC by September 8 <sup>th</sup> .  |

| Agenda item | Agenda item title       | Tdoc N4-00           | Title   | Source                 | Result   |
|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------------|--|
|             |                         | <a href="#">0658</a> | CR 29.010-007 on Corrections and updates to align with current R99 specs        | Nortel Networks        | Number of corrections to 29.010. The term relocation is not used in GSM system, according to Ericsson.<br>Agreed conditionally. To be sent to MCC by September 8 <sup>th</sup> .   |
|             |                         | <a href="#">0633</a> | CR 23.009-012r1 on Correction to transcoder handling for R99                    | N1                     | Amending some errors regarding transcoder handling (at the time, it was still assumed that TrFO operations were expected by R99, not the case any longer).<br>Comma should be changed into a fullstop after "-report request). <b>Action Point MCC:</b> to inform Ban Al Bakri.<br>BT requested whether there are impacts on MAP procedures from this CR. The CR was noted |
| 6.6.8       | Guidelines              | <a href="#">0662</a> | CR 10.02-A004 on the handling of application layer errors in MAP                | Vodafone               | Changes of substance only were illustrated. Revised to 0775  |
|             |                         | 0775                 | Revision of 0662  | Vodafone               | Agreed. Guidelines from MCC requested ( <b>Action Point MCC</b> ) about the number to be assigned to the 3G equivalent.  |
|             |                         | <a href="#">0663</a> | CR 30.002-001 on the handling of application layer errors in MAP                | Vodafone               | Withdrawn  |
| 6.6.9       | QoS                     | <a href="#">0510</a> | Proposed LS on RAB Assignment QoS Negotiation                                   | R3                     | Originally received for the Helsinki meeting. Maximum bit rate and guaranteed bit rate are the parameters that R3 propose as variable. N4 has no mandate to perform the suggested changes, which are competence of N1. However, N4 has no problem in accepting the selection of the indicated parameters.<br>Response LS (Tdoc 0752) will be drafted by Nortel Networks.   |
|             |                         | 0752                 | Response to LS on RAB Assignment QoS Negotiation                                | Nortel Networks        | Approved   |
| 7           | Release 2000            |                      |   |                        |  |
| 7.1         | TrFO/TFO workshop       |                      |   |                        |  |
| 7.1.1       | Agenda & Doc Allocation | 0686                 | TrFO Workshop Agenda  | TrFO Workshop Convenor | Revised to 0705  |
|             |                         | 0705                 | Revised Agenda  | TrFO Workshop Convenor | Approved   |
| 7.1.2       | Meeting Reports         | <a href="#">0563</a> | Helsinki meeting report   | MCC                    | Revised  |
|             |                         | 0700                 | Comments to Draft Report N4-000553 on TrFO/TFO workshop                         | Alcatel                | Approved   |
|             |                         | 0706                 | Revised meeting report from Stockholm   | MCC                    | Comments from Alcatel were included. Meeting report was consequently agreed.<br><b>Action Point MCC:</b> Send to CN plenary  |
| 7.1.3       | Liaison Statements      | 0688                 | Reply to LS from SA4 (000327R) on codec types for different access technologies |                        | Noted. See also Annex E.   |

| Agenda item | Agenda item title               | Tdoc N4-00           | Title  | Source          | Result   |
|-------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| 7.1.4       | Stage 2                         | <a href="#">0623</a> | Updates to Stage 2 for OoBTC - 3G TS 23.153                        | Ericsson        | See Annex E  |
| 7.1.5       | RAN Capabilities                | <a href="#">0626</a> | SRNS relocation during the TrFO connection                         | NEC             | See Annex E  |
|             |                                 | <a href="#">0651</a> | Support Of SDU's by the RNC & ACS/SCS negotiation                  | Ericsson        | See Annex E  |
| 7.1.6       | CN Capabilities                 | <a href="#">0604</a> | Iu UP in comparison with I.366.2                                   | Siemens         | See Annex E  |
|             |                                 | <a href="#">0622</a> | Iu UP Protocol handling Solution for TrFO.                         | Ericsson        | See Annex E  |
|             |                                 | <a href="#">0624</a> | Inter-MSC Handover for TrFO/TFO connections                        | Ericsson        | See Annex E  |
|             |                                 | <a href="#">0627</a> | OoBTC work plan  | NEC             | See Annex E  |
|             |                                 | <a href="#">0653</a> | DoCoMo's view on the issue of User Plane protocol termination      | NTT DoCoMo      | See Annex E  |
|             |                                 | <a href="#">0657</a> | CN Scenarios For TrFO  | Ericsson        | See Annex E  |
| 7.1.7       | TrFO Break                      | <a href="#">0603</a> | TrFO break function  | Siemens         | See Annex E  |
|             |                                 | <b>707</b>           | LS, Sending of nsi without modification to rab                     | Ericsson        | See Annex E  |
| 7.2         | Bearer Independent Architecture | <a href="#">0573</a> | Bearer-Independent CS Core Network; Stage 2                        | Nortel Networks | Changes in respect to the previous version were highlighted. Ericsson wanted to know the status of SIP-T in standardisation at the moment. Specific Annexes for each protocol should be provided, upon suggestion of the Chairman, with a general body for the Spec.<br>The Chairman observed that currently there is no big debate about selection of BICC or SIP-T and that Stage 2 stability has higher priority.<br>TrFO needs to be addressed in the Stage 2 as well. |
|             |                                 | <a href="#">0619</a> | 3G TS 23.205 V0.0.2 on Bearer-Independent CS Core Network; Stage 2 | Ericsson        | Revised into 0652.   |
|             |                                 | <a href="#">0620</a> | Media Gateway Controller - Media Gateway Interface; Stage 3        | Ericsson        | Presented by Ericsson.<br>There was some lengthy debate about the opportunity of having it as a TS or as a TR. As a starting point, BT would like to see this document as a TR.<br>A volunteer was requested for the Rapporteurship: Ericsson volunteered, provided that working contributions came from the rest of the delegates.<br>Final agreement: Laura Pomponi (CSELT) editor, Heinz Peter Keutmann (Ericsson) co-editor.   |

| Agenda item | Agenda item title | Tdoc N4-00           | Title   | Source   | Result   |
|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|---|----------|--|
|             |                   | 0692                 | Bearer Independent Circuit-Switched Core Network: Work Item description | Ericsson | Approved: <b>to be sent to CN.</b>   |
| 7.4         | Security          | <a href="#">0602</a> | CR 29.002-168 on Security Header modification (R00)                     | Siemens  | A Security parameter was removed by the security header, upon decision of S3.<br>A supporting LS was not received by MCC and there was some reluctance (e.g. Nokia) to accept the CR without seeing the LS. For this reason, the CR was postponed to the next meeting.   |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0652</a> | Revised version of TS 23.205  | Ericsson | <p>Changes were commented and the inclusions suggested by Nortel were performed. BT asked clarifications on the concept of originating half and terminated half, that are relating to the call, as explained by Ericsson.</p> <p>References to the web pages (that could change) should be avoided: Nortel clarified that they relate to working documents, for the time being. The status of references [7] and [8] was requested by BT: they are targeted for finalisation by December 2000. References to draft documents shall then disappear from the approved Spec.</p> <p>New version will be generated: 0.1.0.</p> <p>BT clarified that Information flows described in Annex A use protocol names, for clarity.</p> <p>In 5.1.3.1 the term "full", before compliance, can be removed.</p> <p>Call flows between the orig. and termin. half are implementation specific, according to Nortel. They will be removed, and the same applies to MGW, upon request of BT. MSC and Media Gateway will consequently be located at the same logical level.</p> <p>The Figure of sect 6.3 should also show the Gateway MSC.</p> <p>Heinz Peter, currently Editor of the Spec, will provide a revision of this document for the next meeting.</p> |

| Agenda item | Agenda item title      | Tdoc N4-00           | Title   | Source                 | Result  |
|-------------|------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------------|---|
| 7.3         | Combined MSC/SGSN Node | <a href="#">0654</a> | Draft Work Item Description 'Optimisation of Signalling: Combined MAP Signalling for Mobility Management                    | NTT Comware/NTT DoCoMo | <p>Revised WI description. Nokia wished to clarify whether comments raised in Helsinki (e.g. in the service aspects) were incorporated. NTT Comware confirmed that the document was revised accordingly.</p> <p>Motorola noted a huge impact on system architecture, although agreeing that a saving (of amount unknown) in signalling will be achieved.</p> <p>It was agreed to check the textual content of the document. Delete the concept of reduction of tariffs to subscribers. Section 5 becomes: Signalling reduction provides cost reduction for operators. Vodafone proposed to highlight network efficiency, instead of cost reduction.</p> <p>Nokia observed that 23.003 is missing in the list of affected specs.</p> <p>Feb 2001 was found a very challenging schedule for completion, however Nortel noted that standardisation is contribution driven, which makes it possible. BT added that the significant level of expertise in MAP is a promising element to meet the target date.</p> <p>There are six supporting companies, all Japanese.</p> <p>According to NTT Comware, N4 should have primary responsibility in the WI. Revised to 0767</p> |
|             |                        | 767                  | Draft Work Item Description 'Optimisation of Signalling: Combined MAP Signalling for Mobility Management (Revision of 0654) | NTT Comware/NTT DoCoMo | Approved. To be sent to the CN plenary  |
|             |                        | <a href="#">0655</a> | Draft report on the Combined MAP Signalling for feasibility study   | NTT Comware/NTT DoCoMo | <p>Motorola suggested to replace HLR with HSS, given that the Work Item is for R00, although for the assessment of the principles of the documents, this detail was not found necessary by Ericsson.</p> <p>Ericsson also noted that the achievable benefits have not been detailed satisfactorily, at least according to what was requested in Helsinki.</p> <p>Sean Schneyer said he has some performance figures available for the achievable savings, but they came from a rough analysis of the scenarios considered in the document and, hence, declared to be reluctant to mention the Ericsson name on such figures. With a disclaimer on the front page, however, Sean would be available to circulate them.</p> <p>The document was noted and it was also noted that the figures reported need to be corrected.</p>   |

| Agenda item | Agenda item title             | Tdoc N4-00           | Title  | Source        | Result   |
|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--|---------------|--|
|             |                               | <b>0766</b>          | Personal evaluations of the Combined MAP operations for MM                           | Sean Schneyer | No front page was eventually provided for reasons of time, but it was clarified that the source of the figures indicated is Ericsson.<br>Security messages have been taken into account in the calculation, assuming a reasonable number (4) of messages. Clarified that the document was provided to progress with the activity. Given the nature of the document, no electronic version will be available. |
| <b>8</b>    | <b>GSM Maintenance</b>        |                      |  |               |  |
| <b>8.1</b>  | <b>Supplementary Services</b> | <a href="#">0566</a> | CR 04.80-A018 on Addition of error type description for PositionMethodFailure        | Nokia         | Category C1. Agreed  |
|             |                               | <a href="#">0567</a> | CR 24.080-006 on Addition of error type description for PositionMethodFailure        | Nokia         | Agreed   |
| <b>8.2</b>  | <b>GPRS</b>                   | <a href="#">0580</a> | CR 09.60-A093 on Removal of IHOSS from GTP (Release'97)                              | Ericsson      | Withdrawn  |
|             |                               | <a href="#">0581</a> | CR 09.60-A094 on Removal of IHOSS from GTP (R'98)                                    | Ericsson      | Category C3. Agreed  |
|             |                               | <a href="#">0582</a> | CR 29.060-133 on Removal of IHOSS from GTP (R'99)                                    | Ericsson      | Agreed   |
|             |                               | <a href="#">0617</a> | CR 09.60-A089r2 (R97) on MM Context information coding clarification                 | Nokia         | Category C3. Noted that the K value is not reflected in 29.060 R99. Nokia will draft a CR for the next meeting. Agreed   |
|             |                               | <a href="#">0618</a> | CR 09.60-A090r2 (R98) on MM Context information coding clarification                 | Nokia         | Agreed.  |
|             |                               | <a href="#">0593</a> | CR 29.060-138 on Coding of TI in PDP Context (R99)                                   | Siemens       | Revised to 0774  |
|             |                               | <b>0774</b>          | Revision of 0593   | Siemens       | Agreed   |
|             |                               | <a href="#">0594</a> | CR 09.60-A095 on Coding of TI in PDP Context IE (R98)                                | Siemens       | Category C3, Siemens indicated. Revised to 0773  |
|             |                               | <b>0773</b>          | Revision of 0594   | Siemens       | Agreed   |
| <b>8.3</b>  | <b>Location Information</b>   | <a href="#">0585</a> | CR 09.02-A307 on Alignment of Geographic Shape Description with ITU-T and ANSI (R98) | Siemens       | Siemens indicated that the category should be C1. Rationale for correction was then explained.<br>Siemens agreed with Nokia about the lack of backwards compatibility in the CR. Nokia and Ericsson did not agree with the CR. Siemens replied that, without the CR, confidence level and screening indicator cannot be provided.<br>A chance was given to Siemens and Nokia to discuss the issue off line.  |
|             |                               | <a href="#">0586</a> | CR 29.002-164 on Alignment of Geographic Shape Description with ITU-T and ANSI       | Siemens       | Mirror CR of 0585 with one difference, explained by Siemens. This prevents form accepting it as a Category A.  |

| Agenda item | Agenda item title | Tdoc N4-00           | Title   | Source  | Result  |
|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|---|---------|---|
|             |                   | <b>0771</b>          | CR 29.002-xxx on LCS Support for CAMEL Phase 3 (R99)  | Siemens | Agreed without presentation   |
|             |                   | <b>0772</b>          | CR 29.002-xxx on LCS Support for CAMEL Phase 3 (R00)  | Siemens | Agreed without presentation   |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0614</a> | CR 03.32-xxx on Alignment of Geographic Shape Description with ITU-T and ANSI                             | S2      | Noted   |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0615</a> | CR 23.032-yyy on Alignment of Geographic Shape Description with ITU-T and ANSI                            | S2      | Noted   |
|             |                   | 0680                 | CR 29.002-xxx on Alignment of Geographic Shape Description with ITU-T and ANSI                            | Siemens |   |
| <b>8.4</b>  | <b>IMSI</b>       | <a href="#">0587</a> | CR 29.060-132r1 on encoding of IMSI (R99)   | Siemens | Agreed conditionally  |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0588</a> | CR 09.60-A91r1 on encoding of IMSI (R98)  | Siemens | Agreed conditionally  |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0589</a> | CR 09.60-A92r1 on encoding of IMSI (R97)  | Siemens | Category C3. First version of the CR presented in Helsinki. Agreed conditionally: by September 11 <sup>th</sup> comments to be provided to MCC. |
| <b>8.5</b>  | <b>CAMEL</b>      | <a href="#">0598</a> | CR 29.002-166 on Corrections and clarifications for USSD procedures on the HLR - gsmSCF interface (R00)   | Siemens | Replaced by 0644  |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0599</a> | CR 29.002-167 on Corrections and clarifications for USSD procedures on the HLR - gsmSCF interface (R99)   | Siemens | Replaced by 0645  |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0600</a> | CR 09.02-A308 on Corrections and clarifications for USSD procedures on the HLR - gsmSCF interface (R98)   | Siemens | Replaced by 0646  |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0601</a> | CR 09.02-A309 on Corrections and clarifications for USSD procedures on the HLR - gsmSCF interface (R97)   | Siemens | Replaced by 0647  |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0644</a> | CR 29.002-166r1 on Corrections and clarifications for USSD procedures on the HLR - gsmSCF interface (R00) | Siemens | Nokia, Ericsson, Siemens, Alcatel to closely work on this issue and cooperate.  |



| Agenda item | Agenda item title | Tdoc N4-00           | Title  | Source          | Result   |
|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|--|-----------------|--|
|             |                   | <a href="#">0645</a> | R 29.002-167r1 on Corrections and clarifications for USSD procedures on the HLR - gsmSCF interface (R99)           | Siemens         | Nokia, Ericsson, Siemens, Alcatel to closely work on this issue and cooperate.   |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0646</a> | CR 09.02-A308r1 on Corrections and clarifications for USSD procedures on the HLR - gsmSCF interface (R98)          | Siemens         | Withdrawn  |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0647</a> | CR 09.02-A309r1 on Corrections and clarifications for USSD procedures on the HLR - gsmSCF interface (R97).         | Siemens         | Category C3: Alcatel objected. Withdrawn   |
|             |                   | <a href="#">0659</a> | CR 09.02-A311 on Addition of Unknown Subscriber USSD operations handled at the HLR' (R97)                          | Nortel Networks | The CR should fall into Category C3, but Nokia and Ericsson had concerns. Rejected. According to Nokia, CRs for R99 and R00 are not acceptable either: they need to be rediscussed in the next ad hoc on R00. Postponed. |
|             |                   | <b>0757</b>          | CR 09.02-xxx on Clarification of Parameters use in the ASN.1 descriptions of unstructured SS MAP operations (R97)  | Ericsson        | Category should be C3, but Siemens disagreed. The error detected has only two possible solutions, Ericsson said. It was agreed to rediscuss it at the November meeting. Postponed.                                       |
|             |                   | <b>0758</b>          | CR 09.02-xxx on Clarification of Parameters use in the ASN.1 descriptions of unstructured SS MAP operations (R98)  | Ericsson        | Withdrawn  |
|             |                   | <b>0759</b>          | CR 29.002-xxx on Clarification of Parameters use in the ASN.1 descriptions of unstructured SS MAP operations (R99) | Ericsson        | Withdrawn  |
|             |                   | <b>0760</b>          | CR 29.002-xxx on Clarification of Parameters use in the ASN.1 descriptions of unstructured SS MAP operations (R00) | Ericsson        | Withdrawn  |
|             |                   | <b>0768</b>          | CR 03.18-A062r1 on Correction of Obtain_Routeing_Address for the reconnect case (R97) (Revision of 726)            | Vodafone        | Triggered by a discussion on a contribution from Siemens. It is a correction and all vendors present in N2 agreed on the C3 category. Agreed   |
|             |                   | <b>0769</b>          | CR 03.18-A063r1 on Correction of Obtain_Routeing_Address for the reconnect case (R98) (Revision of 727)            | Vodafone        | Identical in technical substance. Agreed   |

| Agenda item | Agenda item title     | Tdoc N4-00           | Title   | Source   | Result   |
|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---|----------|--|
|             |                       | 0770                 | CR 23.018-063r1 on Correction of Obtain_Routeing_Address for the reconnect case (R99) (Revision of 728) | Vodafone | Same comments as above. Agreed   |
| 8.6         | BC allocation         | <a href="#">0674</a> | CR 03.08-A035 on Corrections of the description of BC allocation for VLR (Ph2)                          | NTC      | Rejected   |
|             |                       | <a href="#">0675</a> | CR 03.08-A036 on Corrections of the description of BC allocation for VLR (R96)                          | NTC      | Rejected   |
|             |                       | <a href="#">0676</a> | CR 03.08-A037 on Corrections of the description of BC allocation for VLR (R97)                          | NTC      | Rejected   |
|             |                       | <a href="#">0677</a> | CR 03.08-A038 on Corrections of the description of BC allocation for VLR (R98)                          | NTC      | Rejected   |
|             |                       | <a href="#">0678</a> | CR 23.008-031 on Corrections of the description of BC allocation for VLR (R99)                          | NTC      | Agreed   |
| 9           | Joint meeting with N3 | 0547                 |   |          | <p>Some changes were agreed to the structure of the Project Plan in relation to the Evolution of Bearers in the CN: this entry was then deleted, since no Work Tasks remained within. An agreement was recognised between the working methods of the two groups. It was clarified to NEC that N3 might have requirements on some parameters value and instruct N4 about inclusion in the protocols as appropriate.</p> <p>A proposal was formulated to clarify the fields of activity for N3 and N4. For N3: determination of parameter values over the reference points between MSC server and Media Gateway (documentation will be maintained by N4). For N4: standardisation of protocols over reference points between MSC server and MGW (i.e. H248).</p> <p>Concerning the work tasks (#12 and 13 of the overall project plan) that are tagged with question marks, there was no evidence that either N3 or N4 were working on it. It was eventually agreed to delete them.</p> <p>It was considered useful to present the Work Item description Bearer Independent Circuit Switched Core Network, and it was done by Ericsson, indicating that two new specifications (Stage 2 and Stage 3) are expected. The sentence: <i>N3 provides parameter values</i> was added to the Comments column.</p> |
| 10          | Output Documents      | 0782                 | Approved output documents   |          |  |
| 10.1        | Approved CRs          |                      |   |          | See also Annex   |
| 10.2        | Approved LS           |                      |   |          | See also Annex.  |

| Agenda item | Agenda item title                             | Tdoc N4-00           | Title                                   | Source          | Result   |
|-------------|---|----------------------|---|-----------------|--|
|             |   | 0697                 | LS on CAMEL control of Optimal Routeing | N2              | Vodafone presented the LS. N4 needs to do some work in the area of the behaviour of the Gateway MSC, not to be considered as a simple transit switch, when a Call, to be Optimally Routed, reaches the GMSC.<br>N4 noted the LS and Vodafone promised contributions on the subject.  |
| 10.3        | Approved TS/TR                                |                      |   |                 |  |
| 10.4        | Approved WIs                                  |                      |   |                 |  |
| 11          | Review of dates and hosts for future meetings |                      |   |                 | Ericsson is 80% sure they can host it in Australia the January meeting.<br>The May meeting can probably be hosted somewhere in the USA.<br>The July meeting will be hosted by Mannesmann in Dusseldorf.<br>The October meeting will be co-sponsored by BT and Vodafone in the UK.<br>Japanese companies were encouraged to consider hosting a meeting, for a reason of balance.<br>An additional N4 meeting was agreed for 17 <sup>th</sup> - 19 <sup>th</sup> October in the UK, ad hoc on Release 2000 issues, mainly: TrFO, Bearer Independent Core Network Architecture, Security enhancements, Optimal Routeing, Combined MM Operations. For the TrFO part, it will be colocated with R3. Location Services might be added, provided that stable Stage 2 contributions are submitted.<br>If Release 2000 is going to be discussed on 17 <sup>th</sup> and 18 <sup>th</sup> October, the TrFO meeting will be held on the 19 <sup>th</sup> . The agreement reached in this meeting makes NEC confident that one day is enough for the TrFO.<br>If the meeting is not colocated with R3, it will be hosted in Stockholm on 10 <sup>th</sup> - 12 <sup>th</sup> October. |
| 12          | Close   |                      |   |                 |  |
|             | NYA   | <a href="#">0565</a> | Faqiang                                 | Siemens Austria | Withdrawn  |
|             |   | <a href="#">0634</a> | Brahim                                  | Alcatel         | Withdrawn  |

## ANNEX A - List of participants

| <i>Name</i>                    | <i>Organisation</i>                          | <i>Tel.</i>        | <i>e-mail</i>  |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| <b>AIKAWA Shinichiro</b>       | Fujitsu                                      | +81 44 754 4196    | <a href="mailto:aikawa@ss.ts.fujitsu.co.jp">aikawa@ss.ts.fujitsu.co.jp</a>   |
| <b>ANGELO Ciriaco</b>          | Ericsson                                     | +1 972 583 6093    | <a href="mailto:QUSCIAN@AM1.ERICSSON.SE">QUSCIAN@AM1.ERICSSON.SE</a>   |
| <b>BAUER Rolf</b>              | Alcatel SEL AG                               | +49 711 821 42241  | <a href="mailto:RBauer@alcatel.de">RBauer@alcatel.de</a>   |
| <b>BRUCH Diemo</b>             | Alcatel SEL AG                               | +49 711 821 47594  | <a href="mailto:D.Bruch@alcatel.de">D.Bruch@alcatel.de</a>   |
| <b>CASATI Alessio</b>          | Lucent Technologies                          | +44 1793 883861    | <a href="mailto:acasati@lucent.com">acasati@lucent.com</a>   |
| <b>CHENGHOCK Ng</b>            | NEC  | +81 471 85 7167    | <a href="mailto:Ngcheng@mcs.abk.nec.co.jp">Ngcheng@mcs.abk.nec.co.jp</a>   |
| <b>CHOTAI Sunil</b>            | BT   | +44 1473 605603    | <a href="mailto:Sunil.chotai@bt.com">Sunil.chotai@bt.com</a>   |
| <b>DRONNE François</b>         | France Télécom                               | + 33 1 45 29 62 74 | <a href="mailto:francois.dronne@francetelecom.fr">francois.dronne@francetelecom.fr</a>   |
| <b>EDGE Stephen</b>            | Siemens                                      |                    | <a href="mailto:stephen.edge@siemens.icn">stephen.edge@siemens.icn</a>   |
| <b>GARCÍA-MENDIVE Elena</b>    | Ericsson LM                                  | + 49 2407 575 205  | <a href="mailto:Elena.Garcia-Mendive@eed.ericsson.se">Elena.Garcia-Mendive@eed.ericsson.se</a>   |
| <b>GHRIBI Brahim</b>           | Alcatel                                      | +33 1 3077 0781    | <a href="mailto:Brahim.Ghribi@Alcatel.Fr">Brahim.Ghribi@Alcatel.Fr</a>   |
| <b>GOREY Kevin</b>             | Nortel Networks                              | +44 1628 434606    | <a href="mailto:kgorey@nortelnetworks.com">kgorey@nortelnetworks.com</a>   |
| <b>HELLWIG Karl</b>            | Ericsson                                     | +49 911 5217 300   | <a href="mailto:Karl.Hellwig@Eed.Ericsson.Se">Karl.Hellwig@Eed.Ericsson.Se</a>   |
| <b>HODGES Phil</b>             | Ericsson LM                                  | +49 2407 5756628   | <a href="mailto:Phil.hodges@eed.ericsson.se">Phil.hodges@eed.ericsson.se</a>   |
| <b>HU Yun-Chao</b>             | Ericsson Radio Systems<br><b>N4 Chairman</b> | +46 8 508 781 53   | <a href="mailto:Yun-Chao.Hu@era.ericsson.se">Yun-Chao.Hu@era.ericsson.se</a>   |
| <b>IGARASHI Takeshi</b>        | NTT Software                                 | +81 45 317 7018    | <a href="mailto:rassy@po.ntts.co.jp">rassy@po.ntts.co.jp</a>   |
| <b>KEUTMANN Heinz-Peter</b>    | Ericsson L.M.                                | +49 24 07 57 51 32 | <a href="mailto:Heinz-Peter.Keutmann@eed.ericsson.se">Heinz-Peter.Keutmann@eed.ericsson.se</a>   |
| <b>KYMÄLÄINEN Kimmo</b>        | Sonera Corp.                                 | +358 405 300 848   | <a href="mailto:Kimmo.kymalainen@sonera.com">Kimmo.kymalainen@sonera.com</a>   |
| <b>LANIG Eva-Maria</b>         | Siemens AG                                   | +49 89 722 34453   | <a href="mailto:Eva-Maria.Lanig@icn.siemens.de">Eva-Maria.Lanig@icn.siemens.de</a>   |
| <b>LÓPEZ SORIA Luis</b>        | Ericsson L.M.                                | +34 91 339 2656    | <a href="mailto:Luis.Lopez-Soria@ece.ericsson.se">Luis.Lopez-Soria@ece.ericsson.se</a>   |
| <b>MÄKINEN Teemu Johannes</b>  | Nokia<br><b>N4 Vice Chairman</b>             | +358 40 5077283    | <a href="mailto:Teemu.Makinen@Nokia.com">Teemu.Makinen@Nokia.com</a>   |
| <b>McConville Daniel</b>       | Vodafone                                     | +44 1635 682664    | <a href="mailto:Daniel.mcconville@vf.vodafone.co.uk">Daniel.mcconville@vf.vodafone.co.uk</a>   |
| <b>MENARD John</b>             | Lucent Technologies                          | +1 630-979-6376    | <a href="mailto:jmenard@lucent.com">jmenard@lucent.com</a>   |
| <b>MITAMURA Kazuo</b>          | NTT Comware                                  | +81 43 211 2708    | <a href="mailto:mitamura.kazuo@se.nttcom.co.jp">mitamura.kazuo@se.nttcom.co.jp</a>   |
| <b>MUHONEN Ahti</b>            | Nokia  | +358405318469      | <a href="mailto:Ahti.Muhonen@nokia.com">Ahti.Muhonen@nokia.com</a>   |
| <b>NOGUERA Juan</b>            | Ericsson L.M.                                | +61 3 9301 6117    | <a href="mailto:Juan.noguera@ericsson.com.au">Juan.noguera@ericsson.com.au</a>   |
| <b>PARK Ian David Chalmers</b> | Vodafone<br><b>CN Vice Chairman</b>          | +44 1635 673 527   | <a href="mailto:ian.park@vf.vodafone.co.uk">ian.park@vf.vodafone.co.uk</a><br><a href="mailto:ian_park_vf@compuserve.com">ian_park_vf@compuserve.com</a> |
| <b>POMPONI Laura</b>           | CSELT  | +39 011 228 7576   | <a href="mailto:laura.pomponi@cse.lt">laura.pomponi@cse.lt</a>   |
| <b>QIAN Faqiang</b>            | Siemens                                      | +43 51707 21460    | <a href="mailto:Faqiang.qian@siemens.at">Faqiang.qian@siemens.at</a>   |
| <b>RAHM Martin</b>             | Nokia  | +358 40 7796141    | <a href="mailto:martin.rahm@nokia.com">martin.rahm@nokia.com</a>   |
| <b>SAWADA Masahiro</b>         | NTT DoCoMo                                   | +81 468 40 3332    | <a href="mailto:masahiro@nw.yrp.nttdocomo.co.jp">masahiro@nw.yrp.nttdocomo.co.jp</a>   |
| <b>SCHMITT Peter</b>           | Siemens                                      | +49 6621 169 152   | <a href="mailto:Peter.schmitt@icn.siemens.de">Peter.schmitt@icn.siemens.de</a>   |
| <b>SETTIMO Franco</b>          | ETSI MCC                                     | +33 4 9294 42 38   | <a href="mailto:franco.settimo@etsi.fr">franco.settimo@etsi.fr</a>   |

|                         |                      |                   |  |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|
|                         | <b>N4 Secretary</b>  | +39 348 999 85 84 |  |
| <b>SOEJIMA Miyuki</b>   | NTC                  | +81 44 900 7313   | <a href="mailto:miyuki@mob.ntc.co.jp">miyuki@mob.ntc.co.jp</a>             |
| <b>TAMURA Toshiyuki</b> | NEC                  | +81 471 85 6954   | <a href="mailto:Tamura@e1sf.ncos.nec.co.jp">Tamura@e1sf.ncos.nec.co.jp</a> |
| <b>VISSER John</b>      | Nortel Networks      | +1 613 763 7028   | <a href="mailto:jvisser@nortelnetworks.com">jvisser@nortelnetworks.com</a> |
| <b>WILD Peter</b>       | Mannesmann Mobilfunk | +49 211 533 3798  | <a href="mailto:Peter.Wild@D2mannesmann.de">Peter.Wild@D2mannesmann.de</a> |
| <b>WUNSCH Janice</b>    | Lucent Technologies  | +1 630 979 6429   | <a href="mailto:Wunsch@lucent.com">Wunsch@lucent.com</a>                   |
| <b>YOUNG Michael</b>    | Motorola             | +1 604 241 6032   | <a href="mailto:Michael.Young@motorola.com">Michael.Young@motorola.com</a> |

## ANNEX B - List of Temporary Documents

3GPP TSG CN WG4#04  
Seattle, Washington, US  
28 August - 1 September 2000

### List of Temporary Documents

|                         |  |                 |                      |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------------|
| N4-000510               | Proposed LS on RAB Assignment QoS Negotiation                                  | R3              | LS drafted           |
| N4-000513               | Response to liaison statement on support of AMR via IU-PS in GERAN             | R3              | Noted                |
| N4-000536               | CR 23018-057r2 on Handling of the Call Diversion Treatment Indicator           | N2              | Revised to 0577      |
| <b>Tdoc n°<br/>3GPP</b> | <b>Title</b>   | <b>Source</b>   | <b>Status</b>        |
| N4-000561               | Agenda   | N4 Chairman     | Revised and approved |
| N4-000562               | Tdoc allocation to agenda items  | N4 Chairman     | Revised              |
| N4-000563               | Helsinki meeting report  | MCC             | Approved             |
| N4-000564               | CR 23.018-059 on Corrections to procedure obtain routing address               | Vodafone        | <b>Agreed</b>        |
| N4-000565               | Allocated to Qian Faqiang  | Siemens Austria | Withdrawn            |
| N4-000566               | CR 04.80-A018, Addition of error type description for PositionMethodFailure    | Nokia           | <b>Agreed</b>        |
| N4-000567               | CR 24.080-006, Addition of error type description for PositionMethodFailure    | Nokia           | <b>Agreed</b>        |
| N4-000568               | CR 23.079-015 on Sheet 1 of Procedure OR_HLR_CF                                | Vodafone        | <b>Agreed</b>        |
| N4-000569               | CR 23.018-060 on Corrections to process ICH_VLR                                | Vodafone        | <b>Agreed</b>        |
| N4-000570               | CR 23.018-055r1 on Inclusion of call hold in basic call handling               | Vodafone        | Revised to 0664      |
| N4-000571               | CR 23.083-005r1 on Inclusion of call hold in basic call handling               | Vodafone        | <b>Agreed</b>        |
| N4-000572               | CR 23.135-003 on Inclusion of call hold in basic call handling                 | Vodafone        | Revised to 0755      |
| N4-000573               | Bearer-Independent CS Core Network; Stage 2                                    | Nortel Networks |                      |
| N4-000574               | CR 23.018-061 on Update of CAMEL References                                    | Vodafone        | Revised to 0693      |
| N4-000575               | CR 29.002-162 on Correction on Location Information (R99)                      | Vodafone        | Revised to 665       |
| N4-000576               | CR 29.002-163 on Correction on Location Information (R00)                      | Vodafone        | Revised to 666       |
| N4-000577               | CR 23.018-057r3 on Handling of the Call Diversion Treatment Indicator          | Vodafone        | <b>Agreed</b>        |
| N4-000578               | CR 23.072-005 on Handling of the Call Diversion Treatment Indicator            | Vodafone        | <b>Agreed</b>        |
| N4-000579               | CR 23.093-004 on Handling of the Call Diversion Treatment Indicator            | Vodafone        | <b>Agreed</b>        |
| N4-000580               | CR 09.60-A093 on Removal of IHOSS from GTP (Release'97)                        | Ericsson        | Withdrawn            |
| N4-000581               | CR 09.60-A094 on Removal of IHOSS from GTP (Release'98)                        | Ericsson        | <b>Agreed</b>        |
| N4-000582               | CR 29.060-133 on Removal of IHOSS from GTP (Release'99)                        | Ericsson        | <b>Agreed</b>        |
| N4-000583               | CR 29.060-126r1 on Sequence number in signalling messages                      | Ericsson        | Revised to 694       |
| N4-000584               | CR 29.060-134 on Clarification on GSN address in Error Indication              | Ericsson        | Revised into 0721    |
| N4-000585               | CR 09.02-A307 on Alignment of Geographic Shape Description with ITU-T and ANSI | Siemens         | Rejected             |

|                  |  |         |                             |
|------------------|--|---------|-----------------------------|
| <b>N4-000586</b> | CR 29.002-164 on Alignment of Geographic Shape Description with ITU-T and ANSI                           | Siemens | Withdrawn                   |
| <b>N4-000587</b> | CR 29.060-132r1 on encoding of IMSI (R99)  | Siemens | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000588</b> | CR 09.60-A91r1 on encoding of IMSI (R98)   | Siemens | <b>Agreed conditionally</b> |
| <b>N4-000589</b> | CR 09.60-A92r1 on encoding of IMSI (R97)   | Siemens | <b>Agreed conditionally</b> |
| <b>N4-000590</b> | CR 29.060-135 on Addition of MS Not Reachable Reason to Send Routing Information For GPRS Response (R99) | Siemens | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000591</b> | CR 29.060-136 on Compatibility GTPv0/GTPv1 in case of SGSN change (R99)                                  | Siemens | Postponed                   |
| <b>N4-000592</b> | CR 29.060-137 on SGSN change with GTP v0 tunnel to GGSN (R99)  | Siemens | Postponed                   |
| <b>N4-000593</b> | CR 29.060-138 on Coding of TI in PDP Context (R99)   | Siemens | Revised to 0774             |
| <b>N4-000594</b> | CR 09.60-A095 on Coding of TI in PDP Context IE (R98)  | Siemens | Revised to 0773             |
| <b>N4-000595</b> | CR 29.060-131r1 on Security parameter transport in case of 2G <-> 3G interworking (R99)                  | Siemens | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000596</b> | CR 23.016-016 on Correction to Delete Subscriber Data (R99)  | Siemens | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000597</b> | CR 29.002-165 on Removal of LSAIdentity from NoteMM-EventArg (R99)                                       | Siemens | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000598</b> | CR 29.002-166 on Corrections and clarifications for USSD procedures on the HLR - gsmSCF interface (R00)  | Siemens | Revised to 0644             |
| <b>N4-000599</b> | CR 29.002-167 on Corrections and clarifications for USSD procedures on the HLR - gsmSCF interface (R99)  | Siemens | Revised to 0645             |
| <b>N4-000600</b> | CR 09.02-A308 on Corrections and clarifications for USSD procedures on the HLR - gsmSCF interface (R98)  | Siemens | Revised to 0646             |
| <b>N4-000601</b> | CR 09.02-A309 on Corrections and clarifications for USSD procedures on the HLR - gsmSCF interface (R97)  | Siemens | Revised to 0647             |
| <b>N4-000602</b> | CR 29.002-168 on Security Header modification (R00)  | Siemens | Postponed                   |
| <b>N4-000603</b> | TrFO break function  | Siemens |                             |
| <b>N4-000604</b> | Iu UP in comparison with I.366.2   | Siemens |                             |
| <b>N4-000605</b> | CR 29.060-139 on Clarifications on the use of TEID in the Control Plane                                  | Fujitsu | Revised to 0699             |
| <b>N4-000606</b> | CR 29.060-140 on Correction on the handling of the PDP Context at unsuccessful PDP Context modification  | Fujitsu | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000607</b> | CR 22.097-003 on Interaction with Multicall  | S1      | Noted                       |
| <b>N4-000608</b> | CR 22.135-006 on Interaction with MSP  | S1      | Noted                       |
| <b>N4-000609</b> | CR 29.060-105 on Race Avoiding Conditions  | N4      | Revised to 715              |
| <b>N4-000610</b> | Liaison Statement on IPT Basic Call Handling   | N2      |                             |
| <b>N4-000611</b> | CR 23.094-002 on Correction of the wrong Service Code  | Siemens | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000612</b> | LS to S2 on use of IP for security key distribution  | S3      | Noted                       |
| <b>N4-000613</b> | Evaluation of the impact on positive authentication reporting on network performance                     | S3      | LS drafted                  |
| <b>N4-000614</b> | CR 03.32-xxx on Alignment of Geographic Shape Description with ITU-T and ANSI                            | S2      | Noted                       |
| <b>N4-000615</b> | CR 23.032-yyy on Alignment of Geographic Shape Description with ITU-T                                    | S2      | Noted                       |

|                  |   |          |                             |
|------------------|---|----------|-----------------------------|
|                  | and ANSI  |          |                             |
| <b>N4-000616</b> | CR 29.060-142 on Clarifications on the presence condition of TLLI/P-TMSI in SGSN Context request          | Fujitsu  | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000617</b> | CR 09.60-A089r2 (R97) on MM Context information coding clarification                                      | Nokia    | <b>Agreed conditionally</b> |
| <b>N4-000618</b> | CR 09.60-A090r2 (R98) on MM Context information coding clarification                                      | Nokia    | <b>Agreed conditionally</b> |
| <b>N4-000619</b> | 3G TS 23.205 V0.0.2 on Bearer-Independent CS Core Network; Stage 2  | Ericsson |                             |
| <b>N4-000620</b> | Media Gateway Controller - Media Gateway Interface; Stage 3   | Ericsson | Noted                       |
| <b>N4-000621</b> | CR 23.135-002r2 on Requirement on Network to Tear Down Calls to Accept EC in Multicall                    | Ericsson | Revised to 0712             |
| <b>N4-000622</b> | Iu UP Protocol handling Solution for TrFO.  | Ericsson |                             |
| <b>N4-000623</b> | Updates to Stage 2 for OoBTC - 3G TS 23.153   | Ericsson |                             |
| <b>N4-000624</b> | Inter-MSC Handover for TrFO/TFO connections   | Ericsson |                             |
| <b>N4-000625</b> | CR 23.003-023r1 on Alignment of 23.003 with text from 25.401  | Ericsson | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000626</b> | SRNS relocation during the TrFO connection  | NEC      |                             |
| <b>N4-000627</b> | OoBTC work plan   | NEC      |                             |
| <b>N4-000628</b> | Necessary N4 works for SMWOP (Service Modification WithOut Pre-negotiation)                               | NEC      |                             |
| <b>N4-000629</b> | Liaison statement on the introduction of GEA2   | N1       |                             |
| <b>N4-000630</b> | Answer to the liaison statement on the modified lengths of parameters AUTN and AUTS                       | N1       | Noted                       |
| <b>N4-000631</b> | Liaison Statement on MS Network Capability IE Conflict  | N1       |                             |
| <b>N4-000632</b> | LS back on Race conditions avoidance  | N1       |                             |
| <b>N4-000633</b> | CR 23.009-012r1 on Correction to transcoder handling for R99  | N1       | Noted                       |
| <b>N4-000634</b> | Allocated to Brahim   | Alcatel  | Withdrawn                   |
| <b>N4-000635</b> | CR29.002-169 on Inclusion of sending identity address to the Authentication Failure Report (R99)          | Nokia    | Withdrawn                   |
| <b>N4-000636</b> | R-29.002-170 on Inclusion of sending identity address to the Authentication Failure Report (R00)          | Nokia    | Withdrawn                   |
| <b>N4-000637</b> | CR-29.002-171 on Correction to the Encryption Information in ASN.1 (R99)                                  | Nokia    | Withdrawn                   |
| <b>N4-000638</b> | CR-29.002-172 on Correction to the Encryption Information in ASN.1 (R00)                                  | Nokia    | Withdrawn                   |
| <b>N4-000639</b> | CR-29.002-173 on Optionality of parameters in GPRS-CSI (R99)  | Nokia    | Revised to 0761             |
| <b>N4-000640</b> | CR-29.002-174 on Optionality of parameters in GPRS-CSI (R00)  | Nokia    | Revised to 0762             |
| <b>N4-000641</b> | CR-09.02 A310 on Correction to QoS indication (R98)   | Nokia    |                             |
| <b>N4-000642</b> | CR-29.002-175 on Correction to QoS indication (R99)   | Nokia    |                             |
| <b>N4-000643</b> | CR-29.002-176 on Correction to QoS indication (R00)   | Nokia    |                             |
| <b>N4-000644</b> | CR 29.002-166r1 on Corrections and clarifications for USSD procedures on the HLR - gsmSCF interface (R00) | Siemens  |                             |
| <b>N4-000645</b> | R 29.002-167r1 on Corrections and clarifications for USSD procedures on the HLR - gsmSCF interface (R99)  | Siemens  |                             |
| <b>N4-000646</b> | CR 09.02-A308r1 on Corrections and clarifications for USSD procedures on the HLR - gsmSCF interface (R98) | Siemens  | Withdrawn                   |



|                  |  |                        |                        |
|------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>N4-000647</b> | CR 09.02-A309r1 on Corrections and clarifications for USSD procedures on the HLR - gsmSCF interface (R97). | Siemens                | Withdrawn              |
| <b>N4-000648</b> | CR 29.002-177 on Clarification of use of Radio Resource Information (R99)                                  | Ericsson               | Revised to 0746        |
| <b>N4-000649</b> | CR 29.002-178 on Clarification of use of Radio Resource Information (R00)                                  | Ericsson               | Revised to 0747        |
| <b>N4-000650</b> | CR 29.010-006 on Clarification of use of Radio Resource Information (R99)                                  | Ericsson               | Revised to 0745        |
| <b>N4-000651</b> | Support Of SDU's by the RNC & ACS/SCS negotiation  | Ericsson               |                        |
| <b>N4-000652</b> | Revised version of TS 23.205   | Ericsson               |                        |
| <b>N4-000653</b> | DoCoMo's view on the issue of User Plane protocol termination  | NTT DoCoMo             |                        |
| <b>N4-000654</b> | Draft Work Item Description 'Optimisation of Signalling: Combined MAP Signalling for Mobility Management   | NTT Docomo/NTT Comware | Revised to 0767        |
| <b>N4-000655</b> | Draft report on the Combined MAP Signalling for feasibility study  | NTT Docomo/NTT Comware | Noted                  |
| <b>N4-000656</b> | CR 29.060-141 on Categorize Error indication as the GTP-U message  | NEC                    | Revised to 721         |
| <b>N4-000657</b> | CN Scenarios For TrFO  | Ericsson               |                        |
| <b>N4-000658</b> | CR 29.010-007 on Corrections and updates to align with current R99 specs                                   | Nortel Networks        | <b>Revised to 0765</b> |
| <b>N4-000659</b> | CR 09.02-A311 on Addition of Unknown Subscriber USSD operations handled at the HLR' (R97)                  | Nortel Networks        | Postponed              |
| <b>N4-000660</b> | CR 29.002-179 (R99) on Correction to MSC-A handover SDLs   | Nortel Networks        | Revised                |
| <b>N4-000661</b> | CR 29.002-180 (R00) on Correction to MSC-A handover SDLs   | Nortel Networks        |                        |
| <b>N4-000662</b> | CR 10.02-A004 on the handling of application layer errors in MAP   | Vodafone               | Revised to 0775        |
| <b>N4-000663</b> | CR 30.002-001 on the handling of application layer errors in MAP   | Vodafone               | Withdrawn              |
| <b>N4-000664</b> | CR 23.018-055r2 on Inclusion of call hold in basic call handling   | Vodafone               | Revised to 0710        |
| <b>N4-000665</b> | CR 29.002-162r1 on Correction on Location Information (R99)  | Vodafone               | <b>Agreed</b>          |
| <b>N4-000666</b> | CR 29.002-163r1 on Correction on Location Information (R00)  | Vodafone               | <b>Agreed</b>          |
| <b>N4-000667</b> | Discussion paper on race conditions  | Lucent                 | Withdrawn              |
| <b>N4-000668</b> | R00 Project Plan   | Siemens                |                        |
| <b>N4-000669</b> | CR 29.060-143 on Correction on Reliable transmission of signalling messages                                | Lucent                 | Revised to 0702        |
| <b>N4-000670</b> | CR 29.002-154r2 on Clarification on Authentication Failure Report ack                                      | Ericsson               |                        |
| <b>N4-000671</b> | CR 29.002-161r1 on Clarification on Authentication Failure Report ack                                      | Ericsson               |                        |
| <b>N4-000672</b> | Solution for race condition of GTP procedures  | Fujitsu                | Revised to 723         |
| <b>N4-000673</b> | CR 29.060-122r1 on Solution for race condition of GTP procedures   | Fujitsu                |                        |
| <b>N4-000674</b> | CR 03.08-A035 on Corrections of the description of BC allocation for VLR (Ph2)                             | NTC                    | Rejected               |
| <b>N4-000675</b> | CR 03.08-A036 on Corrections of the description of BC allocation for VLR (R96)                             | NTC                    | Rejected               |
| <b>N4-000676</b> | CR 03.08-A037 on Corrections of the description of BC allocation for VLR (R97)                             | NTC                    | Rejected               |
| <b>N4-000677</b> | CR 03.08-A038 on Corrections of the description of BC allocation for VLR (R98)                             | NTC                    | Rejected               |
| <b>N4-000678</b> | CR 23.008-031 on Corrections of the description of BC allocation for VLR (R99)                             | NTC                    | <b>Agreed</b>          |
| <b>N4-000679</b> | CR 29.060-144 on Insufficient modification   | NTT Software           | <b>Agreed</b>          |

|                  |   |                           |                                |
|------------------|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <b>N4-000680</b> | CR 29.002-xxx on Alignment of geographic shape description with ITU and ANSI                            | Siemens                   |                                |
| <b>N4-000681</b> | 3GPP working principles   | N4 Chairman               | Noted                          |
| <b>N4-000682</b> | 3GPP work planning for IM subsystem   | N4 Chairman               |                                |
| <b>N4-000683</b> | CN and IP MM protocols  | N4 Chairman               |                                |
| <b>N4-000684</b> | Framework for planned content of next release   | N4 Chairman               |                                |
| <b>N4-000685</b> | Draft principles of 3GPP work planning  | N4 Chairman               |                                |
| <b>N4-000686</b> | TFO/TrFO workshop agenda  | N4 Chairman               |                                |
| <b>N4-000687</b> | Proposed Terms of Reference for N4  | N4 Chairman               |                                |
| <b>N4-000688</b> | Reply to LS from SA4 (000327R) on codec types for different access technologies                         | N1                        |                                |
| <b>N4-000689</b> | Revised Proposed ToR for N4   | N4 Chairman               | Approved: <b>send to CN</b>    |
| <b>N4-000690</b> | CN and IP MM Protocols (Revision of 683)  | N4 Chairman               | Approved: <b>send to CN</b>    |
| <b>N4-000691</b> | Revision of 547   | N4 Chairman               |                                |
| <b>N4-000692</b> | Bearer Independent Circuit Switched (rev. of 0548)  | Ericsson                  | <b>WI approved: Send to CN</b> |
| <b>N4-000693</b> | CR 23.018-061r1 on Updated CAMEL references   | Vodafone (endorsed by N2) | Revised to 0722                |
| <b>N4-000694</b> | CR 29.060-126r2 on Sequence number in signalling messages (Rev. of 0583)                                | Nortel/Ericsson           | <b>Agreed</b>                  |
| <b>N4-000695</b> | CR 09.60-xxx on Addition of MS Not Reachable Reason to Send Routing Information For GPRS Response (R97) | Siemens                   | <b>Agreed</b>                  |
| <b>N4-000696</b> | CR 09.60-xxx on Addition of MS Not Reachable Reason to Send Routing Information For GPRS Response (R98) | Siemens                   | <b>Agreed</b>                  |
| <b>N4-000697</b> | LS on CAMEL control of Optimal Routeing   | N2                        | Noted                          |
| <b>N4-000698</b> | CR 29.060-136r1 on Compatibility GTPv0/GTPv1 in case of SGSN change (R99) (Revision of 0591)            | Siemens                   | Withdrawn                      |
| <b>N4-000699</b> | CR 29.060-139r1 on Clarifications on the use of TEID in the Control Plane (Revision of 0605)            | Fujitsu                   | <b>Agreed</b>                  |
| <b>N4-000700</b> | Comments to Draft Report N4-000553 on TrFO/TFO workshop   | Alcatel                   |                                |
| <b>N4-000701</b> | Response to LS from N1  | Lucent                    | <b>Approved</b>                |
| <b>N4-000702</b> | CR 29.060-143r1 on Correction on Reliable transmission of signalling messages (Revision of 669)         | Lucent                    | Revised to 0724                |
| <b>N4-000703</b> | REPLY TO LIAISON STATEMENT ON SYNCHRONISATION ISSUES DURING CODEC TYPE CHANGE (R3-002013)               | R3                        |                                |
| <b>N4-000704</b> | RESPONSE TO LS ON RAB ASSIGNMENT FOR TRFO   | R3                        |                                |
| <b>N4-000705</b> | Revision of the TrFO/TFO agenda   | N4 Chairman               |                                |
| <b>N4-000706</b> | Helsinki TrFO/TFO workshop Revised Meeting Report (Revision of Tdoc 553)                                | MCC                       | Agreed: <b>send to CN</b>      |
| <b>N4-000707</b> | LS to R3 on Sending of NSI without modification to RAB  | Ericsson                  | Revised to 0739                |
| <b>N4-000708</b> | LS on Support of SDU's for TrFO   | Ericsson, BT, NEC         |                                |

|                  |   |                     |                                      |
|------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| <b>N4-000709</b> | Interworking with AAL2/ATM networks   | N3                  |                                      |
| <b>N4-000710</b> | CR 23.018-055r3 on Inclusion of call hold in basic call handling (Rev of 0664)                              | Vodafone            | Revised to 0754                      |
| <b>N4-000711</b> | Agreement reached during the evening session  | N4 Chairman         | Revised to 0720                      |
| <b>N4-000712</b> | CR 23.135-002r3 on Requirement on Network to Tear Down Calls to Accept EC in Multicall (Rev of 621)         | Ericsson            | Revised to 0737                      |
| <b>N4-000713</b> | LS to S2 on SRNS relocation based on global title   | Ericsson            | Revised to 0741                      |
| <b>N4-000714</b> | CR 29.002-181 on Alignment of Geographic Shape Description with ITU-T and ANSI                              | Siemens             | Withdrawn (duplication)              |
| <b>N4-000715</b> | CR 29.060-105r1 on Race Conditions Avoidance  | Lucent Technologies | <b>Agreed</b>                        |
| <b>N4-000716</b> | CR 29.060-145 on Correction to the SGSN Context transfer Request and response messages                      | Lucent Technologies | Revised to 0729                      |
| <b>N4-000717</b> | CR 29.060-146 on Correction to the SGSN Forward relocation Request and Response messages                    | Lucent Technologies | Revised to 0730                      |
| <b>N4-000718</b> | CR 29.060-147 on Clarification on the handling of response messages   | Lucent Technologies | <b>Agreed</b>                        |
| <b>N4-000719</b> | CR 29.060-148 on Clarification on SGSN context acknowledge message  | Lucent Technologies | <b>Agreed</b>                        |
| <b>N4-000720</b> | TrFO Workshop Results (Revision of 711)   | N4 Chairman         | Approved, <b>send to CN, SA, RAN</b> |
| <b>N4-000721</b> | CR 29.060-141r1 on Categorize Error indication as the GTP-U message (Rev. of 656)                           | NEC                 | Revised to 0733                      |
| <b>N4-000722</b> | CR 23.018-061r2 on Updated CAMEL references (Revision of 693)   | Vodafone            | <b>Agreed</b>                        |
| <b>N4-000723</b> | Solution for race condition of GTP procedures (Revision of 672)   | Fujitsu             | Noted                                |
| <b>N4-000724</b> | CR 29.060-143r2 on Correction on Reliable transmission of signalling messages (Revision of 702)             | Lucent Technologies | <b>Agreed</b>                        |
| <b>N4-000725</b> | LS to R3 on Error Indication Message to U-plane   | NEC                 | Withdrawn                            |
| <b>N4-000726</b> | CR 03.18-A062 on Correction of Obtain_Routeing_Address for the reconnect case (R97)                         | Vodafone            | Revised to 0768                      |
| <b>N4-000727</b> | CR 03.18-A063 on Correction of Obtain_Routeing_Address for the reconnect case (R98)                         | Vodafone            | Revised to 0769                      |
| <b>N4-000728</b> | CR 23.018-063 on Correction of Obtain_Routeing_Address for the reconnect case (R99)                         | Vodafone            | Revised to 0770                      |
| <b>N4-000729</b> | CR 29.060-145r1 on Correction to the SGSN Context transfer Request and response messages (Revision of 0716) | Lucent              | <b>Agreed</b>                        |
| <b>N4-000730</b> | CR 29.060-146r1 on Correction to the SGSN Forward relocation Request and Response messages                  | Lucent              | Revised to 0753                      |
| <b>N4-000731</b> | Response LS to "LS back on Race conditions avoidance"   | Lucent Technologies | <b>Approved</b>                      |
| <b>N4-000732</b> | CR 29.060-122r2 on Solution for race condition of GTP procedures (Rev. of 0673)                             | Fujitsu             | Revised to 0780                      |
| <b>N4-000733</b> | CR 29.060-141r2 on Categorize Error indication as the GTP-U message (Rev. of 0721)                          | NEC                 | <b>Agreed</b>                        |
| <b>N4-000734</b> | CR 03.16-A042 on Correction to Delete Subscriber Data (R97)   | Siemens             | <b>Agreed</b>                        |

|                  |  |                 |                             |
|------------------|--|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| <b>N4-000735</b> | CR 03.16-A041 on Correction to Delete Subscriber Data (R98)  | Siemens         | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000736</b> | CR 29.002-182 on Removal of LSAIdentity from NoteMM-EventArg (R00)   | Siemens         | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000737</b> | CR 23.135-002r4 on Requirement on Network to Tear Down Calls to Accept EC in Multicall (Revision of 0712)          | Ericsson        | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000738</b> | ITU-T contribution on OID  | Ericsson        | Noted by N4                 |
| <b>N4-000739</b> | LS to R3 on Sending of NSI without modification to RAB (Rev. of 0707)  | Ericsson        | <b>Approved</b>             |
| <b>N4-000740</b> | LS on Support of SDU's for TrFO (Revision of 0708)   | Ericsson        | <b>Approved</b>             |
| <b>N4-000741</b> | LS to S2 on SRNS relocation based on global title (Revision of 0713)   | Ericsson        | <b>Approved</b>             |
| <b>N4-000742</b> | Liaison statement on Positive Authentication Reporting LS to S3, CN.   | Ericsson, Nokia | Revised to 0789             |
| <b>N4-000743</b> | CR 29.002-154r3 on Clarification on Authentication Failure Report ack (R99) (Rev. of 0670)                         | Ericsson        | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000744</b> | CR 29.002-161r2 on Clarification on Authentication Failure Report ack (R00) (Rev. of 0671)                         | Ericsson        | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000745</b> | CR 29.010-006r1 on Clarification of use of Radio Resource Information (R99) (Rev. of 0650)                         | Ericsson        | <b>Agreed conditionally</b> |
| <b>N4-000746</b> | CR 29.002-177r1 on Clarification of use of Radio Resource Information (R99) (Rev. of 0648)                         | Ericsson        | <b>Agreed conditionally</b> |
| <b>N4-000747</b> | CR 29.002-178r1 on Clarification of use of Radio Resource Information (R00) (Rev. of 0649)                         | Ericsson        | <b>Agreed conditionally</b> |
| <b>N4-000748</b> | CR 29.002-179r1 (R99) on Correction to MSC-A handover SDLs   | Nortel Networks | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000749</b> | CR 29.002-xxx on Correction to MSC-A handover SDLs (R99)   | Nortel Networks | <b>Agreed conditionally</b> |
| <b>N4-000750</b> | CR 29.002-180r1 (R00) on Correction to MSC-A handover SDLs   | Nortel Networks | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000751</b> | CR 29.002-xxx on Correction to MSC-A handover SDLs   | Nortel Networks | <b>Agreed conditionally</b> |
| <b>N4-000752</b> | Response to LS on RAB Assignment QoS Negotiation   | Nortel Networks | <b>Approved</b>             |
| <b>N4-000753</b> | CR 29.060-146r2 on Correction to the SGSN Forward relocation Request and Response messages (Rev. of 0730)          | Lucent          | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000754</b> | CR 23.018-055r4 on Inclusion of call hold in basic call handling (Revision of 0710)                                | Vodafone        | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000755</b> | CR 23.135-003r1 on Inclusion of call hold in basic call handling (Rev. of 0572)                                    | Vodafone        | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000756</b> | CR 23.135-004 on Correction to MT_Multicall SDL  | NTT Comware     | <b>Agreed</b>               |
| <b>N4-000757</b> | CR 09.02-xxx on Clarification of Parameters use in the ASN.1 descriptions of unstructured SS MAP operations (R97)  | Ericsson        | Postponed                   |
| <b>N4-000758</b> | CR 09.02-xxx on Clarification of Parameters use in the ASN.1 descriptions of unstructured SS MAP operations (R98)  | Ericsson        | Withdrawn                   |
| <b>N4-000759</b> | CR 29.002-xxx on Clarification of Parameters use in the ASN.1 descriptions of unstructured SS MAP operations (R99) | Ericsson        | Withdrawn                   |
| <b>N4-000760</b> | CR 29.002-xxx on Clarification of Parameters use in the ASN.1 descriptions of unstructured SS MAP operations (R00) | Ericsson        | Withdrawn                   |
| <b>N4-000761</b> | CR-29.002-173r1 on Optionality of parameters in GPRS-CSI (R99) (Rev. of 0639)                                      | Nokia           | Revised to 0776             |

|                  |  |                    |                                |
|------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| <b>N4-000762</b> | CR-29.002-174r1 on Optionality of parameters in GPRS-CSI (R00) (Rev. of 0640)  | Nokia              | Revised to 0777                |
| <b>N4-000763</b> | CR 29.002-xxx on Clarification for segmentation of D-CSI and SMS-CSI (R99)   | Nokia              | Revised to 0778                |
| <b>N4-000764</b> | CR 29.002-xxx on Clarification for segmentation of D-CSI and SMS-CSI (R00)   | Nokia              | Revised to 0779                |
| <b>N4-000765</b> | CR 29.010-007r1 on Corrections and updates to align with current R99 specs (Revision of 0658)                                | Nortel Networks    | <b>Agreed Conditionally</b>    |
| <b>N4-000766</b> | Personal evaluations of the Combined MAP operations for MM   | Ericsson           | Noted                          |
| <b>N4-000767</b> | Draft Work Item Description 'Optimisation of Signalling: Combined MAP Signalling for Mobility Management' (Revision of 0654) | NTT Comware        | <b>Approved WI: send to CN</b> |
| <b>N4-000768</b> | CR 03.18-A062r1 on Correction of Obtain_Routeing_Address for the reconnect case (R97) (Revision of 726)                      | Vodafone           | <b>Agreed</b>                  |
| <b>N4-000769</b> | CR 03.18-A063r1 on Correction of Obtain_Routeing_Address for the reconnect case (R98) (Revision of 727)                      | Vodafone           | <b>Agreed</b>                  |
| <b>N4-000770</b> | CR 23.018-063r1 on Correction of Obtain_Routeing_Address for the reconnect case (R99) (Revision of 728)                      | Vodafone           | <b>Agreed</b>                  |
| <b>N4-000771</b> | CR 29.002-xxx on LCS support for CAMEL Phase 3 (R99)   | Siemens            | <b>Agreed</b>                  |
| <b>N4-000772</b> | Mirror CR of 0772 for R00  | Siemens            | <b>Agreed</b>                  |
| <b>N4-000773</b> | CR 09.60-A095r1 on Coding of TI in PDP Context IE (R98) (Revision of 0594)   | Siemens            | <b>Agreed</b>                  |
| <b>N4-000774</b> | CR 29.060-138r1 on Coding of TI in PDP Context (R99) (Revision of 0593)  | Siemens            | <b>Agreed</b>                  |
| <b>N4-000775</b> | CR 10.02-A004r1 on the handling of application layer errors in MAP (Rev. of 0662)  | Vodafone           | <b>Agreed</b>                  |
| <b>N4-000776</b> | CR-29.002-173r2 on Optionality of parameters in GPRS-CSI (R99) (Rev. of 0761)  | Nokia              | <b>Agreed conditionally</b>    |
| <b>N4-000777</b> | CR-29.002-174r2 on Optionality of parameters in GPRS-CSI (R00) (Rev. of 0762)  | Nokia              | <b>Agreed conditionally</b>    |
| <b>N4-000778</b> | CR 29.002-xxx on Clarification for segmentation of D-CSI and SMS-CSI (R99) (Revision of 0763)                                | Nokia              | <b>Agreed conditionally</b>    |
| <b>N4-000779</b> | CR 29.002-xxx on Clarification for segmentation of D-CSI and SMS-CSI (R00) (Revision of 0764)                                | Nokia              | <b>Agreed conditionally</b>    |
| <b>N4-000780</b> | CR 29.060-122r3 on Solution for race condition of GTP procedures (Revision of 0732)  | Fujitsu            | <b>Agreed conditionally</b>    |
| <b>N4-000781</b> | Revised meeting schedule 2001 for N2 and N4  | N4 and N2 Chairmen | Noted                          |
| <b>N4-000782</b> | Agreed Output documents  | N4 Chairman        | Noted                          |
| <b>N4-000783</b> | Revision of 585  | Siemens            |                                |
| <b>N4-000784</b> | Revision of 586  | Siemens            |                                |
| <b>N4-000785</b> | Revision of 680  | Siemens            |                                |
| <b>N4-000786</b> | Revision of 641(R98)   | Nokia              | <b>Agreed</b>                  |
| <b>N4-000787</b> | Revision of 642(R99)   | Nokia              | <b>Agreed</b>                  |
| <b>N4-000788</b> | Revision of 643(R00)   | Nokia              | <b>Agreed</b>                  |
| <b>N4-000789</b> | Revision of 0742   |                    | <b>Approved</b>                |
| <b>N4-000790</b> | Revision of 0782   |                    | Approved                       |

## ANNEX C - List of agreed CRs

|                  |   |                 |        |
|------------------|---|-----------------|--------|
| <b>N4-000564</b> | CR 23.018-059 on Corrections to procedure obtain routing address  | Vodafone        | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000566</b> | CR 04.80-A018, Addition of error type description for PositionMethodFailure                                 | Nokia           | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000567</b> | CR 24.080-006, Addition of error type description for PositionMethodFailure                                 | Nokia           | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000568</b> | CR 23.079-015 on Sheet 1 of Procedure OR_HLR_CF   | Vodafone        | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000569</b> | CR 23.018-060 on Corrections to process ICH_VLR   | Vodafone        | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000571</b> | CR 23.083-005r1 on Inclusion of call hold in basic call handling  | Vodafone        | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000577</b> | CR 23.018-057r3 on Handling of the Call Diversion Treatment Indicator                                       | Vodafone        | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000578</b> | CR 23.072-005 on Handling of the Call Diversion Treatment Indicator   | Vodafone        | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000579</b> | CR 23.093-004 on Handling of the Call Diversion Treatment Indicator   | Vodafone        | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000581</b> | CR 09.60-A094 on Removal of IHOSS from GTP (Release'98)   | Ericsson        | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000582</b> | CR 29.060-133 on Removal of IHOSS from GTP (Release'99)   | Ericsson        | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000590</b> | CR 29.060-135 on Addition of MS Not Reachable Reason to Send Routing Information For GPRS Response (R99)    | Siemens         | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000595</b> | CR 29.060-131r1 on Security parameter transport in case of 2G <-> 3G interworking (R99)                     | Siemens         | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000596</b> | CR 23.016-016 on Correction to Delete Subscriber Data (R99)   | Siemens         | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000597</b> | CR 29.002-165 on Removal of LSAIdentity from NoteMM-EventArg (R99)  | Siemens         | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000606</b> | CR 29.060-140 on Correction on the handling of the PDP Context at unsuccessful PDP Context modification     | Fujitsu         | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000611</b> | CR 23.094-002 on Correction of the wrong Service Code   | Siemens         | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000616</b> | CR 29.060-142 on Clarifications on the presence condition of TLLI/P-TMSI in SGSN Context request            | Fujitsu         | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000625</b> | CR 23.003-023r1 on Alignment of 23.003 with text from 25.401  | Ericsson        | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000665</b> | CR 29.002-162r1 on Correction on Location Information (R99)   | Vodafone        | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000666</b> | CR 29.002-163r1 on Correction on Location Information (R00)   | Vodafone        | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000678</b> | CR 23.008-031 on Corrections of the description of BC allocation for VLR (R99)                              | NTC             | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000679</b> | CR 29.060-144 on Alignment of the description of tables for Identification Request and SGSN Context Request | NTT Software    | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000694</b> | CR 29.060-126r2 on Sequence number in signalling messages (Rev. of 0583)                                    | Nortel/Ericsson | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000695</b> | CR 09.60-A096 on Addition of MS Not Reachable Reason to Send Routing Information For GPRS Response (R97)    | Siemens         | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000696</b> | CR 09.60-A097 on on Addition of MS Not Reachable Reason to Send Routing Information For GPRS Response (R98) | Siemens         | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000699</b> | CR 29.060-139 on Clarifications on the use of TEID in the Control Plane                                     | Fujitsu         | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000715</b> | CR 29.060-105r1 on Race Conditions Avoidance  | Lucent          | Agreed |

|                  |   |                     |        |
|------------------|---|---------------------|--------|
|                  |   | Technologies        |        |
| <b>N4-000718</b> | CR 29.060-147 on Clarification on the handling of response messages   | Lucent Technologies | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000719</b> | CR 29.060-148 on Clarification on SGSN context acknowledge message  | Lucent Technologies | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000722</b> | CR 23.018-061r2 on Updated CAMEL references   | Vodafone            | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000724</b> | CR 29.060-143r2 on Correction on Reliable transmission of signalling messages (Revision of 702)             | Lucent Technologies | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000729</b> | CR 29.060-145r1 on Correction to the SGSN Context transfer Request and response messages (Revision of 0716) | Lucent              | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000733</b> | CR 29.060-141r2 on Categorize Error indication as the GTP-U message   | NEC                 | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000734</b> | CR 03.16-A042 on Correction to Delete Subscriber Data (R97)   | Siemens             | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000735</b> | CR 03.16-A041 on Correction to Delete Subscriber Data (R98)   | Siemens             | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000736</b> | CR 29.002-182 on Removal of LSAIdentity from NoteMM-EventArg (R00)  | Siemens             | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000737</b> | CR 23.135-002r4 on Requirement on Network to Tear Down Calls to Accept EC in Multicall                      | Ericsson            | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000743</b> | CR 29.002-154r3 on Clarification on Authentication Failure Report ack (R99)                                 | Ericsson            | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000744</b> | CR 29.002-161r2 on Clarification on Authentication Failure Report ack (R00)                                 | Ericsson            | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000748</b> | CR 29.002-179r1 on Correction to MSC-A handover SDLs (R99)  | Nortel Networks     | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000750</b> | CR 29.002-180r1 on Correction to MSC-A handover SDLs (R00)  | Nortel Networks     | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000753</b> | CR 29.060-146r2 on Correction to the SGSN Forward relocation Request and Response messages                  | Lucent              | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000754</b> | CR 23.018-055r4 on Inclusion of Call Hold in Basic Call Handling  | Vodafone            | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000755</b> | CR 23.135-003r1 on Inclusion of call hold in basic call handling  | Vodafone            | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000756</b> | CR 23.135-004 on Correction to MT_Multicall SDL   | NTT Comware         | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000768</b> | CR 03.18-A062r1 on Correction of Obtain_Routeing_Address for the reconnect case (R97)                       | Vodafone            | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000769</b> | CR 03.18-A063r1 on Correction of Obtain_Routeing_Address for the reconnect case (R98)                       | Vodafone            | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000770</b> | CR 23.018-063r1 on Correction of Obtain_Routeing_Address for the reconnect case (R99)                       | Vodafone            | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000771</b> | CR 29.002-183 on LCS support for CAMEL Phase 3 (R99)  | Siemens             | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000772</b> | CR 29.002-184 on LCS support for CAMEL Phase 3 (R00)  | Siemens             | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000773</b> | CR 09.60-A095r1 on Coding of TI in PDP Context IE   | Siemens             | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000774</b> | CR 29.060-138r1 on Coding of TI in PDP Context IE   | Siemens             | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000775</b> | CR 10.02-A004r1 on The handling of application layer errors in MAP  | Vodafone            | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000786</b> | CR 09.02-A310r1 on Correction to QoS indication (R98)   | Nokia               | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000787</b> | CR 29.002-175r1 on Correction to QoS indication (R99)   | Nokia               | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000788</b> | CR 29.002-176r1 on Correction to QoS indication(R00)  | Nokia               | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000587</b> | CR 29.060-132r1 on encoding of IMSI (R99)   | Siemens             | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000588</b> | CR 09.60-A91r1 on encoding of IMSI (R98)  | Siemens             | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000589</b> | CR 09.60-A92r1 on encoding of IMSI (R97)  | Siemens             | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000617</b> | CR 09.60-A089r2 (R97) on MM Context information coding clarification  | Nokia               | Agreed |

|                  |   |                 |        |
|------------------|---|-----------------|--------|
| <b>N4-000618</b> | CR 09.60-A090r2 (R98) on MM Context information coding clarification        | Nokia           | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000745</b> | CR 29.010-006r1 on Clarification of use of Radio Resource Information (R99) | Ericsson        | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000746</b> | CR 29.002-177r1 on Clarification of use of Radio Resource Information (R99) | Ericsson        | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000747</b> | CR 29.002-178r1 on Clarification of use of Radio Resource Information (R00) | Ericsson        | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000749</b> | CR 29.002-185 on Correction to MSC-A handover SDLs                          | Nortel Networks | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000751</b> | CR 29.002-186 on Correction to MSC-A handover SDLs                          | Nortel Networks | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000765</b> | CR 29.010-007r1 on Corrections and updates to align with current R99 specs  | Nortel Networks | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000776</b> | CR 29.002-173r2 on Optionality of parameters in GPRS-CSI                    | Nokia           | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000777</b> | CR-29.002-174r1 on Optionality of parameters in GPRS-CSI (R00)              | Nokia           | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000778</b> | CR 29.002-187 on Clarification for segmentation of D-CSI and SMS-CSI        | Nokia           | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000779</b> | CR 29.002-188 on Clarification for segmentation of D-CSI and SMS-CSI        | Nokia           | Agreed |
| <b>N4-000780</b> | CR 29.060-122r3 on Solution for race condition of GTP procedures            | Fujitsu         | Agreed |



## A N N E X D - TFO/TrFO meeting report

3GPP TrFO&TFO Harmonisation Workshop#3  
Seattle, Washington, USA  
29-30 of August 2000

Tdoc N4 – 000705  
(N4 – 000686)

Source: MCC ([franco.settimo@etsi.fr](mailto:franco.settimo@etsi.fr))

Title: Draft Meeting Report

Agenda item:

Document for: APPROVAL

---

### Opening of the meeting and approval of the agenda

The TrFO Workshop was opened by the TrFO Workshop Convenor, Mr. Yun-Chao HU, LM Ericsson AB, with MCC Secretariat support Mr. Franco SETTIMO. After an informal poll it was noted that the majority of the workshop participants were from N4 (obviously due of the colocation with N4). The workshop was further attended by representatives of WGs S2, S4, N1 and R3. A concern has been raised to the limited participation of R3, since a number of issues that are currently under discussion requires close involvement of R3 experts.

The Agenda was revised to Tdoc 0705, after some rearrangement of the agenda issues and Tdoc allocation.

### Allocation of documents to agenda items

See previous point of the report

### Meeting Reports – 0563, 0700

#### Tdoc 0700

With reference to workshop#2, Alcatel recognised the basic correctness of the meeting report although it was found too synthetic in some parts, where significant debates took place during the meeting and formulated a written proposal to enhance it. Into more specific details:

1. *Text on Tdoc 0519* agreed to be included in the previous meeting report.
2. *Text related to 0476*: agreed to be included.
3. *Text related to 454*: agreed to be included.
4. *Text related to 493*: NTT should be NTT DoCoMo. Ericsson expressed concern on the sentence "Mainly an S2 document", however Alcatel precised that it had remained on the exploder not for a very long time. The new sentence will be "Mainly an S2 document provided by Alcatel".

**Action Point (disclosed) MCC:** to revise Tdoc 553 including the above changes and circulate it on the TrFO/TFO exploder. For better clarity, it was agreed to allocate a new Tdoc number: **N4-000706**.

## **Input liaison statements: allocation to agenda items as appropriate – 0688, 0703, 0704**

### **Tdoc 0688**

Ericsson presented the reply to LS from SA4 (000327R) on codec types for different access technologies. Recognised to be basically the formulation of a question for S4, so the TrFO Workshop Convenor proposed to note the LS from the N4 point of view.

### **Tdoc 0703**

REPLY TO LIAISON STATEMENT ON SYNCHRONISATION ISSUES DURING CODEC TYPE CHANGE (R3-002013) was presented by NEC, although it is an official LS coming from WG R3, not processed via the normal way because of technical difficulties (access to the 3GPP server) encountered. Further clarification given by the WG R3 representative indicated that the original question from the TrFO/TFO workshop in Helsinki had not been formulated with enough clarity. It was also found prudent to wait for the reply from S4, since direct questions were posed to this group as well. Eventually it was agreed to give a response, providing further clarifications on the issues mentioned in the document. Ericsson volunteered to draft an LS in response (Tdoc 707).

### **Tdoc 704**

RESPONSE TO LS ON RAB ASSIGNMENT FOR TrFO, discussed in R3. Ericsson clarified that fallback mechanisms can be provided in the CN if the CN knows in advance that TrFO is not supported. It was found appropriate to draft a response LS on synchronisation: NEC, BT, and Ericsson will sit down together to elaborate a joint response (Tdoc 0708).

## **Technical Issues – 0623 (stage 2)**

### **Tdoc 0623**

The changes proposed to 3G TS 23.153 "Out of Band Transcoder Control - Stage 2" (version 2.0.1 is the new proposed one) were presented by Ericsson. Some questions (e.g. RANAP signalling handled by the MSC server) were parked on request of the Convenor, and the discussion of the document was consequently postponed to include the agreements made on the other contributions submitted to this meeting. Unfortunately, this discussion could not take place due to timing constraints of the workshop. The Convenor requested the source of the contribution to resubmit at the next workshop.

## **RAN Capabilities**

### **Handover**

### **SDUs Support and ACS/SCS Negotiation - 0651**

### **Tdoc 0651**

A document on Support of SDUs by RNC and ACS/SCS negotiation was presented by Ericsson, who proposed to follow 4 rules, duly listed in the document, to enable TrFO. Ericsson clarified that the principle of the figure and the discussions so far is to illustrate the architecture of Codec negotiations, not to restrict the choice to the BICC protocol. According to NEC, the 4 bullet points include restrictions to the radio interface, potentially preventing (according to Lucent Technologies) from the benefits that AMR is capable of providing.

No clear agreement on the proposed rules could be reached. However during the discussion it became clear that the following was agreed by the workshop:

- The RFCI set per codec type shall be symmetrical in both directions. The behaviour of an RNC for receiving RFCIs at lu UP initialisation from the Core Network shall be changed to be applied for both uplink and downlink. In R99 only the uplink RFCIs are changed, for R00 the downlink RFCIs need to be changed as well.
- WG R3 shall provide the necessary specifications or CRs. Standardisation of RFCI indexes would simplify the TrFO, but it is the workshop's understanding that this is sensitive within R3

## CN Capabilities

### Workplan

### CN Scenarios – 0604, 0657

#### Tdoc 0604

Presented by Siemens and supported by NEC. Ericsson appreciated the document and remarked that the saving of bandwidth consequent to the selection of lu UP protocols in the Core Network, could be even better highlighted. The document consequently proposes to use the lu UP protocol throughout the Core Network, avoiding unnecessary conversions to other protocols.

#### Tdoc 0657

The body of the contribution had been already seen in Helsinki but the conclusions presented here are significantly different. The document proposes to use a homogeneous solution for the framing protocol, independently of the traffic case. After some discussion an agreement was eventually reached. A single framing protocol, namely lu UP, is to be used within a single PLMN for speech (on AAL2/ATM, IP): this means no framing negotiations are required.

#### Tdoc 0709

Presented by an N3 delegate. *Interworking functions at the edge*, as for the transcoder, was proposed as an issue to be studied by N4. If positive, N3 sees no problem in a single framing protocol.

Recommendations:

- to locate IWF at the edge of the network. A Work Item will be provided by N3, based on contributions from N4
- PLMN-BC IE needs to be available at the edge MGW.

These achievements (Tdoc 0657, 0709) result in the following agreements:

- The usage of the lu UP Protocol as a framing protocol within the circuit-switched AAL2/ATM and IP core network for compressed speech and CS data services based on considerations of required protocol terminations and protocol conversions
- The location of the CS data services IWF at the edge of the network (i.e. IWF on the Edge). However, the workshop also realised that the PLMN-BC IE needs to be available at the edge MGW to trigger the activation of the IWF.
- N3 will provide a WI to initiate this work. It was also realised that N4 will be involved into this work to transfer the PLMN-BC towards the border MGW.

## **Iu UP Protocol – 0653, 0603, 0622, 0627**

### **Tdoc 0653**

Presented by NTT DoCoMo, with the objective of allowing operators to have network options (in this case, Iu UP termination) suitable for each individual network configuration, in the perspective of cost optimisation. It was observed that fulfilment of this requirement might prevent from inclusion of TrFO in Release 4 (new name for Release 2000). Ericsson noted that Iu UP termination is not clear defined in the document. The document was noted.

### **Tdoc 0603**

Presented by Siemens. The addition of the impacts on RANAP or Iu UP of the proposed solutions seems to be worthwhile, according to Ericsson.

### **Tdoc 0622**

Presented by Ericsson.

### **Tdoc 0627**

Presented by NEC who expressed favour for a solution which does not terminate Iu UP protocol in the CN network for mobile-to-mobile calls.

### **Discussion of the following Tdocs 0603, 0622, 0627:**

It was agreed that the contributing companies would sit together, formulating the decision points to be discussed at meeting restart the morning after (Moon session).

## **Alternatives**

1. Monitoring always and in all MGW
2. Monitoring only when RFCI can be changed in all MGW. RFCIs are stored in the MGW
3. RFCIs are read from associated RNCs when is needed.

### **Alternative 1:**

#### **Pro:**

- Fast response
- No impacts to Iu UP, Mc i/f, BICC standards

#### **Cons**

- Additional HW required for every node per call

### **Alternative 2:**

#### **Pro:**

- No monitoring HW required during stable call phase (not during call setup, relocation, ....)

#### **Cons**

- Every MGW needs to support the “listen” function
- One additional RANAP IE required

### **Alternative 3:**

#### **Pro:**

- HW efficiency
- No Monitoring HW required

#### **Cons**

- Retrieve of RFCI information required, introducing “round trip” delay, to be introduced by every MGW
- Prevention of changing of RFCI during relocation
- Iu UP standardization impacts (new operations)
- Complicated interacting signalling procedures

**One alternative to be selected. This issue will be handled in the output session of the workshop (see section 8)**

## **Handover – 0624, 0626**

### **Tdoc 0624**

Presented by Ericsson. Proposal: if inter-MSC handover/relocation is performed in R00 in the same way as for R99, then transcoders should always be inserted by the Non-Anchor side, as for R99. BT objected that the decision to be taken is based on a SA document, not promptly available. Ericsson volunteered to draft an LS (Tdoc N4-000713) to S2.

### **Tdoc 0626**

Basic working model for relocation is described in the NEC document. Some parts have been already covered in the agreement reached during the first day. The document was eventually noted. NEC requested clarifications about the procedure to submit the request for OID to ITU-T: one company has to bring the contribution, and Ericsson volunteered, since 3GPP has no official liaison procedures with ITU-T.

### **TrFO Break -**

No contributions addressed to this issue

### **Voting Issue**

**Considerable progress has been made during the workshop. A number of agreements can be reported to the TSG Plenaries. Therefore, no discussion took place on this issue during the workshop.**

### **AOB**

### **Output Documents**

#### **Tdoc 711**

**This document describes the first draft of the agreements made during the workshop based on the notes from Franco and Yun-Chao. An Ad Hoc session was established to complete the status report with the key persons (Mr. Toshiyuki TAMURA, NEC, Phil HODGES, LM Ericsson AB, Eva-Maria LANIG, Siemens AG). This document will be presented for comments of the workshop participants (Tdoc 720)**

#### **Tdoc 720**

The document contains a detailed description of the agreements reached during the workshop.. The following comments were raised:

**Sect. 1:** no comment.

**Sect. 2.1:** Alcatel remarked that there is nothing agreed for IP and proposes *Framing of IP network is open*. A consistent change was then performed by the TrFO Workshop Convenor. The term in brackets (e.g. modems) was found misleading. An explicit reference to Circuit Switched Core Network was introduced.

**Sect. 2.2:** it was better specified "*it would be beneficial to locate the IWF ...*". BT proposed to specify the benefit achieved (simplification of service modification without prenotification). Multimedia was added to speech/data/fax upon suggestion of NTT DoCoMo.

**Sect. 2.3:** Ericsson proposed to clarify the meaning of the first sentence, introducing the concept of local handover. RNS was corrected to SRNS. "*...and call set up*" was deleted from the first sentence. BT proposed to add a sentence indicating, qualitatively, that "*Changes to the lu UP protocols are foreseen to indicate the valid RFCIs*". Ericsson, however, noted that changes were restricted to the behaviour of RNC for receiving of lu UP re-initialisation. BT observed that for R00, also the downlink needs to be changed. Codec mode was corrected into codec type.

**Sect. 3:** title was changed to *Agreed Alternatives for obtaining RFCI Information at TrFO breaks*. A preface was included.

**Sect. 3.1:** in the Benefits "obtaining" was replaced with the broader concept of availability of information within MGW. The estimated standardisation work was better clarified. No impact on speech delay was better detailed in the section dedicated to disadvantages.

**Sect. 4:** the necessity of an intervention from the plenary was highlighted.

**Tdoc 0707**

LS to R3 on Sending of NSI without modification to RAB. Objective: to inform R3 that our requirement is still there. Revised to 0739.

**Tdoc 0739**

Approved.

**Tdoc 0708**

LS to R3 on Support of SDUs for TrFO. Objective: to provide further clarification to R3 and to request an answer to the question that was raised in a previous LS. Revised to 0740.

**Tdoc 0740**

Agreed.

**Tdoc 0713**

LS to S2 on SRNS relocation based on global title. Objective: the Ericsson contribution makes references to a S2 document from which the status is unclear. Therefore status information was requested. Revised to 0741.

**Tdoc 0741.**

Approved.

**Closing of the meeting**

The Convenor thanked all participants in the workshop for their supportive contributions and for their availability to work until unsociable hours, a practise that allowed reaching significant achievements.

The Convenor stated that a status report will be given to the TSG CN, RAN and SA Plenaries based on the agreed document 0720.

The Convenor stated that no voting is required within TSG SA since the workshop has all confidence that an appropriate decision on the alternatives can be reached at the TSG Plenaries.

The workshop stated that a joint session with R3 is desirable, leaving us with the request to have a meeting within the week 42 (16-20 October, UK). The Workshop Convenor was requested to investigate the joint session opportunity with the R3 Chairman. To enable sufficient time for stage 2 activities the workshop requested a period of 3 days for the next workshop.