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***Start of First change***

### 7.2.3 MDA assisted fault management

#### 7.2.3.1 Failure prediction

##### 7.2.3.1.1 Description

This MDA capability is for failure prediction.

##### 7.2.3.1.2 Use case

There are multiple sources of faults which may cause the 5G system to fail to provide the expected service. These faults and the associated failures need extensive troubleshooting. In order to reduce network and service failure time and performance degradation, it is necessary to supervise the status of various network functions and resources, and predict the running trend of network and potential failures to intervene in advance. These predictions can be used by the management system to autonomously maintain the health of the network, e.g. speedy recovery actions on a network function related to the predicted potential failure.

Due to the fact that failure prediction could depend on the existing alarm incidents and relevant historical and real‑time data (performance measurement information, configuration data, network topology information, etc.), there is a possibility for MDA to be used in conjunction with AI/ML technologies and model training to predict potential failures.

In order to avoid the occurrence of failures and abnormal network status, it is necessary for consumers of analytics to obtain the required details of potential failure and the corresponding degradation trend (abnormal KPI, performance measurement information, possible alarm type, fault root cause, etc.). Therefore, MDA, may in conjunction with AI/ML technology, be required to obtain basic health maintenance knowledge (e.g. the relationship between the failures or potential failures and the related maintenance actions) through predefined expertise or model training, so as to effectively predict potential failures. The basic health maintenance knowledge could be updated with feedback.

Besides the MDA capability to obtain basic health maintenance relationships between the service failure and related potential failures at network levels, the MDA capability for failure prediction may take role of coordination in cross domain. When MDA capability takes role of coordination in cross domain, the MDA capability for failure prediction can collect analytics output of failure prediction from single domain management and provide recommendation actions accordingly.

Along with the predicted fault, the information such as the trend of the predicted fault and the end time of the predicted fault when the recommendations cannot be applied are helpful for the consumers to seamlessly correlate the predicted failure and the actual failure when it occurs in the system.

If necessary, MDA could also provide corresponding recommended actions for failure prevention.

##### 7.2.3.1.3 Requirements

Table 7.2.3.1.3-1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Requirement label | Description | Related use case(s) |
| **REQ-FAILURE\_PRED\_MDA-01** | Void | Void |
| **REQ-FAILURE\_PRED\_MDA-02** | MDA capability for failure prediction shall be able to obtain basic health maintenance knowledges (including, the relationship between the failures or potential failures and the related maintenance actions) through predefined expertise or model training. | Failure prediction |
| **REQ-FAILURE\_PRED\_MDA-03** | MDA capability for failure prediction shall be able to provide the analytics output including predictions of potential service failures, as well as the possible recommendation actions to prevent failures. | Failure Prediction |
| **REQ-FAILURE\_PRED\_MDA-04** | MDA capability for failure prediction should include the ability to predict failures across or within domains and provide analytics outputs for predicted failures. | Failure Prediction |
| **REQ-FAILURE\_PRED\_MDA-0x** | MDA capability for failure prediction should be able to provide the analytics output including trend indication about the predicted failure and predicted end time of the failure. | Failure Prediction |

***Start of next change***

### 8.4.3 MDA assisted fault management

#### 8.4.3.1 MDA assisted failure prediction

##### 8.4.3.1.1 MDA type

The MDA type for failure prediction analysis is: MDAAssistedFaultManagement.FailurePrediction.

##### 8.4.3.1.2 Enabling data

The enabling data for MDAAssistedFaultManagement.FailurePrediction MDA type are provided in table 8.4.3.1.2-1.

For general information about enabling data, see clause 8.2.1.

Table 8.4.3.1.2-1: Enabling data for failure prediction analysis

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data category | Description | References |
| Performance measurements | The deteriorated performance or the abnormal performance measurements based on certain performance monitoring threshold.3GPP management system may monitor a set of performance measurements and their thresholds, so as to support the analytics of prediction of a network service failure. | The performance measurements as defined in TS 28.552 [4] |
| Alarm notifications | Alarm information, e.g. the alarm notification of network functions. | Alarm information and notifications as per TS 28.532 [11] |
| Configuration data | MOIs of the cells and 5GC NFs. | TS 28.541 [15] |
| Network analytics data | The control plane analysis result from the NWDAF, e.g. observed service experience related network data analytics. | TS 23.288 [10] |

##### 8.4.3.1.3 Analytics output

The specific information elements of the analytics output for failure prediction and service failure recovery analysis, in addition to the common information elements of the analytics outputs (see clause 8.3), are provided in table 8.4.3.1.3-1.

Table 8.4.3.1.3-1: Analytics output for failure prediction analysis

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Information element | Definition | Support qualifier | Properties |
| failurePredictionObject | Indication of NR cells or NFs where the failure related issues occurred or potentially occur. | M | type: DNmultiplicity: 1..\*isOrdered: FalseisUnique: TruedefaultValue: NoneisNullable: False |
| potentialFailureType | Indication of type of issues that can cause the failures.NOTE 1: The values can be defined as a list of example values: "Operational Violation", "Physical Violation" and "Time Domain Violation". See alarmType described in TS 28.532 [11]. | M | type: Stringmultiplicity: 1isOrdered: N/AisUnique: N/AdefaultValue: NoneisNullable: False |
| eventTime | This field holds the time of potential failure predicted.Examples: "20:15:00", "20:15:00-08:00" (for 8 hours behind UTC). | M | type: DateTimemultiplicity: 1isOrdered: N/AisUnique: N/AdefaultValue: NoneisNullable: False |
| issueID | This filed holds the ID of this failure prediction which is reported.When reports, this identifier can be used to provide the information to management system to maintain. | M | type: Stringmultiplicity: 1isOrdered: N/AisUnique: N/AdefaultValue: NoneisNullable: False |
| perceivedSeverity | This field holds the value to indicate relative level of urgency for operator attention.NOTE 2: The value can be Critical, Major, Minor, Warning, Indeterminate, Cleared, see Recommendation ITU-T X.733 [27]. | M | type: ENUMmultiplicity: 1isOrdered: N/AisUnique: N/AdefaultValue: NoneisNullable: False |
| trendIndication | It indicates if the predicted fault would get better, worse, or not changing, see Recommendation ITU-T X.733 [27].AllowedValues:MORE\_SEVERE, NO\_CHANGE, LESS\_SEVERE | O | type: ENUMmultiplicity: 1isOrdered: N/AisUnique: N/A defaultValue: NoneisNullable: False |
| predictedFailureEndTime | It indicates the predicted end time of failure. | O | type: DateTimemultiplicity: 1isOrdered: N/AisUnique: N/AdefaultValue: NoneisNullable: False |
| recommendedActions | This field holds the recommended actions to failure prevention and recovery.The recommended action may be (but not limited to):Update 5GC NF (e.g., AMF and SMF) profile | O | type: RecommendedActionmultiplicity: \*isOrdered: FalseisUnique: TruedefaultValue: NoneisNullable: False |

***End of change***