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# 1 Overall description

SA5 thanks TSG RAN for the LS on the AIML data collection described in S5-245373/RP-242389.

SA5 has asked further clarification from RAN2 whether the server is controlled by MNO and the details on standardized data to be collected and received a response from them.

## 1 Analysis of SA5 relevance to option 1a/1b/2/3

**For option 1a:**

* There is no standardized management support identified from SA5.

**For option 1b and 2:**

* Based on RAN2 response, the “Server for data collection for UE-side model training” in option 1b and 2 is controlled by MNO. SA5 will need to evaluate potential management support that may be needed to manage the server based on the progress in SA2 and RAN.

**For option 3:**

CP tunnel:

* The feasibility for the functionality of “Server for data collection for UE-side model training” to be carried by TCE as defined in SA5 MDT mechanism or MCE as defined in SA5 QoE mechanism for CP tunnel can be studied in SA5. SA5 will need to evaluate potential enhancement that may be needed based on the progress in RAN.

UP tunnel:

* The feasibility of UP tunnel between UE and OAM needs to be studied.

## 2 Summary:

2-1. The feasibility of the CP tunnel approach using MDT measurements discussed as part of option 3 is to be studied including RAN requirements of full controllability, full visibility and future-proofness.

The feasibility of the UP tunnel indicated in option 3 between UE and OAM need to be studied including RAN requirements of full controllability, full visibility and future-proofness.

2-2. For option 1b and option 2, there may be management and control requirements for SA5. Therefore, SA5 should be involved in the discussion of option1b and option2.

# 2 Actions

**To TSG RAN**

**ACTION:** SA5 would like to ask RAN to take into account of 2-1 and 2-2 provided in the summary above.

# 3 Dates of next TSG SA WG5 meetings

SA5#159 17 February - 21 February 2025 Sophia-Antipolis, France

SA5#160 07 April - 11 April 2025 Gothenburg, Sweden