

3GPP SA #75

Dubrovnik, Croatia, March 8-10, 2017

Agenda item: 18

SP-170200

Discussion on the evolution of MBMS

QUALCOMM®



A premise on eMBMS

- “eMBMS” for LTE/EPS refers to **two variants** of the technology that are worth spelling out clearly
- (1) eMBMS as a **“radio-centric” multicast mechanism** for a more efficient delivery of data over the radio interface, i.e. enabler for a mixed mode between multicast & unicast
 - From technical point of view, it does NOT require usage of the BMSC-based system architecture, nor of any specific service layer
 - Primary use case is constituted by “unicast operators” that also want to be able to do multicast
- (2) eMBMS as a **“standalone” cellular-based broadcasting solution**
 - This one may or may NOT require a specific broadcasting-based / BMSC-based system architecture & it may benefit (but does not require) the usage of specific service layer
 - Primary use case is constituted by operators mainly focused on broadcasting

A premise on eMBMS (cont.)

- We propose to distinguish those two use cases with the correct terminology from now on
- “Mixed mode” → radio-based multicast, to be designed in a way such that it can efficiently share resources with unicast
- “Standalone MBMS” → cellular-based broadcasting solution, to be designed keeping in mind the end-to-end system architecture

Our proposal on the evolution of MBMS in 5G era

- The evolution of MBMS needs to take into account this fundamental distinction
- Thus, we propose the following
- “Mixed mode” → eventually, this should be studied as part of NR evolution
 - It does not necessarily need to be called “eMBMS” as it really is efficient about having an efficient RAN multicast to coexist with unicast
 - Annex includes some initial observations
- “Standalone MBMS” → the starting point for this should be the Release 14 LTE EnTV work
 - Are there 5G RAN or system requirements for broadcasting that are not met by LTE EnTV?
 - If so, which ones?
 - Maybe this will result in an evolution of LTE EnTV to be called “5G”. Note that this approach is the same one we are following for NB-IoT/eMTC with respect to 5G mMTC

Conclusions

- We propose to consider **separate** evolution path for standalone and mixed carriers
- **5G standalone MBMS** should be based on Rel-14 EnTV
 - The starting point is a careful gap analysis to identify enhancements necessary
- **5G NR mixed mode multicast/unicast** should be based on NR numerology and frame formats
 - E.g. should remove inefficiencies of eMBMS resource allocation & should provide a unified framework for MBMS, SC-PTM/Public safety, IoT, V2X
 - System architecture needs to be studied in SA2 but 5G System “unicast” architecture can be considered the basis

Thank you

Follow us on:  

For more information on Qualcomm, visit us at:
www.qualcomm.com & www.qualcomm.com/blog

© 2013 Qualcomm Incorporated. All rights reserved. Qualcomm, Snapdragon, and Gobi are trademarks of Qualcomm Incorporated, registered in the United States and other countries. Vuforia and Wireless Reach are trademarks of Qualcomm Incorporated. Atheros and Skifta are trademarks of Qualcomm Atheros, Inc., registered in the United States and other countries. Hy-Fi is a trademark of Qualcomm Atheros, Inc. Alljoyn is a trademark of Qualcomm Innovation Center, Inc., registered in the United States and other countries. Other products and brand names may be trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners.

